Congress of the United States

Washington, DC 20510

May 9, 2022

The Honorable Jessica Rosenworcel Chairwoman Federal Communication Commission 45 L Street NE Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Geoffrey Starks Commissioner Federal Communication Commission 45 L Street NE Washington, DC 20554 The Honorable Brendan Carr Commissioner Federal Communication Commission 45 L Street NE Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Nathan Simington Commissioner Federal Communication Commission 45 L Street NE Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairwoman Rosenworcel, Commissioner Carr, Commissioner Starks, and Commissioner Simington:

Pursuant to Section 60104 of the *Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act* ("the Act"), the Commission initiated a proceeding on December 15, 2021 seeking comment on issues related to the future of the Universal Service Fund (USF) in light of the broadband investments called for by the Act.¹ Upon gathering the findings, the Commission is then directed to issue a report to Congress by August 12, 2022. We write to request that you closely examine and consider updates to the types of costs that are eligible for USF reimbursement.

Today, broadband is considered an essential service and network traffic is growing exponentially. Video streaming services, in particular, are now estimated to account for 75 percent of data on rural broadband networks.² It has also been reported that some streaming video companies use technology to detect the robustness of broadband networks so they can deliver the highest quality video to the end user, which has required network upgrades at a much quicker pace. Some examples of equipment often updated include network cards, routers, and switches, but there are additional expenses required for the delivery of video streaming services.

Rural broadband providers have difficulty raising prices to cover these costs: their subscribers are particularly sensitive to affordability concerns. As a result, millions of dollars in unrecovered costs from video streaming companies are shifted and borne by small rural broadband providers. This is yet another factor that contributes to the rural broadband digital divide we see today.

We strongly request that the Commission's examination, under Section 60104 of the Act, include the costs of data transportation, and the costs associated with the use, maintenance, and

417

¹ Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, Pub. L. No. 117-58, § 60104, 135 Stat. 429, 1205 (2021).

² Layton, Roslyn et. al.., "Rural Broadband and the Unrecovered Cost of Streaming Video Entertainment," *ITS Gothenburg* (June 2021), *available at* https://bit.ly/3JtfCX9 (last accessed Feb. 8. 2022).

upgrading of the middle mile portions of broadband networks in rural areas. Should the status quo continue, small rural broadband providers and their subscribers will be forced to bear the escalating costs of delivering streaming video. A failure to include such consideration(s) would harm rural families, and contribute to furthering the digital divide in America.

We look forward to hearing from you and working with you in the future on this very important issue. If you have any questions related to this request, please reach out to Holmes Whalen at holmes.whalen@mail.house.gov.

Sincerely,

Markwayne Mullin Member of Congress Member of Congress Ranking Member, Research and Technology

Mariannette Miller-Meeks, M.D.

Member of Congress

Pete Stauber

Member of Congress

David B. McKinley, P.E Member of Congress

Mike Bost Member of Congress

Kat Cammack

Member of Congress

Pat Fallon

Member of Congress

Randy Feenstra
Member of Congress

Garret Graves

Mambar of Con

Member of Congress

Tracey Mann

Member of Congress

Tom Cole

Member of Congress

Dan Newhouse

Member of Congress

Earl I Bully Carte

Earl L. "Buddy" Carter Member of Congress

Kevin Hern

Member of Congress

Jake LaTurner

Member of Congress

Sharice L. Davids

Member of Congress

John Rose

Member of Congress

John Ow Rose