# Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

| In the Matter of:  | )                    |
|--|----------------------|
| Implementation of the Satellite Home Viewer Improvement Act of 1999:   | ) CS Docket No. 00-2 |
| Application of Network Non-Duplication,<br>Syndicated Exclusivity, and Sports Blackout<br>Rules To Satellite Retransmissions of Broadcast<br>Signals | )<br>)<br>)<br>)     |

# ORDER ON RECONSIDERATION

Adopted: October 10, 2002 Released: October 17, 2002

By the Commission:

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|       |                                     | <u>Par</u>   | agraph |
|-------|-------------------------------------|--|--------|
| I.    | INTRO                               | DUCTION  | 2      |
| II.   | BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF PETITIONS |  |        |
| III.  | ORDE                                | R ON RECONSIDERATION   | 4      |
|       | A.                                  | Transition Phase-In Period   | 4      |
|       | B.                                  | Sports Blackout Rule   | 6      |
|       | C.                                  | Definition of "Local" for Purposes of the Application of the Sports Blackout Rules | 13     |
|       | D.                                  | Clarification of Non-Duplication Protection Notices                                | 15     |
| IV.   | PROCE                               | EDURAL MATTERS   | 15     |
| V.    | ORDE                                | RING CLAUSES   | 17     |
| APPEN | NDIX A                              | – List of Participants   | 18     |
| APPEN | IDIX B                              | - Rule Changes   | 19     |

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- In this Order on Reconsideration, we consider three petitions for reconsideration of the Commission's Report and Order in Implementation of the Satellite Home Viewer Improvement Act of 1999: Application of Network Non-Duplication, Syndicated Exclusivity, and Sports Blackout Rules To Satellite Retransmissions of Broadcast Signals, which implemented Section 339 of the Communications Act of 1934 ("Act"), as amended by the Section 1008 of the Satellite Home Viewer Improvement Act of 1999 ("SHVIA"). The Report and Order adopted rules to apply the network non-duplication, syndicated exclusivity, and sports blackout rules, previously applicable only to cable television systems, to satellite carriers' retransmission of nationally distributed superstations,<sup>3</sup> and to apply the sports blackout rule to satellite carriers' retransmission of network stations.<sup>4</sup> The network non-duplication, syndicated exclusivity, and sports blackout rules (collectively referred to herein as "the exclusivity rules"), protect exclusive contractual rights that have been negotiated between program providers and broadcasters or other rights holders. The satellite network non-duplication and syndicated exclusivity rules provide that specific programs must be deleted from "nationally distributed superstations" delivered to subscribers within a specified area if the programs are subject to exclusive rights pursuant to contracts with local stations.<sup>5</sup> The sports blackout rule provides that sporting events carried on distant stations retransmitted to a specified area must be deleted when carriage would violate sporting teams' or leagues' exclusive rights in the local market.6
- 2. The issues raised on reconsideration are largely technical issues pertaining to the operation of the rules. The Office of the Commissioner of Baseball, the National Basketball Association, the National Football League, the National Hockey League, and the Division 1-A Athletic Director's Association ("Sports Leagues") jointly filed a petition for reconsideration concerning the timing for submitting deletion notifications to satellite carriers and the method of determining when the blackout rule is triggered. EchoStar Satellite Corporation ("EchoStar") filed a petition for reconsideration concerning the duration of the phase-in period and the timing and application of the notification

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 FCC Rcd 21,688 (2000) (hereinafter "Report and Order").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pub. L. No. 106-113, 113 Stat. 1501, 1501A-526 to 1501A-545 (Nov. 29, 1999); 47 U.S.C. § 339 (as enacted by § 1008 of the SHVIA). Section 339(d)(4) defines "satellite carrier" by reference to the definition in the Copyright Act of 1947, as amended, 17 U.S.C. § 119(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>47 U.S.C. § 339(b)(1)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See § 1008 of the SHVIA and 47 U.S.C. § 339(b)(1)(B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A "nationally distributed superstation" is a television broadcast station, licensed by the Commission, that meets the following three criteria: (A) it is not owned or operated by or affiliated with a television network that, as of January 1, 1995, offered interconnected program service on a regular basis for 15 or more hours per week to at least 25 affiliated television licensees in 10 or more States; (B) on May 1, 1991, it was retransmitted by a satellite carrier and was not a network station at that time; and (C) it was, as of July 1, 1998, retransmitted by a satellite carrier under the statutory license of section 119 of title 17, United States Code. *See* 47 U.S.C. § 339(d)(2). The only television broadcast stations that meet this definition are KTLA-TV (Los Angeles), WPIX-TV (New York), KWGN-TV (Denver), WSBK-TV (Boston), WWOR-TV (New York) and WGN-TV (Chicago). No new station can meet the date-specific criteria set forth in the definition. *See Report and Order* at 21692-93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>See Report and Order, 15 FCC Rcd at 21694-95.

requirements, with which DirecTV, Inc. ("DirecTV") joined in part.<sup>7</sup> The Association of Local Television Stations ("ALTV")<sup>8</sup> and the Motion Picture Association of America ("MPAA"), as well as the Petitioners, filed oppositions or comments in response to the petitions.

3. Our response to the petitions is governed by the Communications Act and our own rules. Reconsideration of a Commission decision is warranted only if the petitioner cites a material error of fact or law, or presents additional facts and circumstances that raise substantial or material questions of fact that were not considered and that otherwise warrant Commission review of its prior action. The Commission will not reconsider arguments that have already been considered. For the reasons stated herein, we deny EchoStar's and DirecTV's petitions and deny in part and grant in part the Sports Leagues' petition. We also take this opportunity to clarify and, where necessary, amend some of the requirements in the *Report and Order* and the rules.

## II. BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF PETITIONS

- 4. In implementing sections of the SHVIA in the *Report and Order*, the Commission was guided by the directive to place satellite carriers on equal footing with cable operators, while also taking into consideration that the operational structures of cable operators and satellite carriers are different.<sup>13</sup> To allow satellite carriers a reasonable period of time to adjust to the new non-duplication and syndicated exclusivity rules, the Commission gave carriers 120 days from the time they received blackout request notices to implement the necessary deletions for the first six months the rules were effective.<sup>14</sup>
  - 5. With respect to the sports blackout rule, the Commission applied the rule to satellite

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Petition for Reconsideration of Office of the Commissioner of Baseball, *et al.* (filed Dec. 13, 2000) ("Sports Leagues Petition"), EchoStar Petition for Reconsideration (filed Dec. 14, 2000) ("EchoStar Petition"), and DirecTV Petition for Reconsideration (filed Dec. 14, 2000) ("DirecTV Petition").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> ALTV no longer exists.

 $<sup>^9</sup>$  Petitions for reconsideration in a rulemaking proceeding are governed by Section 1.429 of the Commission's rules. See 47 C.F.R.  $\S$  1.429; see also 47 U.S.C.  $\S$  405(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 1.429. See, e.g., 800 Data Base Access Tariffs and the 800 Service Management System Tariff and Provision of 800 Services, 12 FCC Rcd 5188, 5202 n.84 (1997); Amendment of Section 73.202(B), Table of Allotments, FM Broadcast Stations, 10 FCC Rcd 7727 (1995) (citing Eagle Broadcasting Co. v. FCC, 514 F.2d 852 (D.C. Cir. 1975)); see also Amendment of Part 97 of the Commission's Rules Concerning the Establishment of a Codeless Class of Amateur Operator License, 7 FCC Rcd 1753 (1992) ("petitions for reconsideration must show changed facts or circumstances, or facts that were unknown to the petitioner until after the petitioner's last opportunity to present them to [the Commission]").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Satellite Delivery of Network Signals to Unserved Households for Purposes of the Satellite Home Viewer Act: Part 73 Definition and Measurement of Signals of Grade B Intensity, Order on Reconsideration, 14 FCC Rcd 17373 (1999); Elimination of Telephone Company-Cable Cross Ownership Rules, Sections 63.54-63.56, for Rural Areas, 91 F.C.C. 2d 622 (1982); Amendment of Section 73.636(a) of the Commission's Rules (Multiple Ownership of Television Stations), 82 F.C.C. 2d 329 (1980).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See 47 U.S.C. § 405; 47 C.F.R. § 1.108. See also Central Florida Enterprises v. FCC, 598 F.2d 37, 48 n.51 (D.C. Cir.), cert. dismissed, 441 U.S. 957 (1979).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See 15 FCC Rcd at 21690, 21698.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See id. at 21711-22. The rules took effect on November 29, 2000. Public Notice, DA 00-2647. Therefore, the six month period ended May 29, 2001.

retransmission of nationally distributed superstations as well as to satellite retransmission of network stations. Although the satellite sports blackout rules are very similar to the cable rules, the notification periods in the satellite context differ to ensure that satellite carriers are notified of blackouts as soon as the rights holder has the information in hand.<sup>15</sup> In addition, in order to afford satellite carriers an opportunity to adjust to the new requirements, the Commission provided a phase-in period for implementing the sports blackout rules, albeit somewhat shorter than the phase-in for the non-duplication and syndicated exclusivity rules.<sup>16</sup>

6. EchoStar's petition for reconsideration requests a one-year phase-in period, rather than the 120-day transition provided in the *Report and Order*.<sup>17</sup> EchoStar also requests a longer notification period for sports blackout requests, <sup>18</sup> and it objects to the application of the sports blackout rule to all network stations.<sup>19</sup> DirecTV's petition for reconsideration joins EchoStar's petition with respect to application of the sports blackout rule to all network stations and the length of the notification period.<sup>20</sup> The Sports Leagues object to the requirement that notice of sports blackouts be given within forty-eight hours of the telecast schedule being set.<sup>21</sup> The Sports Leagues also contend that Section 76.128 does not precisely track the defunct rule section it was intended to replace.<sup>22</sup>

## III. ORDER ON RECONSIDERATION

#### A. Transition Phase-In Period

7. **Background**. In the Report and Order the Commission gave satellite carriers time to phase-in compliance with the new network non-duplication and syndicated exclusivity rules to ensure that they would have adequate equipment and personnel to implement the deletions, and to arrange for programming to substitute for deleted programming.<sup>23</sup> To afford both satellite carriers and broadcasters a reasonable period of time to adjust to the new requirements and review the contract language, the Commission provided that broadcasters would have up to six months from the effective date of the Report and Order to renegotiate contracts, and required that they notify satellite carriers of deletion requests within sixty days of signing a renegotiated contract. For notices provided before June 1, 2001, satellite carriers were given 120 days before they were required to implement the necessary deletions. For notices provided to satellite carriers after June 1, 2001, the normal time requirements - within sixty days of notification - apply.<sup>24</sup> The six month period for renegotiations expired in May, 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See 15 FCC Rcd. at 21723.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See id. at 21726.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> EchoStar Petition at 4-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See id. at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See id. at 7-8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See DirecTV Petition at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See Sports Leagues Petition at 2. The Sports Leagues also raised an issue concerning Canadian sports teams' exclusivity rights, but have elected not to pursue this issue.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> See Report and Order, 15 FCC Rcd at 21711-12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 76.122(d) (Satellite network non-duplication); 47 C.F.R. § 76.123(f) (Satellite syndicated program exclusivity).

- 8. With respect to the sports blackout rule, the Commission required that rights holders provide sixty days advance notice for any sports blackout that would occur on or before March 31, 2001. As of April 1, 2001, the regular notice requirements, including twenty-four hour notice for changes in previously scheduled blackouts, became applicable. Because satellite carriers were complying with contractually required sports blackouts prior to the implementation of the SHVIA requirements, it was unnecessary to provide the same length of time to phase-in the sports blackout rules as provided for the network non-duplication and syndicated exclusivity rules. The commission required that rights holders provide sixty days advance notice for any sports blackout that would occur on or before March 31, 2001. The commission required that rights holders provide sixty days advance notice for any sports blackout that would occur on or before March 31, 2001. The commission required that rights holders provide sixty days advance notice for any sports blackout that would occur on or before March 31, 2001. The commission required sports blackout that would occur on or before March 31, 2001. The commission required sports blackout that would occur on or before March 31, 2001. The commission required sports blackout that would occur on or before March 31, 2001. The commission required sports blackout that would occur on or before March 31, 2001. The commission required sports blackout that would occur on or before March 31, 2001. The commission required sports blackout that would occur on or before March 31, 2001. The commission required sports blackout that would occur on or before March 31, 2001. The commission required sports blackout that would occur on or before March 31, 2001. The commission required sports blackout that would occur on or before March 31, 2001. The commission required sports blackout that would occur on or before March 31, 2001. The commission required sports blackout that would occur on or before March 31, 200
- 9. In its petition for reconsideration, EchoStar reiterated its request for a one-year phase-in period to assess the ability of its subscriber qualification system to differentiate protection zones for superstation and network programming.<sup>28</sup> It disagreed with the Commission's conclusions concerning the need for new equipment<sup>29</sup> and suggested the Commission require rights holders to submit deletion requests for a year before they would be implemented.<sup>30</sup> The Sports Leagues, ALTV, and MPAA opposed EchoStar's petition.<sup>31</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> For sports events to be deleted on or before March 31, 2001, the notification was required to be received at least 60 days prior to the date the telecast was to be deleted, and for deletions after March 31, 2001, notifications as to regularly scheduled events must be received no later than the Monday preceding the calendar week during which the program deletion is to be made. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 76.127(f). In addition, the satellite carrier must have received notification for sports events to be deleted within 48 hours of the effective date of the rule if the telecast was scheduled prior to November 29, 2000. *See* Letter from Deborah A. Lathen, Chief, Cable Services Bureau to Philip R. Hochberg, (dated Jan. 19, 2001).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 76.127(c). For regularly scheduled games, broadcast rights holders to sporting events were required to provide notice to affected satellite carriers within forty-eight hours of the time the telecast to be deleted was known, but no later than the Monday before the calendar week in which the deletion was to be made. For events not regularly scheduled, and for revisions of notices previously submitted, notice was required twenty-four hours from the time the telecast to be deleted was known, but no later than twenty-four hours from the time the telecast was to take place.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> See Report and Order, 15 FCC Rcd at 21726.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> See Report and Order, 15 FCC Rcd at 21726. See also EchoStar's Reply in Support of its Petition for Reconsideration (filed February 8, 2001) ("EchoStar Reply") at 2-3. Three of the superstations informed EchoStar that the exclusivity rule requirements could require deletion of all programming from 8:30 a.m. to 9 p.m., which would prompt EchoStar to decide not to offer these superstations to their subscribers. *Id.* at 3, fn. 4. EchoStar also stated that if the number and complexity of deletion requests make it necessary to replace its entire conditional access system, the replacement process would take nine to twelve months to complete from the time it receives such requests. *Id.* at 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> See EchoStar Petition at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> *Id.* EchoStar also argues that additional time is needed because the Commission refused to adopt a "national standard for deletions" which would have been triggered by deletion requests from "a significant number of broadcasters across the nation, rendering protection zones irrelevant." *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Opposition to Petitions for Reconsideration of EchoStar Satellite Corp. and DirecTV, Inc. (filed Dec. 29, 2000) ("Sports Leagues Opposition") at 2 (satellite carriers did not show how the rule requirements increase the burden beyond the blackout protection they currently provide pursuant to contract); Opposition to Petitions for Reconsideration filed by Association of Local Television Stations, Inc. (filed Jan. 17, 2001) ("ALTV Opposition") at 2; Opposition of the Motion Picture Association of America (filed Jan. 29, 2001) ("MPAA Opposition") at 2-4 (satellite carriers on notice since November 1999 and offered no new evidence). Further, MPAA asserts that the 120-day notice phase-in period stretches beyond the one-year effective date Congress required in the SHVIA. *Id.* at 5.

10. **Discussion.** The transition period provided in the Report and Order ended in 2001, as did the one-year period EchoStar requested in the original proceeding and again on reconsideration. Nonetheless, we rule on the merits and decline to extend the phase-in period for the implementation of syndicated exclusivity, network non-duplication and sports blackout rules beyond the phase-in periods provided by the Report and Order and rules. The Report and Order rejected EchoStar's proposal for a transition period of one year as unnecessary, impractical and unlikely to assist EchoStar in planning for deletions given that rights holders would not submit deletion requests knowing that they would not be acted upon for a year.<sup>32</sup> Satellite carriers did not demonstrate that they needed additional time to develop new equipment in addition to their existing blackout and conditional access equipment.<sup>33</sup> EchoStar has not provided sufficient justification for its request and has not presented new arguments that would warrant reconsideration of this issue. EchoStar asserts that its system is near capacity, but has not provided evidence of how the capacity was used or how additional burdens affect the capacity. Although we understand that EchoStar did not have specific deletion requests when it submitted comments in the rulemaking proceeding, the potential scope of the deletions required by the statutory mandate were largely apparent when the statute took effect at the end of 1999. We therefore deny EchoStar's petition for reconsideration with respect to lengthening the phase-in periods.

## B. Sports Blackout Rule

## 1. The sports blackout rule applied to retransmission of network stations.

11. **Background.** In the *Report and Order* the Commission applied the sports blackout rule to retransmission of nationally distributed superstations and network stations.<sup>34</sup> Unlike the network non-duplication and syndicated exclusivity rules, the sports blackout rule applies to retransmission of distant network stations as well as to nationally distributed superstations. In the case of retransmission of network stations, the SHVIA instructed the Commission to apply the cable sports blackout rule to satellite carriers only "to the extent technically feasible and not economically prohibitive." In the *Report and Order* the Commission considered DirecTV's request that the Commission invoke the "technical/economic hardship exception of Section 339(b)(1)(B)" and decline to apply any sports blackout requirement on satellite retransmission of network stations. The Commission determined, however, that DirecTV and EchoStar had not provided sufficient information regarding the costs and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> See Report and Order, 15 FCC Rcd at 21711.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> *Id*.

The Commission's sports broadcasts rule ("sports blackout rule") is designed to allow the holder of the exclusive distribution rights of sporting events, to control, through contractual agreements, the display of that event on local cable and, pursuant to the SHVIA, on satellite systems. The sports blackout rule is triggered when a subject sporting event will not be aired live by any local television station carried on a community unit cable system. Under the sports blackout rule, the holder of the rights to the event (*e.g.*, a sports team or league, rather than a broadcaster) has the power to demand that the local cable system or satellite carrier blackout the distant importation of the subject sporting event. The zone of protection afforded by the sports blackout rule generally is 35 miles surrounding the reference point of the broadcast station's community of license in which the live sporting event is taking place. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 76.111 (cable) and § 76.127 (satellite), and *Report and Order*, 15 FCC Rcd at 21719-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See 47 U.S.C. § 399(b)(1)(B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> See Report and Order, 15 FCC Rcd at 21720-21.

burdens imposed by the requirement to satisfy the statutory exception.<sup>37</sup>

- EchoStar's petition seeks reconsideration of that decision. EchoStar maintains that "there was simply no historical evidence available to satellite carriers to illustrate the burdens from future compliance" and that the benefit to sports rights holders is small compared to the "formidable burden" on satellite carriers. EchoStar notes that the distant network signals in question may only be retransmitted to unserved households of which there are few within any protection zone. EchoStar follows that "the limited practical significance of the rules does not necessarily lessen the difficulty that the satellite carrier would still confront in blacking out the sports programming for those few households." DirecTV agrees and asserts that blacking out a small area or small number of subscribers in the satellite context is more complex than in the cable context because of the several steps an operator must go through, including encoding information; data entry; scheduling and processing; and triggering each blackout manually by individually watching each event. DirecTV further asserts that these steps "raise a question of whether the Commission was justified in concluding that the application of sports blackout rules to satellite carrier retransmission of network stations is 'technically feasible and not economically prohibitive." \*\*
- 13. EchoStar argues that it is in a better position to make a preliminary estimate of the possible burden of complying with the blackout rules as applied to network programming during the reconsideration process because it has evidence of actual deletion requests, which it could not have had during the initial rulemaking proceeding. EchoStar states that the primary issue determining the impact of network blackout rules is the "number of different regions that must be defined as possible blackout zones, even more so than the number of programs and events to be blacked out." EchoStar describes its anticipated problem in implementing sports blackouts to be a result of the complexity of, and lack of coordination for, blackout zones among the various sports leagues. EchoStar states that its current sports blackout system for ESPN and Fox uses 128 different blackout zones coordinated with individual consumer's receivers, each associated with a single blackout region for twelve categories of sports. EchoStar explains that because several sports teams that are in close proximity will have overlapping blackout zones, it will need to implement "a mosaic of smaller zone 'pieces'" to make up a complete

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> *Id.* The burden requires a showing that conforming to rules similar to those applicable to cable operators "would entail a very serious economic threat to the health of the carrier." Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference on H.R. 1554, 106<sup>th</sup> Cong. ("Joint Explanatory Statement"), 145 Cong. Rec. H11793, H11796 (daily ed. Nov. 9, 1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> EchoStar Petition at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> The statutory copyright license only permits retransmission of distant signals to "unserved households." Under copyright law "unserved households" are those that are unable to receive an over-the-air network signal of Grade B intensity or better. 17 U.S.C. § 119(d)(10)(A). In addition, "grandfathered" households, as well as recreational vehicles and commercial trucks, are included in the definition of unserved households. 17 U.S.C. §§ 119(d)(10)(C), (D).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> EchoStar Petition at 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> DirecTV Petition at 1; Opposition of DirecTV, Inc. to Petition for Reconsideration (filed Jan. 29, 2001) ("DirecTV Opposition") at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> *Id.* at 3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> See EchoStar Reply at 6 (citing 47 C.F.R. §1.429(b)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> *Id.* at 7.

<sup>45</sup> See id.

blackout zone, which will rapidly consume its blackout resources. EchoStar asserts that if it receives blackout requests for zones that differ from its current contractual blackout zones, and if it gets a significant increase in the number of requests, as it expects with the addition of blackout requirements for network stations along with syndicated exclusivity and network non-duplication requests, that it will likely have to replace its existing conditional access system for one with expanded capabilities. EchoStar estimates the costs of system upgrade in the \$75 to \$100 million range, and also provides an estimated figure of \$123.5 million dollars for total system replacement.

- 14. The Sports Leagues assert that EchoStar does not present any new evidence to substantiate its claimed injury, but instead presents arguments lacking foundation in fact and failing to satisfy the burden imposed by Congress. The Sports Leagues also contend that the 35-mile zone of protection applied to nationally distributed superstations should be the same protection zone used to blackout network stations and, therefore, "no new codes [in addition to codes for the sports blackout rule as applied to nationally distributed superstations] should be necessary in implementing the [sports blackout rule] for network signals." Further the Sports Leagues point out that EchoStar does not attempt to differentiate nationally distributed superstations from network stations. The Sports Leagues argue that EchoStar has failed to support assertions that its coding is "near capacity" and cannot therefore accommodate blackouts of sporting events carried on network stations.
- the sports blackout rule, and suggested that additional capacity demands on its system in connection with providing sports blackout for network stations could require an overhaul of its entire conditional access system, but has not presented evidence of the burdens specifically associated with the application of the sports blackout rule to the retransmission of the signals of network stations. EchoStar asserts that the complexity of and lack of coordination for blackout zones among the various sports leagues creates difficulties in implementing sports blackouts. In connection with this reconsideration proceeding, the four major sports leagues have agreed to use a single, standardized zip code list for purposes of the Sports Blackout Rule and have provided such a list to the satellite carriers. Although satellite carriers' other contractual arrangements may still create the need for multiple codes in each market, the standard zip code list will reduce the overall burdens on satellite carriers in meeting sports blackout requirements. Nevertheless, as the Commission found in the *Report and Order*, EchoStar's evidence offered for reconsideration does not identify separately the burdens imposed by blacking out network stations and the burdens imposed by blacking out nationally distributed superstations, nor does it provide information on the costs incremental or total of deleting network stations. We are, therefore, unable to make a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> *Id.* at 1, 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> See Sports Leagues Opposition at 7; see also ALTV Opposition at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> See Declaration of Glenn Gurgiolo ("Gurgiolo Declaration")  $\P$  6. See also, Declaration of Susanne Hilgefort ("Hilgefort Declaration")  $\P$  11, as attachments to Letter from Robert A. Garrett and Christopher Winters (filed July 9, 2001) ("Sports Leagues July 6 Letter").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Gurgiolo Declaration ¶ 4, Hilgefort Declaration ¶ 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Sports Leagues July 6 Letter, at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> See Sports Leagues July 6 Letter, at 3, and Attached Declarations. In order to receive blackout protection, rights holders are obligated to provide detailed information in the blackout notices, including accurate zip code information. See 47 C.F.R. § 76.127(b). Therefore, to ensure accurate application of sports blackout protection, the Sports Leagues will be responsible for keeping the standardized zip code list current.

meaningful evaluation of EchoStar's claim that it may not have capacity to implement the required number of sports blackout zones based on the record. Accordingly, we deny EchoStar's petition for reconsideration.

## 2. Forty-eight hour Notification Period

- 16. **Background.** In order to activate the protections of the sports blackout rule, specific notification procedures regarding the sporting events to be deleted must be followed.<sup>53</sup> The notification requirement for sports blackout, as historically applied to cable systems, requires several days advance notice, but in certain circumstances can be given as little as twenty-four hours in advance.<sup>54</sup>
- 17. In the original rulemaking proceeding, DirecTV described a satellite blackout system that is more complex than cable.<sup>55</sup> The Commission acknowledged in the *Report and Order* that although "the process described by DirecTV did not appear to present such a serious technical or economic burden as to excuse compliance with the sports blackout rules altogether, it does suggest that the challenge of implementing multiple, simultaneous blackouts and identifying and arranging substitute programming is greater for satellite carriers than for cable operators."<sup>56</sup> DirecTV proposed a notification period of sixty days prior to the start of a season for sports with a specific season, sixty days prior to the event for non-seasonal but regularly scheduled events, thirty days for events not regularly scheduled, and ten working days for revisions to previously submitted notices.<sup>57</sup> The Commission found that satellite carriers made "reasonable arguments in support of revising the notification periods in the satellite sports blackout rules to the extent possible without depriving the teams and leagues of their contractual rights by establishing time frames that afford practical protection."<sup>58</sup>
- 18. In the *Report and Order* the Commission found that satellite carriers were complying with contractually mandated sports blackouts, which require that they delete sporting events and provide subscribers with replacement programming.<sup>59</sup> However, recognizing differences in the structure and operation of the satellite and cable industries, the Commission ruled that some adjustment in the application of the sports blackout rules was justified. The Commission found that the lack of specific information in the record limited our ability to finely tailor the notice requirements with respect to satellite sports blackout. The Commission therefore declined to adopt DirecTV's notification proposals, and instead ruled that the sports blackout rules for satellite carriers would retain the same advance notice requirements used in the cable context for regularly scheduled events (notice must be received the Monday before the calendar week in which the deletion is to be made), but would also require that rights

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup>See 47 C.F.R. § 76.111(b), (c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> With respect to cable systems, notifications for regularly scheduled events subject to the sports blackout rule must be received no later than the Monday preceding the calendar week during which the deletion is to be made. Notifications for events not regularly scheduled, or when the schedule is revised, must be received within 24 hours after the time of the deleted telecast is known, but in no event less than 24 hours before the event will take place. See 47 C.F.R. § 76.111(c). Nothing we adopt herein regarding modifications to notice requirements for the satellite sports blackout rule is intended to modify the cable sports blackout rule.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> See Report and Order, 15 FCC Rcd at 21721.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> *Id.* at 21722.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> See id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> *Id.* at 21723.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> See id.

holders notify satellite carriers within forty-eight hours of the time the telecast to be deleted is known.<sup>60</sup>

- 19. In its petition for reconsideration, EchoStar asserts that the complexity of carrying local sports broadcasts over a nationwide satellite system requires more time to black out programming than for cable operators. EchoStar argues that the rule requiring notice within forty-eight hours of the time a telecast is scheduled, without establishing a limit on how close in time the scheduling of the event can be to the event itself, does not give satellite carriers enough time to comply because the notice might be delayed until as late as twenty-four hours before the event to be broadcast. EchoStar requests that the Commission reconsider its decision regarding notification periods for sports blackout, and align the notification period with the network non-duplication and syndicated exclusivity rules requiring a minimum of sixty days notice.<sup>61</sup> In addition, EchoStar requests that the Commission not allow deletion requests for unscheduled events.
- 20. The Sports Leagues state that the requirement that rights holders notify satellite carriers within forty-eight hours of the time the telecast is known creates a significant and unwarranted burden on the Sports Leagues while also causing confusion on the part of the satellite carries. The rule, according to the Sports Leagues, results in a piecemeal notice scheme where numerous, often unnecessary, notices must be sent. The Sports Leagues explain that the various sports teams make decisions to televise their away games at different times in the pre-season months. The Sports Leagues contend that the rule requires that each time a visiting team sets its away game telecast schedule, and communicates it to the home team or the league, the home team broadcast rights holder must send a notice to the satellite carrier to blackout the games. If, subsequent to the visiting team's decision to telecast, the home team decides to telecast the game in its home market, within forty-eight hours of that decision, notices countermanding the blackout request must be sent. The Sports Leagues surmise that this rule would require "hundreds, if not thousands, of notices, an unbelievable burden on the Leagues and an administrative nightmare for the carriers as they attempted to monitor the constant flow of notices coming in."
- 21. The Sports Leagues urge the Commission to adopt the same standard of notice for satellite carriers as it has for cable operators. The Sports Leagues state that the cable rule, by allowing notice on the Monday of the week preceding the calendar week of the game, has enabled the Sports

Notifications given pursuant to this section must be received by the satellite carrier, as to regularly scheduled events, within forty-eight (48) hours after the time of the telecast to be deleted is known, and no later than the Monday preceding the calendar week (Sunday through Saturday) during which the program deletion is to be made. Notifications as to events not regularly scheduled and revisions of notices previously submitted, must be received within twenty-four (24) hours after the time of the telecast to be deleted is known, but in any event no later than twenty-four (24) hours from the time the subject telecast is to take place.

```
47 C.F.R. § 76.127(c).
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> See id. The revised rule read as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> EchoStar Petition at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> See Sports Leagues Petition at 3.

<sup>63</sup> See id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> See id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> See id.

<sup>66</sup> Letter from Philip R. Hochberg (filed Apr. 17, 2001) ("Sports Leagues April 17 Letter") at 2.

Leagues "to compile national and local telecasting schedules and distribute all notices at one time, it also allows cable operators (even those with systems in dozens of major markets) to receive all notices at one time." The Sports Leagues also state that in some circumstances, such as for the NFL, the league may know before the season begins that a team's scheduled games have been sold out and that, therefore, no blackouts will be necessary. The Sports Leagues explain that if the satellite rule followed the cable rule procedures for notification, in the "vast number of circumstances" the Leagues would be able to provide notices "no less than six days before a blackout at the beginning of the season and, in most cases, six months before blackouts at the end of the regular season." The Sports Leagues also assert that satellite carriers need only a "couple of days notice" to perform blackouts necessitated by regular season and playoff schedule changes. The Sports Leagues also oppose EchoStar's request that the Commission eliminate the twenty-four hour notice provision for revisions to existing notifications and notifications of unscheduled events because that would preclude protection for post-season or rescheduled games.

- 22. In its opposition, EchoStar argues that the Sports Leagues have not offered any new evidence, study, or specific facts to support changing the rule.<sup>72</sup> Rather, EchoStar suggests the burden of providing notices could be alleviated by better coordination between teams within the Sports Leagues.<sup>73</sup>
- 23. In response, the Sports Leagues propose a compromise resolution. The Sports Leagues agree to provide, along with a master list of zip codes, a master blackout notice covering every team in a league for all regular season games to be received by carriers no less than fifteen days before the start of a sports season. The Sports Leagues suggest that the use of a fifteen-day period in advance of the season would allow satellite carriers sufficient time to enter the necessary game and zip code information to accomplish the blackout requests.
- 24. **Discussion.** On reconsideration, we agree that the requirement that rights holders notify carriers forty-eight hours from the time the telecast to be deleted is known (the "48-hour rule") will potentially create significantly more burdensome notice requirements for both rights holders and satellite carriers than intended. We therefore grant the Sports Leagues petition, in part, insofar as the Sports Leagues request modification of the rule. For the same reasons discussed in the *Report and Order*, we deny EchoStar's and DirecTV's petitions repeating their requests for sixty-day notice periods for scheduled events and elimination of blackout requirements for unscheduled events.<sup>76</sup> As the Commission

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> Sports Leagues Petition at 2. The Sports Leagues indicate that this procedure has been used in the cable context for over twenty-five years.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> See Sports Leagues Petition at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Sports Leagues Reply to Opposition at 2 (*emphasis in original*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Sports Leagues Opposition at 5. *See also* Sports Leagues Reply at 4 (carriers are trying to maintain a scheme that is so burdensome that the Sports Leagues would elect not to use the sports blackout rules at all).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Sports Leagues Opposition at 4-5.

 $<sup>^{72}</sup>$  Opposition to Petition for Reconsideration filed by the Office of the Commissioner of Baseball, *et al.* (filed Jan. 29, 2001) ("EchoStar Opposition") at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> *Id.* at 3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> See Letter from Philip R. Hochberg (filed Mar. 19, 2001) at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> See Sports Leagues April 17 Letter at 2. EchoStar responded that they would take the proposal under advisement. See Letter from Rhonda M. Bolton (filed June 1, 2001) at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> See Report and Order. 15 FCC Rcd at 21722-23.

indicated in the *Report and Order*, the purpose of the 48-hour rule was to give carriers sufficient time to enter blackout requests and line up substitute programming by ensuring that rights holders notify satellite carriers as soon as the telecasts are scheduled.<sup>77</sup> However, given that many teams set their telecast schedules at different times over the months leading to the start of a season, our rules can be interpreted to require each team to send out multiple notices to satellite carriers as they sporadically receive the telecast schedules of their opposing teams. Moreover, many of the notices must be subsequently rescinded when the complete telecast schedules of the home teams and visiting teams are reconciled.<sup>78</sup> This application of the 48-hour rule could indeed result in numerous notices being received by satellite carriers in a confusing and unnecessarily complex manner.

- 25. We continue to recognize the unique technical challenges that satellite carriers face in implementing sports blackouts and arranging for substitute programming. However, in light of the potential volume of notices created by the rule as applied to the professional sports leagues, we reconsider and amend it to require that rights holders choose between providing notice within forty-eight hours of the time the telecast to be deleted is known, or fifteen days prior to the commencement of the season, as described below.
- As EchoStar suggested, some coordination among the teams in the league is necessary in connection with the notice requirement. This is what the Sports Leagues are requesting to do, and have done in connection with the cable rule for years. Therefore, we will permit rights holders for sports with a discernable season to submit blackout notifications for an entire season, but we establish a date certain by when those notifications must be received by satellite carriers. The Sports Leagues have proposed that they can coordinate their teams' telecast schedules and submit notices of blackout requirements for those schedules by fifteen days before the beginning of each league's season. This proposal is reasonable. In connection with the standardized zip code list the Sports Leagues will provide to the satellite carriers, we think that carriers will have enough time to schedule the blackouts and to arrange for substitute programming where needed.<sup>79</sup> This approach is very similar to the implementation of the cable sports blackout rule, while also reflecting the satellite carriers' demonstrated need for additional advance notice.
- 27. We will maintain the 48-hour rule for situations where the fifteen-day pre-season notice is impracticable or unnecessary. If the participants in a sports league are able to organize the entire league's telecast schedule before the start of the season, or a pre-season period, blackout notices for that season, or pre-season, may be submitted to satellite carriers all at once fifteen days prior to the start of the season or pre-season. However, should a team or league not be able to provide its entire telecast schedule in advance of a season, or pre-season, the rights holder may send the notices game by game, but must do so within forty-eight hours of the time the telecast to be deleted is known. For broadcasts of individual sporting events or for sports without a complex league structure or a defined season it will likely be more practical to send blackout notices of regularly scheduled sporting events within forty-eight hours of the

<sup>78</sup> See Sports Leagues Petition at 3. If, subsequent to sending out blackout notices to satellite carriers of away team telecasts to be deleted, the home team determines that it will also telecast one or more of the same games, then blackout protection would be removed under Section 76.127(a), and notifications would need to be sent out under Section 76.127(c).

12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> See Report and Order. 15 FCC Rcd at 21723.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> We also recognize that in some circumstances pre-season sporting events will use sports blackout protection similar to regular season games. *See* Letter from Philip R. Hochberg (filed May 10, 2002).

time the telecast to be deleted is known.80

# C. Definition of "Local" for Purposes of the Application of the Sports Blackout Rules

28. **Background.** Prior to amending the sports blackout rules in the *Report and Order*, the sports blackout provisions could be applied "if the event is not available live on a television broadcast signal carried by the community unit meeting the criteria specified in §§76.5(gg)(1) through 76.5(gg)(3) of this part."

The Commission deleted Section 76.5(gg) in its 1993 Order rescinding rate regulation. 82

- (1) For communities located outside all major and smaller television markets (as defined in this section):
- (i) Television broadcast stations within whose Grade B contours the community of the community unit is located, in whole or in part;
- (ii) Television translator stations with 100 watts or higher power serving the community unit and for community units that commence operations or expand channel capacity after March 30, 1972, noncommercial educational translator stations with 5 watts or higher power serving the community of the community unit;
- (iii)Noncommercial educational television broadcast stations within whose specified zone the community of the community unit is located, in whole or in part;
- (iv)Commercial television broadcast stations that are significantly viewed in the community of the community unit. See § 76.54.
  - (2) For communities in smaller television markets (as defined in this section):
- (i) Television broadcast stations within whose specified zone the community of the community unit is located, in whole or in part;
- (ii) Noncommercial educational television broadcast stations within whose Grade B contours the community of the community unit is located, in whole or in part;
- (iii)Commercial television broadcast stations licensed to communities in other smaller television markets, within whose Grade B contours the community of the community unit is located, in whole or in part;
- (iv)Television broadcast stations licensed to other communities which are generally considered to be part of the same smaller television market (Example: Burlington, Vt.-Plattsburgh, N.Y., television market);
- (v) Television translator stations with 100 watts or higher power serving the community of the community unit and, for community units that commence operations or expand channel capacity after March 30, 1972, noncommercial educational translator stations with 5 watts or higher power serving the community of the community unit;
- (vi)Commercial television broadcast stations that are significantly viewed in the community unit. See § 76.54.
- (3) For communities in major television markets (as defined in this section) and in communities located both wholly or partially within both major and smaller television markets:
- (i)Television broadcast stations within whose specified zone the community of the community unit is located, in whole or in part;
- (ii)Noncommercial educational television broadcast stations within whose Grade B contours the community of the community unit is located, in whole or in part;

(continued....)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> See amended rule section 76.127 in Appendix B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> 47 C.F.R. §76.7(a). Section 76.5(gg) defined "basic cable service" for purposes of basic cable service rate regulation and incorporated the standard for carriage of broadcast television signals under the Commission's original 1972 must-carry rules. The former Section 76.5(gg) provided that Basic Cable must include:

In the *Report and Order* the Commission adopted language to replace the deleted provision.<sup>83</sup> In adopting a new standard based on former Section 76.5(gg), the Commission shortened and consolidated the provisions of that section and included them in a new rule provision, Section 76.128, which was not intended to change the operation of the cable sports blackout rule.<sup>84</sup>

- 29. In their petition for reconsideration, the Sports Leagues assert that the application of the rule would likely have an unintended effect. The Sports Leagues point out that Section 76.128 now defines a "local" station as, "among other things, a station either within 35 miles of the cable or sports event community or one placing a Grade B contour over the cable or sports event community." The Sports Leagues assert that under the 1972 must carry rules, Grade B contour stations had no must-carry rights and were subject to deletion under the cable sports blackout rule. He Sports Leagues explain that with respect to cable television systems, a broadcast station transmitting a Grade B signal of a particular game into the 35-mile sports blackout zone of a rights holder could prevent that rights holder from requiring the cable operator to black out a non-televised home game. The Sports Leagues ask us to reestablish the protections for sports blackouts that have existed for over twenty-five years, and to create the same type of protection for satellite importation. The Sports Leagues state that this can be achieved by "specifically recognizing that coverage by a Grade B contour does not vitiate blackout protection." ALTV recognized the problem raised in Sports Leagues' petition, but states that there is insufficient evidence in the record to assess the impact of the request.
- 30. EchoStar asserts that the Commission revised the definition of "local" for purposes of the sports blackout rule in order to simplify the definition and reflect changes in the must carry rules. EchoStar states that the rule now says that the sports blackout will not be triggered when the sports event is available live on a station whose grade B contour covers the community in which the event occurs. EchoStar argues that the revision in the rule is consistent with the purpose of the sports blackout rule,

(...continued from previous page)

<sup>(...</sup>continued from previous page)

<sup>(</sup>iii)Television translator stations with 100 watts or higher power serving the community of the community unit and, for those community units that commence operations or expand channel capacity after March 30, 1972, noncommercial educational translator stations with 5 watts or higher power serving the community of the community unit;

<sup>(</sup>iv)Television broadcast stations licensed to other designated communities of the same major television market (Example: Cincinnati, Ohio-Newport, Ky., television market);

<sup>(</sup>v)Commercial television broadcast stations that are significantly viewed in the community of the community unit. See § 76.54.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> See Implementation of Sections of the Cable Television Consumer Protection Act of 1992, 8 FCC Rcd 5631 (1993).

<sup>83</sup> See Report and Order, 15 FCC Rcd at 21731.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> See id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> Sports Leagues Petition at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> See id. (citing former 47 C.F.R. § 76.61).

<sup>87</sup> See id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> ALTV Opposition at 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> EchoStar Opposition at 5.

which is to protect gate receipts when a game is not locally available over the air.<sup>91</sup>

- 31. **Discussion.** We agree that the revisions to the sports blackout rules may have an unintended effect in rare situations such as those described by the Sports Leagues. Contrary to EchoStar's assertions, it was not the Commission's intention to alter the operation or effect of this part of the rules for cable operators or satellite carriers. To address the points raised by the Sports Leagues, we amend Section 76.128 so that it will more closely track the terms and effect of the former Section 76.5(gg) by reestablishing that the Grade B contour provision applies only in non-major markets.
- 32. The newly revised Section 76.128, to be referenced by Sections 76.67 and 76.127 is set out in Appendix B. The pertinent amended language in subsection (b) is as follows:
  - (b) For communities in television markets other than major markets as defined in Section 76.51 of this Part, television broadcast stations within whose Grade B contours the community of the community unit or the community within which the sporting event is taking place is located, in whole or in part;

## D. Clarification of Non-Duplication Protection Notices

- 33. **Background.** Emmis Television Broadcasting, L.P. d/b/a WCKF-TV, Orlando, Florida ("Emmis") in an *ex parte* submission requested reconsideration and revision of Section 76.122 of our rules. Emmis asserts that Section 76.122(c)(2) is dissimilar to the notification requirements in the cable context insofar as §76.122(c)(2) requires the inclusion of specific program information regardless of an affiliate's ability to furnish that information based on the content of its affiliation contract. <sup>92</sup>
- 34. **Discussion.** In the *Report and Order*, the Commission concluded that stations should notify satellite carriers of exclusivity rights in the same manner required under the cable rules. <sup>93</sup> The Commission intended that the satellite rules would require that the notice asserting exclusivity rights contain the same identifying information about the programming to be deleted and the extent of the exclusivity as required in the cable rules. <sup>94</sup>
- 35. We take this opportunity to revise Section 76.122(c) so that the rule conforms to the cable rules in Section 76.94(a) and (b). We take this action partly *sua sponte* and partly in response to the informal request for clarification of our rules. Broadcasters requesting non-duplication protection from satellite carriers are required to include the name of the program, series or specific episodes for which protection is sought if such information is identified in the station's network agreement. Section 25.

## IV. PROCEDURAL MATTERS

36. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 Analysis. This Order on Reconsideration contains new

<sup>92</sup> See Letter from Donna C. Gregg, Counsel for Emmis Television Broadcasting, L.P. (filed October 2, 2001) at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> See id.

<sup>93</sup> See Report and Order, 15 FCC Rcd at 21708-09.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> See id. at 21709.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup> See 47 U.S.C. § 405; 47 C.F.R. §1.108; Central Florida Enterprises v. FCC, 598 F.2d 37, 48 n.51 (D.C. Cir.), cert. dismissed, 441 U.S. 957 (1979).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> See amended Section 76.122(c) in Appendix B.

or modified information collections subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Public Law 104-13. It will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under Section 3507(d) of the PRA. OMB, the general public, and other Federal agencies are invited to comment on the new or modified information collections contained in this proceeding.

- 37. <u>Supplemental Final Regulatory Flexibility Certification</u>. The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (RFA)<sup>97</sup> requires that a regulatory flexibility analysis be prepared for rulemaking proceedings, unless the agency certifies that "the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities." The RFA generally defines "small entity" as having the same meaning as the terms "small business," "small organization," and "small governmental jurisdiction." In addition, the term "small business" has the same meaning as the term "small business concern" under the Small Business Act. A small business concern is one which: (1) is independently owned and operated; (2) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (3) satisfies any additional criteria established by the Small Business Administration (SBA).
- 38. In the *Report and Order* adopting the rules, the Commission issued a Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis. <sup>102</sup> In this *Order on Reconsideration*, the Commission amends Section 76.122 of our rules so that it conforms to the cable rules in Section 76.94(a) and (b). The Commission intended that the satellite rules would require that the notice asserting exclusivity rights would contain the same information about the programming to be deleted and the extent of the exclusivity as is required in the cable rules. <sup>103</sup> The correction to this rule requires notices to satellite carriers to contain specific information only when the information is readily available to the rights holder, as similarly required by the cable rules. Therefore, the rule change eases the notification process, and the economic impact on rights holders and satellite carriers will not be significant.
- 39. The Commission also amends a notification requirement in Section 76.127 enabling sports rights holders to submit blackout notices to satellite carriers on an individual basis, or to cover an entire sports season at the rights holder's election. This elective notification scheme potentially reduces the burdens on sports rights holders and satellite carriers in conforming to the satellite sports blackout rule. The modification to this requirement aligns the satellite rule more closely with the application of the cable rule, as intended by the *Report and Order*. The changes we make to the requirements should not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> The RFA, *see* § 5 U.S.C. S 601 *et. seq.*, has been amended by the Contract With America Advancement Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-121, 110 Stat. 847 (1996) (CWAAA). Title II of the CWAAA is the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> 5 U.S.C. § 605(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> 5 U.S.C. § 601(6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> 5 U.S.C. § 601(3) (incorporating by reference the definition of "small business concern" in Small Business Act, 15 U.S.C. § 632). Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 601(3), the statutory definition of a small business applies "unless an agency, after consultation with the Office of Advocacy of the Small Business Administration and after opportunity for public comment, establishes one or more definitions of such term which are appropriate to the activities of the agency and publishes such definition(s) in the Federal Register."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> Small Business Act, 15 U.S.C. § 632.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> 15 FCC Rcd 21,688 at Appendix C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> See ¶ 34, supra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> See ¶¶ 24-27, supra.

increase or decrease the number of event broadcasts to be blacked out, but should allow for more efficient scheduling and implementation of blackouts, and hence the economic impact on rights holders and satellite carriers will not be significant.

- 40. Finally, the Commission amends Section 76.128 of our rules so that it more closely tracks the former Section 76.5(gg) it was intended to replace. In particular, the revision clarifies the definition of local station for purposes of the application of the sport blackout rules. The Commission never intended to alter the operation or effect of this rule, and this aspect of the definition would have had effect only in very rare instances.
- 41. For the above reasons, we certify that the requirements of this *Order on Reconsideration* will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The Commission will send a copy of the *Order on Reconsideration* including a copy of this final certification, in a report to Congress pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, *see* 5 U.S.C. § 801(a)(1)(A). In addition, the *Order on Reconsideration* (or a summary thereof) and this certification will be published in the Federal Register, *see* 5 U.S.C. § 605(b), and will be sent to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the SBA.

## V. ORDERING CLAUSES

- 42. **IT IS ORDERED**, pursuant to Section 405(a) of the Communications Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. § 405(a), and Section 1.429 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.429, that EchoStar's and DirecTV's Petition for Reconsideration **ARE DENIED**.
- 43. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED**, pursuant to Section 405(a) of the Communications Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. § 405(a), and Section 1.429 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.429, that the Sports Leagues' Petition for Reconsideration **IS DENIED IN PART** and **GRANTED IN PART**.
- 44. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED,** that, pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i) 4(j), 303(r), and 339 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), 303(r), and 339, the amendments to Part 76 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. Part 76, as discussed in this *Order on Reconsideration* and set forth in Appendix B, and the clarifications of those rules discussed in this *Order on Reconsideration*, **ARE ADOPTED**, and shall become effective 30 days after publication in the Federal Register except that rule sections 76.122 and 76.127 that contain information collection requirements under the PRA are not effective until approved by OMB. The FCC will publish a document in the Federal Register announcing the effective date for those sections.
- 45. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, **SHALL SEND** a copy of this *Order on Reconsideration*, including the Supplemental Final Regulatory Flexibility Certification, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.
  - 46. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this proceeding **IS TERMINATED.**

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary

\_

 $<sup>^{105}</sup>$  See ¶¶ 31-32, supra.

## **APPENDIX A – List of Participants**

## **Participants Filing Petitions for Reconsideration**

Commissioner of Baseball.

DIRECTV, Inc. ("DirecTV").

Division 1-A Athletic Directors Association ("Athletic Directors").

EchoStar Satellite Corporation ("EchoStar").

National Basketball Association ("NBA").

National Football League ("NFL").

National Hockey League ("NHL").

## **Participants Filing Oppositions**

Association of Local Television Stations, Inc. ("ALTV").

Commissioner of Baseball.

DIRECTV, Inc. ("DirecTV").

Division 1-A Athletic Directors Association ("Athletic Directors").

EchoStar Satellite Corporation ("EchoStar").

Motion Picture Association of America ("MPAA").

National Basketball Association ("NBA").

National Football League ("NFL").

National Hockey League ("NHL").

## **Participants Filing Replies**

Commissioner of Baseball.

Division 1-A Athletic Directors Association ("Athletic Directors").

EchoStar Satellite Corporation ("EchoStar").

National Basketball Association ("NBA").

National Football League ("NFL").

National Hockey League ("NHL").

## **Ex Parte Filings**

Commissioner of Baseball.

Division 1-A Athletic Directors Association ("Athletic Directors").

EchoStar Satellite Corporation ("EchoStar").

Emmis Television Broadcasting, L.P. d/b/a WCKF-TV, Orlando, FL ("Emmis")

National Basketball Association ("NBA").

National Football League ("NFL").

National Hockey League ("NHL").

## **APPENDIX B - Rule Changes**

For the reasons discussed in this Order on Reconsideration preamble, the Federal Communications Commission amends Part 76 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows: PART 76 -- MULTICHANNEL VIDEO AND CABLE TELEVISION SERVICE.

## 1. The authority citation for Part 76 reads as follows:

AUTHORITY: 47 U.S.C. 151, 152, 153, 154, 301, 302, 303, 303a, 307, 308, 309, 312, 315, 317, 325, 336, 338, 339, 503, 521, 522, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 543, 544, 544a, 545, 548, 549, 552, 554, 556, 558, 560, 561, 571, 572, 573.

#### 2. Section 76.122 is revised to read as follows:

## §76.122 Satellite network non-duplication.

\*\*\*\*

- (c) In order to exercise non-duplication rights pursuant to § 76.122, television stations shall notify each satellite carrier of the non-duplication sought in accordance with the requirements of this Section. Non-duplication protection notices shall include the following information:
  - (1) The name and address of the party requesting non-duplication protection and the television broadcast station holding the non-duplication right;
  - (2) Where the agreement between network and affiliate so identifies, the name of the program or series (including specific episodes where necessary) for which protection is sought;
    - (3) The dates on which protection is to begin and end;
  - (4) The name of the network (or networks) which has (or have) extended non-duplication protection to the broadcaster;
  - (5) The time periods by time of day (local time) and by network (if more than one) for each day of the week that the broadcaster will be broadcasting programs from that network (or networks) and for which non-duplication protection is requested;
  - (6) The duration and extent (e.g., simultaneous, same-day, seven-day, etc.) of the non-duplication protection which has been agreed upon by the network (or networks) and the broadcaster; and
  - (7) A list of the U.S. postal zip code(s) that encompass the zone of protection under these rules.

\*\*\*\*

#### 3. Section 76.127 is revised to read as follows:

## §76.127 Satellite sports blackout.

\*\*\*\*

- (c) Notifications given pursuant to this section must be received by the satellite carrier:
- (1) With respect to regularly scheduled events, within forty-eight (48) hours after the time of the telecast to be deleted is known; or, for events that comprise a season or pre-season period, fifteen (15) days prior to the first event of the season or pre-season, respectively; and no later than the Monday preceding the calendar week (Sunday-Saturday) during which the program deletion is to be made.
- (2) As to events not regularly scheduled and revisions of notices previously submitted, within twenty-four (24) hours after the time of the telecast to be deleted is known, but in any event no later than twenty-four (24) hours from the time the subject telecast is to take place.

\*\*\*\*

#### 4. Section 76.128 is revised to read as follows:

## §76.128 Application of sports blackout rules.

\*\*\*\*

The sports blackout rules (§§76.111 and 76.127) may apply when the sports event is not available live on any of the following television broadcast signals carried by a cable system or other MVPD:

- (a) Television broadcast stations within whose specified zone the community of the community unit or the community within which the sporting event is taking place is located, in whole or in part;
- (b) For communities in television markets other than major markets as defined in Section 76.51 of this Part, television broadcast stations within whose Grade B contours the community of the community unit or the community within which the sporting event is taking place is located, in whole or in part;
- (c) Television broadcast stations licensed to other designated communities which are generally considered to be part of the same television market (Example: Burlington, Vt.-Plattsburgh, N.Y. or Cincinnati, Ohio-Newport, Ky., television markets);
- (d) Television broadcast stations that are significantly viewed, pursuant to §76.54, in the community unit or community within the specified zone.