

LIST OF PENDING APPELLATE CASES

Name:	AT&T Services, Inc. v. FCC
Case No:	20-1190
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of Unlicensed Use of the 6 GHz Band, Expanding Flexible Use in Mid-Band spectrum Between 3.7 and 24 GHz - FCC
Case Description:	
Status:	Petition for Review filed June 6, 2020.

Name:	In re: Foundation for a Beautiful Life
Case No:	20-1159
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	<i>In re: DKQEK-LP, Cupertino, CA</i>
Case Description:	Invoking the All Writs Act, Foundation for a Beautiful Life (FBL) seeks a stay of the Media Bureau's denial of FBL's request for Special Temporary Authorization to operate its unlicensed low-power FM radio station in Cupertino, California. FBL maintains that it has a "right" to broadcast while it appeals the Bureau's ruling to the full Commission.
Status:	Emergency Motion for Stay filed May 20, 2020. FCC Response filed June 2, 2020.

Name:	PSSI Global Services, L.L.C. v. FCC
Case No:	20-1142
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Expanding Flexible Use of the 3.7 to 4.2 GHz Band – FCC 20-22
Case Description:	
Consolidated Cases:	PSSI Global Services, No. 20-1143, ABS Global Ltd., No. 20-1146, ABS Global Ltd., No. 20-1147, SES Americom, No. 20-1165, SES Americom, No. 20-1166
Status:	Notice of Appeal filed April 28, 2020.

Name:	Gorss Motel, Inc., et al. v. FCC
Case No:	20-1075
Court:	2nd Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 – FCC 20-29
Case Description:	Petitioners challenge the Commission's interpretation of a DC Circuit decision which invalidated a rule requiring opt-out notices on faxes sent with the recipient's prior consent. Petitioners further contend that the DC Circuit decision does not bind other courts of appeals to reach the same decision, including the Second Circuit.
Status:	Petition filed March 25, 2020.

Name:	Nalini Kapur, et al. v. FCC
Case No:	20-1047
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: OTA Broadcasting (SFO), LLC (Assignor) and TV-49, Inc. (Assignee) Application for Consent to Assignment of License of Class A Television Station KAXT-CD, San Francisco-San Jose, CA – FCC 20-1
Case Description:	Appellants challenge the FCC's approval of two transactions involving a broadcast television license.
Consolidated Cases:	Nalini Kapur, et al. v. FCC, No. 20-1048
Status:	Appeal filed February 24, 2020. FCC Brief due July 20, 2020.

Name:	Environmental Health Trust v. FCC
Case No:	20-1025
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Proposed Changes in the Commission's Rules Regarding Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields – FCC-19-126
Case Description:	The FCC in <i>Proposed Changes in the Commission's Rules Regarding Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields</i> , FCC 19-126 (rel. Dec. 4, 2019), among other things, terminated a 2013 Notice of Inquiry to review its radiofrequency (RF) exposure limits for radiation emitted by communications devices and facilities.
Consolidated Cases:	Children's Health Defense v. FCC, No. 20-1138 (transferred from Ninth Circuit)
Status:	Petition for Review filed January 31, 2020. Court order, issued June 11, 2020, suspending briefing pending further order of the court.

Name:	Blanca Tel. Co. v. FCC
Case No:	20-9510
Court:	Tenth Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Blanca Telephone Company Seeking Relief from the June 22, 2016 Letter Issued by the Office of the Managing Director Demanding Repayment of a Universal Service Fund Debt Pursuant to the Debt collection Improvement Act – FCC 17-162 In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service Blanca Telephone Company Seeking Relief from the June 22, 2016 Letter Issued by the Office of the Managing Director Demanding Repayment of a Universal Service Fund Debt Pursuant to the Debt Collection Improvement Act – FCC 20-28
Case Description:	In the latest of several petitions for review seeking to halt the Commission’s effort to recover improper subsidies that Blanca obtained by filing inaccurate cost studies, Blanca argues that the Commission has taken unreasonably long to act on its still-pending petition for agency reconsideration and seeks various forms of judicial relief.
Companion Case:	Blanca Tel. Co. v. FCC, No. 20-9524
Status:	Petition for Review filed January 21, 2020. FCC brief is due July 1, 2020.

Name:	National Lifeline Association v. FCC
Case No:	20-1006
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Bridging the Digital Divide for Low-Income Consumers, Lifeline and Link Up Reform and Modernization, Telecommunications Carriers Eligible for Universal Service – FCC 19-111
Case Description:	Petitioner seeks review of the Commission’s denial of its petition for declaratory ruling concerning the application of the FCC’s Lifeline Reimbursement and subscriber non-usage rules as they apply to eligible telecommunications carriers serving low-income subscribers.
Status:	Petition for Review filed January 13, 2020. FCC Brief filed June 10, 2020.

Name:	Wide Voice, LLC v. FCC
Case No:	20-70042
Court:	Ninth Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: MCI Communications Services, Inc. v. Wide Voice, LLC – FCC 19-115
Case Description:	This petition for review involving a Section 208 complaint against Wide Voice, a competitive LEC, presents the issues of whether the FCC reasonably (1) interpreted its bill-and-keep transition rules to apply to calls terminated to a CLEC that owns the tandem switch, and (2) concluded that Wide Voice’s tariffed rate was not “deemed lawful.”
Status:	Petition for Review filed January 6, 2020. FCC Brief due August 24, 2020.

Name:	Tri-County Telephone Assoc. v. FCC
Case No:	20-1003
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: The Uniendo a Puerto Rico Fund and the Connect USVI Fund – FCC 19-95 and FCC 18-57
Case Description:	Petition for review of a pair of orders (FCC 18-57 and FCC 19-95) in which the Commission allocated federal universal service funding for Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands in the wake of Hurricanes Irma and Maria.
Status:	Petition for Review filed January 3, 2020. FCC Brief filed June 4, 2020.

Name:	Massachusetts Dept. of Telecomm v. FCC & USA
Case No:	19-2282
Court:	First Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Petition for Determination of Effective Competition in 32 Massachusetts Communities and Kauai, HI (HI0011) – FCC 19-110
Case Description:	Petitioner contends that the FCC erred in finding that Charter (a cable system operator) faces “effective competition” in Massachusetts and Hawaii as defined in 47 U.S.C. 543(1)(1)(d).
Status:	Petition for Review filed December 23, 2019. FCC Brief due July 15, 2020.

Name:	Autauga Cty. V. FCC
Case No:	19-15072
Court:	Eleventh Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Petition for Declaratory Ruling in Response to Primary Jurisdiction Referral, Autauga County Emergency Management Communication District et al. v. BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC – FCC 19-105
Case Description:	Petitioners allege that the Commission abused its discretion and acted arbitrarily, capriciously, and contrary to law by clarifying, in a declaratory ruling (FCC 19-105), that section 6(f)(1) of the New and Emerging Technologies 911 Improvement Act of 2008 prevents state, local, and tribal 911 entities from imposing on and collecting from subscribers to VoIP services, 911 fees that are higher than those imposed on and collected from subscribers to traditional telecommunications services.
Status:	Petition for Review filed December 20, 2019. FCC Brief filed May 8, 2020.

Name:	Huawei Technologies v. FCC
Case No:	19-60896
Court:	Fifth Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of Protecting Against National Security Threats to the Communications Supply Chain Through FCC Programs – FCC 19-121
Case Description:	Huawei challenges <i>Protecting Against National Security Threats to the Communications Supply Chain Through FCC Programs</i> , FCC 19-121, in which the FCC prohibited the use of USF funds to purchase equipment from a “covered company” that poses a national security threat, and initially designated Huawei and another carrier as covered companies.
Status:	Petition for Review filed December 5, 2019. FCC Brief filed June 1, 2020.

Name:	City of Eugene, OR v. FCC
Case No:	19-4161
Court:	Sixth Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 as Amended by the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992 – FCC 19-80
Case Description:	In these consolidated cases, local franchising authorities (LFAs) challenge a Commission order issued on remand from the Sixth Circuit in <i>Montgomery County, Maryland et al. v. FCC</i> , 863 F.3d 485 (6 th Cir. 2017). The order on review holds that under the Communications Act of 1934, cable-related in-kind contributions from a cable operator to an LFA are “franchise fees,” LFAs are barred from regulating non-cable services provided over cable systems, and any state or local requirements that are in conflict with those holdings are expressly preempted.
Consolidated Cases:	City of Portland v. FCC, No. 19-4162; State of Hawaii v. FCC, No. 19-4163; Alliance for Commc’ns Democracy v. FCC, No. 19-4164; Anne Arundel Cty. V. FCC, No. 19-4165; City of Pittsburg v. FCC, No. 19-4166; City of Chicago v. FCC, No. 19-4183
Status:	Petition for Review transferred to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals on December 3, 2019. FCC Brief due July 31, 2020.

Name:	Great Lakes Communications Corp. v. FCC
Case No:	19-1233
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of Updating the Intercarrier Compensation Regime to Eliminate Access Arbitrage – FCC 19-94
Case Description:	Petitioners challenge the FCC’s 2019 revisions to its access stimulation rules.
Consolidated Cases:	Wide Voice LLC v. FCC, No. 19-1244
Status:	Petition for Review filed October 29, 2019. Order issued December 23, 2019, holding case in abeyance. FCC is directed to file status reports at 60-day intervals beginning February 21, 2020. Motion to govern proceedings to be filed on June 18, 2020.

Name:	GCI Communications Corp. v. FCC
Case No:	19-1217
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of Promoting Telehealth in Rural America – FCC 19-78
Case Description:	Petitioners challenge <i>Promoting Telehealth in Rural America</i> , FCC No. 19-78, in which the FCC modified its rules for Rural Healthcare component of Universal Service.
Status:	Petition for Review filed October 21, 2019. Order issued December 6, 2019, to hold case in abeyance. The Federal Communications Commission is directed to file status reports at 90-day intervals beginning March 5, 2020.

Name:	COMPTEL d/b/a INCOMPAS v. FCC
Case No:	19-1164
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Petition of USTelecom for Forbearance Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 160(c) to Accelerate Investment in Broadband and Next-Generation Networks – 19-72
Case Description:	Petitioners challenge an order granting forbearance from two regulatory obligations imposed on price cap incumbent LECs. The first obligation requires price cap ILECs to unbundle analog voice-grade copper loops (UNE Analog Loops), the second requires price cap ILECs to offer for resale at wholesale rates telecommunications services that the ILEC offers at retail to non-carrier customers (Avoided-Cost Resale). Petitioners challenge the order as arbitrary, capricious, and as otherwise contrary to law.
Consolidated Cases:	Calif. Public Util. Comm'n v. FCC, No. 19-1202
Status:	Petition for Review filed Aug. 12, 2019. FCC Brief filed March 25, 2020.

Name:	City and County of San Fran v. FCC
Case No:	19-71832
Court:	Ninth Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Improving Competitive Broadband Access to Multiple Tenant Environments, Petition for Preemption of Article 52 of the San Francisco Police Code Filed by the Multifamily Broadband Council – FCC 19-65
Case Description:	San Francisco seeks review of a Commission order preempting part of a local ordinance, known as Article 52, to the extent it may require owners of multiple tenant environments (such as apartments or condominiums) to allow new communications providers to use inside wiring that is already currently in use by another communications provider.
Status:	Petition for Review filed July 22, 2019. Court order issued September 20, 2019, vacating briefing schedule.

Name:	Sorenson Communications, Inc. v. FCC
Case No:	19-1145
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Structure and Practices of the Video Relay Service Program, Telecommunications relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities – FCC 19-39
Case Description:	Video relay service (VRS) enables people who communicate in sign language to make telephone calls using a videophone. In the order under review, the Commission adopted a number of rules to improve video communications for people with disabilities, including prohibiting the provision of “non-service related inducements that are intended to entice consumers to sign up for or use a VRS provider’s service.” Sorenson alleges that the Commission’s ban on service-related inducements is arbitrary and capricious because it does not articulate a comprehensible standard for distinguishing service-and non-service related inducements. The case has been held in abeyance until January 27, 2020.
Status:	Petition for Review filed July 12, 2019. FCC Brief due October 5, 2020.

Name:	S. Dakota Network, LLC v. FCC
Case No:	19-1094
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: July 1, 2018 Annual charge Tariff Filings, South Dakota Network, LLC, Tariff F.C.C. No. 1 – FCC 19-15
Case Description:	Petition for review of FCC order in tariff-related proceeding.
Status:	Petition for Review filed April 29, 2019. Court order, issued July 18, 2019, ordering the case be held in abeyance. Parties are directed to file motions to govern further proceedings within 30 days of the court’s disposition of AT&T Corp. v. FCC, Nos. 18-1007. et al.

Name:	The Irregularators v. FCC
Case No:	19-1085
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Jurisdictional Separations and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board – FCC 18-182
Case Description:	Petitioners challenge <i>Jurisdictional Separations and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board</i> , FCC 18-182, in which the FCC extended for up to 6 years a freeze of the Part 36 separations rules.
Status:	Petition for Review filed April 18, 2019. FCC Brief filed on September 12, 2019. Oral argument held on January 17, 2020. Court opinion, issued March 13, 2020, dismissing petition for review for lack of jurisdiction.

Name:	American Electric Power v. FCC
Case No:	19-70490
Court:	Ninth Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment – FCC 18-111/18-133
Case Description:	Petitioners challenge some of the 2018 revisions to the FCC’s pole attachment rules.
Status:	Petition for review filed October 19, 2018. FCC Brief filed August 22, 2019. Oral argument held on February 10, 2020.

Name:	Competitive Enterprise Institute v. FCC
Case No:	18-1281
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Applications of Charter Communications, Inc., Time Warner Inc. and Advance/Newhouse Partnership for Consent to Assign or Transfer Control of Licenses and Authorizations – FCC 18-127
Case Description:	Challenge to conditions imposed on FCC’s approval of license transfers associated with merger of cable companies
Status:	Notice of Appeal filed October 9, 2018. FCC brief filed March 14, 2019. Oral argument held September 5, 2019.

Name:	City of Portland v. FCC & USA
Case No:	18-72689
Court:	Ninth Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment By Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment – FCC 18-111/18-133
Case Description:	<p>Portland seeks review of the Commission’s August 2018 declaratory ruling (FCC 18-111, issued together with a rulemaking order concerning pole attachments) in which the Commission explained that state and local moratoria on wireless siting applications are inconsistent with 47 U.S.C. s 253(a) and are subject to preemption unless they fall within the exceptions of 47 U.S.C. s 253(b) or (c). This case is consolidated for purposes of oral argument and decision with Sprint Corp., No. 19-70123 (and consolidated cases).</p> <p>Local governments and related organizations challenge a September 2018 declaratory ruling and report and order (FCC 18-133) in which the Commission sought to promote the deployment of small cells by clarifying when related fees, aesthetic restrictions, and untimely action on siting applications have the effect of prohibiting the provision of covered services under 47 U.S.C. ss 253, 332(c)(7).</p>
Consolidated Cases:	Sprint v. FCC, No. 19-70123; Verizon v. FCC, No. 19-70124; Puerto Rico Tele. v. FCC, No. 19-70125; City of Seattle v. FCC, No. 19-70136; City of San Jose v. FCC, No. 19-70144; City of San Fran v. FCC, No. 19-70145; City of Huntington Beach v. FCC, No. 19-70146; Montgomery Cty v. FCC, No. 19-70147; AT&T v. FCC, No. 19-70326; American Pub. Pwr v. FCC, No. 19-70339; City of Austin v. FCC, No. 19-70341; City of Eugene v. FCC, No. 19-70344
Status:	Petition for review filed October 2, 2018. FCC Brief filed August 8, 2019. Oral argument held on February 10, 2020.

Name:	Iowa Network Services v. FCC
Case No:	18-1258
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Iowa Network Access Division Tariff F.C.C. No. 1 – FCC 18-105
Case Description:	Consolidated petitions for review of FCC orders in the separate but related tariff-related proceeding arising from the § 208 complaint proceeding.
Consolidated Cases:	Iowa Network Servs., Inc. d/b/a Aureon Network Services v. FCC, No. 19-1087; AT&T Corp. v. FCC, No. 19-1014
Status:	Petition for Review filed September 19, 2018. Court order, issued November 5, 2018, holding case in abeyance pending the outcome of No. 18-1007. Motion to govern further proceedings due within 30 days of the decision in No. 18-1007.

Name:	NTCH, Inc. v. FCC
Case No:	18-1241
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: DISH Network Corporation, Petition for Waiver of Sections 27.5(j) and 27.53(h)(2)(ii) of the Commission's Rules and Request for Extension of Time
Case Description:	<i>NTCH, Inc. v. FCC</i> , D.C. Cir. Nos. 18-1241 & 1242. In these consolidated cases, NTCH challenges the FCC's decisions to grant DISH Network Corporation a waiver of the service rules that applied to certain of its spectrum licenses, and the agency's separate decision to set an \$1.564 billion aggregate reserve price in the H Block Auction.
Consolidated Cases:	NTCH, Inc. v. FCC, No. 18-1242
Status:	Notice of Appeal filed September 7, 2018. Oral argument held Oct. 8, 2019. Court opinion denying petition in part and granting in part, issued February 21, 2020. Petition for Rehearing en banc denied on April 28, 2020.

Name:	NTCH, Inc. v. FCC
Case No:	18-1243
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Service Rules for Advanced Wireless Services in the 2000-2020 MHz and 2180-2200 MHz Bands
Case Description:	Challenge to a series of Commission orders (FCC 12-151, DA 13-231, and FCC 18-121) that modified the Mobile Satellite licenses of wholly owned subsidiaries of DISH to include the right to provide stand-alone terrestrial service.
Status:	Petition for Review filed September 7, 2018. Oral argument held Oct. 8, 2019. Court opinion denying petition, issued February 21, 2020.

Name:	Northstar Wireless, LLC, et al. v. FCC
Case No:	18-1209
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Northstar Wireless, LLC, SNR Wireless LicenseCo, LLC, Applications for New License in the 1695-1710 MHz, and 1755-1780 MHz and 2155-2180 MHz Bands, File Nos. 0006670613, 0006670667, FCC 18-98
Case Description:	Appellants challenge procedures the Commission will use to resolve a remand from the D.C. Circuit.
Consolidated Cases:	Northstar Wireless, LLC v. FCC, No. 18-1210
Status:	Notice of Appeal filed on August 2, 2018. Court order, issued September 26, 2018, granting motion to hold case in abeyance. The FCC is directed to file status reports at 90-day intervals beginning November 26, 2018.

Name:	Mozilla Corp. v. FCC & USA
Case No:	18-1051
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of Restoring Internet Freedom
Case Description:	Petitioners challenge the Commission’s <i>Restoring Internet Freedom</i> order, which reclassified broadband internet access as a Title I information service and repealed the former federal conduct rules to restore a light-touch, market-based federal regulatory framework.
Consolidated Cases:	Vimeo, Inc. v. FCC, No. 18-1052; Public Knowledge v. FCC, No. 18-1053; Open Tech. Institute v. FCC, No. 18-1054; State of NY v. FCC, No. 18-1055; Nat’l Hispanic Media Coal. V. FCC, No. 18-1056; NTCH, Inc. v. FCC, No. 18-1061; Benton Inst. v. FCC, No. 18-1062; Free Press v. FCC, No. 18-1064; Coal. For Internet Openness v. FCC, No. 18-1065; Etsy, Inc. v. FCC, No. 18-1066; Ad Hoc Telecoms User v. FCC, No. 18-1067; Center for Democracy v. FCC, No. 18-1068; Cty of Santa Clara v. FCC, No. 18-1088; Calif. Public Utils. V. FCC, No. 18-1089; INCOMPAS v. FCC, No. 18-1105
Status:	Petition for review filed February 22, 2018. Oral argument held February 1, 2019. Court judgment, issued October 1, 2019, granting petitions for review in part and denying in part. Petition for rehearing denied February 6, 2020.

Name:	AT&T Corp. v. FCC
Case No:	18-1007
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of AT&T Corp., Complainant v. Iowa Network Services, Inc. d/b/a Aureon Network services, Defendant
Case Description:	Consolidated petitions for review of FCC orders in a § 208 complaint proceeding involving AT&T’s and Aureon’s dispute over access charges related to access stimulation or traffic pumping by LECs that participate in Aureon’s centralized equal access (CEA) network in Iowa. Central issues involved the “deemed lawful” provision (47 U.S.C. § 204(a)(3)) and regulation of Aureon under cost-based and CLEC benchmark regulations.
Consolidated Cases:	Iowa Network Servs., Inc. d/b/a Aureon Network Servs. v. FCC, No. 18-1257; AT&T Corp. v. FCC, No. 19-1013
Status:	Petition for review filed January 8, 2018. FCC brief filed January 17, 2020. Court held oral argument on May 4, 2020.

Name:	Prometheus Radio Project v. FCC & USA
Case No:	17-1107
Court:	Third Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: 2014 Quadrennial Regulatory Review-Review of the Commission's Broadcast Ownership Rules and Other Rules Adopted Pursuant to Section 202 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 In the Matter of: Rules and Policies to Promote New Entry and Ownership Diversity in the Broadcasting Service
Case Description:	Petitioners challenge the Commission's revisions to its media ownership rules and measures to promote diversity of broadcast ownership.
Consolidated Cases:	News Media All. v. FCC, No. 17-1108; MMTC v. FCC, No. 17-1109; Scranton Times v. FCC, No. 17-1110; Bonneville Int'l v. FCC, No. 17-1111; Prometheus Radio v. FCC, No. 18-1092; Indep. Television Grp. v. FCC, No. 18-1669; MMTC v. FCC, No. 18-1670; Free Press v. FCC, No. 18-1671; Prometheus Radio v. FCC, No. 18-2943
Status:	FCC Brief filed March 22, 2019. Oral argument held on June 11, 2019. Court judgment, issued September 24, 2019, vacating and remanding the FCC's <i>2017 Recon Order</i> and the <i>Incubator Order</i> as well as a portion of the 2016 Order. FCC petition for rehearing denied November 20, 2019. Government filed petition for certiorari on April 17, 2020.

Name:	Allband Communications Cooperative v. FCC & USA
Case No:	16-4059
Court:	Sixth Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Connect America Fund; Allband Communications Cooperative Petition for Waiver of Certain High-Cost Universal Service Rules. WC Docket No. 10-90. FCC No. 16-94.
Case Description:	Allband petitions for review of the denial of its requests for a waiver through 2026 of the per line cap of \$250/month on total high-cost USF support.
Status:	Petition for review filed September 19, 2016. Case held in abeyance on January 17, 2017. Petitioner has filed a Status Report every 60 days since March 20, 2017.

Name:	United States Telecom Association v. FCC & USA
Case No:	15-1414
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Ensuring Customer Premises Equipment Backup Power for Continuity of Communications – Technology Transitions, etc., FCC 14-185 (rel: Nov. 25, 2014) and In the Matter of: Technology Transitions – Policies and Rules Governing Retirement of Copper Loops by Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, etc., FCC 15-97 (rel: Aug. 7, 2015)
Case Description:	USTelecom challenges some declaratory rulings and new rules concerning the transition from circuit-switched telephone networks to Internet Protocol (IP) communications networks.
Status:	Petition for Review filed November 12, 2015. FCC Brief filed August 15, 2016. Court order issued February 21, 2017, placing case in abeyance. The FCC is directed to file status reports at 60-day intervals beginning April 24, 2017.

Name:	United States Telecomm. Ass'n., et al. v. FCC & USA
Case No:	15-1322
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In the Matter of: Lifeline and Link Up Reform and Modernization; Telecommunications Carriers Eligible for Universal Service Support; and Connect America Fund, FCC 15-71 (rel: June 22, 2015)
Case Description:	USTelecom challenges the FCC's authority to require providers of Lifeline service to protect the confidentiality of documents used to establish Lifeline eligibility. Some Oklahoma carriers challenge the FCC's adoption of a rule interpretation that reduces the number of areas in Oklahoma that qualify for Tribal Lifeline subsidies.
Status:	Petition for Review filed September 11, 2015. Court order, issued January 14, 2016, holding case in abeyance. Court order issued January 10, 2018, that respondents file a status report on January 22, 2018 and 90-day intervals thereafter.

Name:	Sprint Nextel Corporation v. FCC
Case No:	06-1278
Court:	D.C. Circuit
FCC Order Description:	In re: review of the spectrum sharing plan among non-geostationary satellite orbit mobile satellite service systems in the 1.6/2.4 GHz bands, 19 FCC Rcd 13356 (2004), et al., FCC 04-134 and 06-46.
Case Description:	Petitioner seeks review of the FCC's orders in which the FCC adopted a plan for spectrum sharing among operators in the 2495-2690 MHz bands.
Status:	Petition for Review filed July 21, 2006. Held in abeyance. Status report filed every 90 days.