

October 14, 2022

The Honorable Tom Emmer U.S. House of Representatives 315 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Emmer:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable David Scott U.S. House of Representatives 468 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Scott:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Jeff Duncan U.S. House of Representatives 2229 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Duncan:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Terri A. Sewell U.S. House of Representatives 2201 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Sewell:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Ralph Norman U.S. House of Representatives 569 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Norman:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Pete Stauber U.S. House of Representatives 461 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Stauber:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Randy Feenstra U.S. House of Representatives 1440 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Feenstra:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Ann Wagner U.S. House of Representatives 2350 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Wagner:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Glenn Grothman U.S. House of Representatives 1427 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Grothman:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Mike Johnson U.S. House of Representatives 568 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Johnson:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Steve Chabot U.S. House of Representatives 2408 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Chabot:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Roger Williams U.S. House of Representatives 1708 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Williams:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Neal Patrick Dunn U.S. House of Representatives 316 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Dunn:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Chip Roy U.S. House of Representatives 1005 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Roy:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable David Rouzer U.S. House of Representatives 2333 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Rouzer:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Joe Wilson U.S. House of Representatives 1436 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Wilson:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Liz Cheney U.S. House of Representatives 416 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Cheney:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable David Joyce U.S. House of Representatives 2065 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Joyce:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Ken Buck U.S. House of Representatives 2455 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Buck:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Yvette Herrell U.S. House of Representatives 1305 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Herrell:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Thomas Tiffany U.S. House of Representatives 1719 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Tiffany:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Christopher H. Smith U.S. House of Representatives 2373 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Smith:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Markwayne Mullin U.S. House of Representatives 2421 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Mullin:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Alex X. Mooney U.S. House of Representatives 2228 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Mooney:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Bryan Steil U.S. House of Representatives 1526 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Steil:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Scott Fitzgerald U.S. House of Representatives 1507 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Fitzgerald:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Julia Letlow U.S. House of Representatives 1408 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Letlow:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Barry Moore U.S. House of Representatives 1504 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Moore:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Randy Weber U.S. House of Representatives 107 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Weber:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Carol Miller U.S. House of Representatives 465 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Miller:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Michael Flood U.S. House of Representatives 1514 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Flood:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Don Bacon U.S. House of Representatives 1024 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Bacon:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Jim Jordan U.S. House of Representatives 2056 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Jordan:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable William Timmons U.S. House of Representatives 267 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Timmons:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Mark Amodei U.S. House of Representatives 104 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Amodei:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable John Moolenaar U.S. House of Representatives 117 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Moolenaar:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Larry Bucshon U.S. House of Representatives 2313 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Bucshon:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Bob Good U.S. House of Representatives 1213 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Good:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Doug Lamborn U.S. House of Representatives 2371 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Lamborn:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Garret Graves U.S. House of Representatives 2402 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Graves:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Darin LaHood U.S. House of Representatives 1424 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative LaHood:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Bruce Westerman U.S. House of Representatives 209 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Westerman:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Elissa Slotkin U.S. House of Representatives 1210 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Slotkin:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Rick Crawford U.S. House of Representatives 2422 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Crawford:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Jack Bergman U.S. House of Representatives 566 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Bergman:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Chris Stewart U.S. House of Representatives 166 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Stewart:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Rodney Davis U.S. House of Representatives 2079 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Davis:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable David B. McKinley U.S. House of Representatives 2239 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative McKinley:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Steve Womack U.S. House of Representatives 2412 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Womack:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Tracey Mann U.S. House of Representatives 522 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Mann:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Ron Kind U.S. House of Representatives 1502 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Kind:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Lauren Boebert U.S. House of Representatives 1609 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Boebert:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Jared Golden U.S. House of Representatives 1222 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Golden:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable James R. Comer U.S. House of Representatives 2410 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Comer:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Aumua Amata Coleman Radewagen U.S. House of Representatives 1339 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Radewagen:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Trey Hollingsworth U.S. House of Representatives 1641 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Hollingsworth:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Jefferson Van Drew U.S. House of Representatives 2447 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Van Drew:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jum Reamme

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Teresa Leger Fernandez U.S. House of Representatives 1432 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Leger Fernandez:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Richard Hudson U.S. House of Representatives 2112 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Hudson:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Gary Palmer U.S. House of Representatives 170 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Palmer:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Kelly Armstrong U.S. House of Representatives 1740 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Armstrong:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Fred Keller U.S. House of Representatives 1717 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Keller:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Clay Higgins U.S. House of Representatives 572 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Higgins:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Jake LaTurner U.S. House of Representatives 1630 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative LaTurner:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Tom McClintock U.S. House of Representatives 2312 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative McClintock:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Blake Moore U.S. House of Representatives 1320 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Moore:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Mark E. Green U.S. House of Representatives 2446 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Green:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Jody Hice U.S. House of Representatives 404 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Hice:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Mike D. Rogers U.S. House of Representatives 2469 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Rogers:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Billy Long U.S. House of Representatives 2454 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Long:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Kevin Hern U.S. House of Representatives 1019 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Hern:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Michelle Steel U.S. House of Representatives 1113 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Steel:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Barry Loudermilk U.S. House of Representatives 2133 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Loudermilk:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Jerry Carl U.S. House of Representatives 1330 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Carl:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Kurt Schrader U.S. House of Representatives 2431 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Schrader:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Robert B. Aderholt U.S. House of Representatives 266 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Aderholt:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Steven M. Palazzo U.S. House of Representatives 2349 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Palazzo:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Deborah K. Ross U.S. House of Representatives 1208 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Ross:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Virginia Foxx U.S. House of Representatives 2462 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Foxx:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable John Carter U.S. House of Representatives 2208 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Carter:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Adrian Smith U.S. House of Representatives 502 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Smith:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Mariannette Miller-Meeks U.S. House of Representatives 1716 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Miller-Meeks:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Henry Cuellar U.S. House of Representatives 2372 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Cuellar:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Mo Brooks U.S. House of Representatives 2185 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Brooks:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Tim Ryan U.S. House of Representatives 1126 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Ryan:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Michael Guest U.S. House of Representatives 230 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Guest:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Sam Graves U.S. House of Representatives 1135 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Graves:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Sanford D. Bishop U.S. House of Representatives 2407 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Bishop:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Rob Wittman U.S. House of Representatives 2055 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Wittman:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Warren Davidson U.S. House of Representatives 2113 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Davidson:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Mike Carey U.S. House of Representatives 2234 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Carey:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Michelle Fischbach U.S. House of Representatives 1237 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Fischbach:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast some relief from costs associated with the implementation of mandates unrelated to the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Dusty Johnson U.S. House of Representatives 1714 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Johnson:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel



October 14, 2022

The Honorable Jake Ellzey U.S. House of Representatives 1725 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Ellzey:

Thank you for your letter concerning the regulatory fee process at the Federal Communications Commission and the impact of changes to that process on broadcasters.

As you note in your letter, our preliminary assessment of regulatory fees for fiscal year 2022 (FY22) would have increased broadcasters' fees by approximately 13 percent. Although the Commission has consistently applied the same methodology to calculate regulatory fees, a special change in the FY21 regulatory fee process that reduced broadcasters' fees led to a larger increase in the preliminary assessment of broadcasters' fees for FY22. Specifically, in FY21, the Commission exempted the broadcast industry from approximately \$33 million in assessed costs because of a one-time statutory direction to cover the implementation of the Broadband DATA Act. With that direction no longer in place for FY22, the Commission's preliminary assessment for FY22 resulted in greater proposed increases in regulatory fees for broadcasters. But given the concerns of broadcasters about the process and the need to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs, I supported—and the Commission ultimately adopted—a final FY22 Regulatory Fee Order that granted the broadcast industry.

As you note, broadcast payors are unique, in that they are not able to pass along their costs to their audiences. Unfortunately, the statute does not directly address this situation. Nonetheless, as part of our recent action, the agency also began a Notice of Inquiry to review our assessment and collection of regulatory fees across the board. I believe that licensees should have input into the fee assessment process. I am committed to a fair, reliable, and transparent methodology that is consistent with the law and supports essential agency budgetary needs.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Jun Reammine

Jessica Rosenworcel