Dear Senator Markey:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai
April 27, 2020

The Honorable Charles E. Schumer
United States Senate
322 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Schumer:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Ajit V. Pai
April 27, 2020

The Honorable Maria Cantwell
United States Senate
511 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Cantwell:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai

Ajit V. Pai
The Honorable Brian Schatz  
United States Senate  
722 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Schatz:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai
The Honorable Richard Blumenthal  
United States Senate  
706 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510  

Dear Senator Blumenthal:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Mozilla Corp. v. FCC* decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s *Restoring Internet Freedom Order*, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Ajit V. Pai
April 27, 2020

The Honorable Kirsten Gillibrand  
United States Senate  
478 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Gillibrand:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Mozilla Corp. v. FCC* decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission's *Restoring Internet Freedom Order*, including the Commission's decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked "the end of the Internet as we know it" and that "you’ll get the Internet one word at a time," consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai
Dear Senator Durbin:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai
The Honorable Tammy Baldwin  
United States Senate  
709 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

April 27, 2020

Dear Senator Baldwin:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Mozilla Corp. v. FCC* decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s *Restoring Internet Freedom Order*, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai

[Signature]
Dear Senator Merkley:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai
The Honorable Martin Heinrich  
United States Senate  
303 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Heinrich:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai

Ajit V. Pai
Dear Senator Brown:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Mozilla Corp. v. FCC* decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s *Restoring Internet Freedom Order*, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Ajit V. Pai
The Honorable Tom Udall  
United States Senate  
531 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510  

Dear Senator Udall:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Ajit V. Pai
Dear Senator Reed:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai
April 27, 2020

The Honorable Patty Murray  
United States Senate  
154 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Murray:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai
Dear Senator Hirono:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai
The Honorable Patrick J. Leahy  
United States Senate  
437 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

April 27, 2020

Dear Senator Leahy:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai

[Signature]
The Honorable Bernard Sanders  
United States Senate  
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510  

Dear Senator Sanders:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Mozilla Corp. v. FCC* decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s *Restoring Internet Freedom Order*, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Ajit V. Pai
The Honorable Benjamin L. Cardin  
United States Senate  
509 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510  

Dear Senator Cardin:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Mozilla Corp. v. FCC* decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s *Restoring Internet Freedom Order*, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai
April 27, 2020

The Honorable Elizabeth Warren
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Warren:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai
April 27, 2020

The Honorable Kamala D. Harris
United States Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Harris:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai
April 27, 2020

The Honorable Ron Wyden  
United States Senate  
221 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Wyden:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai

Ajit V. Pai
The Honorable Amy Klobuchar  
United States Senate  
425 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510  

Dear Senator Klobuchar:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Mozilla Corp. v. FCC* decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s *Restoring Internet Freedom Order*, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai
Dear Senator Booker:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Mozilla Corp. v. FCC* decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s *Restoring Internet Freedom Order*, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai
Dear Senator Duckworth:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai
April 27, 2020

The Honorable Chris Van Hollen
United States Senate
110 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Van Hollen:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai

Ajit V. Pai
Dear Senator Hassan:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Mozilla Corp. v. FCC decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the Restoring Internet Freedom Order might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai
Dear Senator Feinstein:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Mozilla Corp. v. FCC* decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s *Restoring Internet Freedom Order*, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
United States Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
April 27, 2020

The Honorable Thomas R. Carper  
United States Senate  
513 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510  

Dear Senator Carper:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Mozilla Corp. v. FCC* decision, in which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the overwhelming majority of the Commission’s *Restoring Internet Freedom Order*, including the Commission’s decision to repeal 1930s utility-style regulation of the Internet. Contrary to the predictions that this decision marked “the end of the Internet as we know it” and that “you’ll get the Internet one word at a time,” consumers are much better off today. Since the Commission decided to return to the light-touch framework over two years ago, American broadband consumers are enjoying over 70% faster speeds and millions more Americans have gained access to the Internet.

As you note, the Court remanded to the Commission three discrete issues for further consideration. Immediately after the Court issued its mandate in February, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought to refresh the record regarding how the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* might affect public safety, the regulation of pole attachments, and the Lifeline program. Due to the novel coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau extended the comment periods. Comments were due April 20, and reply comments are due May 20.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai