Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

GTE Mobilnet of Terre Haute Limited Partnership Duluth MSA Limited Partnership Toledo Cellular Telephone Company) File No. 06667-CL-MP-92 File No. 06754-CL-MP-92 File No. 06726-CL-MP-92
Duluth MSA Limited Partnership) File No. 06754-CL-MP-92 Toledo Cellular Telephone Company) File No. 06726-CL-MP-92
Duluth MSA Limited Partnership) File No. 06754-CL-MP-92 Toledo Cellular Telephone Company) File No. 06726-CL-MP-92
Toledo Cellular Telephone Company) File No. 06726-CL-MP-92
Saco River Cellular Company)
Telephone Company) File No. 05635-CL-MP-92
Telephone Cellular)
Communications, Inc.) File No. 05468-CL-MP-92
Independent Cellular Network, Inc.) File Nos.06065-CL-MP-92
) 06625-CL-MP-92
Albany Telephone Company) File Nos.06014-CL-MP-92
) 05851-CL-MP-92
Alltel Mobile Communications)
of Florida) File No. 06428-CL-MP-92
Brownsville Cellular)
Telephone Company) File No. 06042-CL-MP-92
Memphis Cellular Telephone Company) File No. 06369-CL-MP-92
Fayetteville MSA Limited Partnership) File No. 06070-CL-MP-92
McAllen-Edinburgh-Mission SMSA)
Limited Partnership) File No. 06332-CL-MP-92
Metroplex Telephone Company) File No. 06629-CL-MP-92
McCaw Communications of)
Ft. Pierce, Inc.) File No. 06489-CL-MP-92
Mobile MSA Limited Partnership) File No. 06544-CL-MP-92
Interstate Mobilephone Company) File No. 06499-CL-MP-92
Utica Telephone Company) File No. 06569-CL-MP-92
)
For major modifications of existing)
MSA cellular systems)

ORDER

Adopted: March 3, 2000 Released: March 14, 2000

By the Commission:

1. The Commission has before it an Application for Review, filed on December 3, 1992, by The Committee for Effective Cellular Rules (CECR). CECR seeks review of an order by the former Mobile Services Division of the Common Carrier Bureau (Bureau) denying various CECR petitions to dismiss, deny, or defer the above-captioned applications to modify existing cellular systems.¹

_

¹ GTE Mobilnet of Terre Haute Limited Partnership, 7 FCC Rcd. 7127 (1992).

- 2. CECR presents no arguments in its Application for Review that would lead us to change the Bureau's decision. We therefore deny CECR's Application for Review and affirm the Bureau's decision for the reasons stated therein.
- 3. Accordingly, pursuant to section 5(c)(5) of the Communications Act, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 155(c)(5), and section 1.115(g) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.115(g), the Application for Review filed by The Committee for Effective Cellular Rules on December 3, 1992 IS DENIED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Magalie Roman Salas Secretary