



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Jennifer McClellan
United States House of Representatives
1628 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. McClellan,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "B Carr", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Jonathan Jackson
United States House of Representatives
1632 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Jackson,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "B Carr", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Eleanor Holmes Norton
United States House of Representatives
1306 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Norton,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'B Carr', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Rashida Tlaib
United States House of Representatives
2438 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Tlaib,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'B Carr', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Joyce Beatty
United States House of Representatives
2079 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Beatty,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'B Carr', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Timothy Kennedy
United States House of Representatives
423 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Kennedy,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "B Carr", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Troy A. Carter
United States House of Representatives
442 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Carter,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'B Carr', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Paul Tonko
United States House of Representatives
2269 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Tonko,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'B Carr', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Marc Veasey
United States House of Representatives
2186 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Veasey,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "B Carr", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Lauren Underwood
United States House of Representatives
2228 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Underwood,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "B Carr", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Bennie Thompson
United States House of Representatives
2466 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Thompson,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'B Carr', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Shomari Figures
United States House of Representatives
225 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Figures,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "B Carr", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Al Green
United States House of Representatives
2347 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Green,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "B Carr", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Nikema Williams
United States House of Representatives
1406 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Williams,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "B Carr", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Joaquin Castro
United States House of Representatives
2241 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Castro,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "B Carr", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Betty McCollum
United States House of Representatives
2426 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. McCollum,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "B Carr", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Shontel M. Brown
United States House of Representatives
2455 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Brown,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "B Carr", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Brendan Carr
Chairman

June 9, 2026

The Honorable Jahana Hayes
United States House of Representatives
2409 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

RE: May 15, 2026, Letter to the FCC

Dear Rep. Hayes,

Thank you for your May 15, 2026, letter to the Federal Communications Commission. I appreciate the chance to respond to your questions regarding the FCC's approach to DEI and the agency's standard for reviewing transactions.

I am focused as Chairman of the FCC on restoring the agency's commitment to basic fairness and faithful adherence to the Congressionally enacted Communications Act. Through the diligent work of the FCC's talented staff, the agency has been delivering great results for the American people. Internet speeds are up. Prices are down. Competition is stronger than before. And the FCC has taken bold steps to promote our national security interests. There is much more work ahead. But the U.S. is now leading the world again in next-gen technologies—including ones that will connect families that had been stuck on the wrong side of the digital divide for far too long. The FCC is also freeing up spectrum after years of inaction. And we are cutting through the red tape that has slowed down Internet builds across the country.

When it comes to ending unlawful discrimination, I believe there is much more common ground here than the public discourse might sometimes suggest. Everyone should be treated fairly based on their own merits. No one should be discriminated against based on their race or gender or any other protected characteristics.

I am working to ensure nondiscrimination across the industry segments regulated by the FCC—not simply because it is the right thing to do, but because federal law requires it. Indeed, Congress, in the very first section of the Communications Act, has tasked the FCC with regulating communications “without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”¹ Likewise, the FCC has EEO regulations on our books.² The FCC's role in these matters involves applying the framework established by Congress, the Commission's rules,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 151.

² See, 47 U.S.C. §§ 554(b); 47 CFR §§ 25.601, 90.168, 73.2080(a), 76.73.

and longstanding FCC precedent.

As you know, President Trump took quick and decisive action to root out unlawful forms of DEI discrimination. In his first week in office, President Trump issued an Executive Order to end illegal discrimination and restore merit-based opportunity across the private sector.³ In that Executive Order, President Trump wrote that illegal DEI policies “undermine our national unity, as they deny, discredit, and undermine the traditional American values of hard work, excellence, and individual achievement in favor of an unlawful, corrosive, and pernicious identity-based spoils system.” The FCC is focused on competently carrying out its statutory obligations under the Communications Act and will continue working to end the promotion of discriminatory practices.

The FCC takes seriously its responsibility to investigate and address allegations that regulated entities have been discriminating in violation of the federal nondiscrimination regulations. That is why the FCC has been taking enforcement action in the Disney case. Evidence has come to light that could show that Disney discriminated based protected characteristics in the hiring, compensation, and promotion of people as well as in workplace opportunities. While the FCC has made no final decision on these claims, the allegations are concerning and merit the attention the FCC has afforded them. The agency will continue to follow the facts and the law wherever they go.

Your letter also asks about the FCC’s public interest standard when reviewing transactions. Section 310(d) of the Communications Act requires the FCC to assess whether a proposed transaction will serve the public interest. To make this determination, the FCC must first determine if the application complies with provisions of the Communications Act and FCC rules. If it does, then the FCC considers whether granting the application could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Communications Act or related statutes. Competition, diversity, localism, and encouraging the provision of advanced services to all Americans are among the principle objectives of the Act. The FCC also considers what potential public benefits might occur because of the transaction. The FCC balances the potential public interest harms against the potential benefits. Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating that the proposed transaction, on balance, will serve the public interest.

³ Executive Order on Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity (Jan 21, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-basedopportunity/>.

I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your letter and to clarify recent FCC actions. Under my leadership, the FCC will continue working to ensure fair and even-handed treatment for all parties appearing before the agency while faithfully carrying out the FCC's statutory obligations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'B Carr', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Brendan Carr,
Chairman