Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

Bachow/Coastel, L.L.C.,)
Complainant,))
v.)
GTE Wireless of the South, Inc.,)
Defendant.)

File No. WB/ENF-F-98-005

ORDER ON RECONSIDERATION

Adopted: May 10, 2001

Released: May 14, 2001

By the Commission:

1. In this Order, we deny the Petition for Reconsideration that ALLTEL Illinois Limited Partnership ("ALLTEL") filed on March 26, 2001, as the successor in interest to three cellular licenses previously operated by GTE Wireless of the South, Inc. ("GTE"). On February 29, 2000, the Enforcement Bureau issued an order holding that GTE had violated the Commission's rules because the service area boundaries associated with three of its cellular licenses overlapped into the Gulf of Mexico cellular geographic service area ("GMSA") licensed to Bachow/Coastel L.L.C ("Bachow").¹ On March 16, 2000, GTE filed an Application for Review of the Enforcement Bureau's decision. The Commission denied the Application for Review on February 22, 2001.² In the instant Petition for Reconsideration, ALLTEL requests that the Commission reverse its previous decision.

2. Where the Commission has denied an Application for Review, as we have done here, a Petition for Reconsideration will only be entertained if it relies on new or previously

¹ See Bachow/Coastel, L.L.C. v. GTE Wireless of the South, Inc., Order, DA 00-420 (rel. Feb. 29, 2000) ("Order").

² See Bachow/Coastel, L.L.C. v. GTE Wireless of the South, Inc., Order on Review, FCC 01-59 (rel. Feb. 22, 2001) ("Order on Review").

unknown facts or circumstances.³ Because ALLTEL raises no new or previously unknown facts or circumstances, we deny its Petition for Reconsideration.⁴

3. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), and 208 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i), 154(j), 208, and section 1.106 of our rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.106, that the Petition for Reconsideration filed by ALLTEL IS DENIED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Magalie Roman Salas Secretary

³ See 47 C.F.R. § 1.106(b).

⁴ See id. We reject ALLTEL's suggestion that its role as successor to GTE justifies relitigating previously decided issues. See Petition for Reconsideration at 1, n.3.