

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Implementation of Interim Filing Procedures for)	
Filings of Requests for Review)	
)	
Request Federal-State Joint Board on)	CC Docket No. 96-45
Universal Service)	

ORDER

Adopted: December 24, 2002

Released: January 7, 2003

By the Commission:

1. In this Order, we deem certain requests for review as timely filed with the Commission,¹ and we grant other petitioners a 60-day opportunity to resubmit their pleadings electronically.² Specifically, this relief is provided to parties who have, after September 12, 2001, mailed to the Commission requests for review of an action of the Universal Service Administrative Company (Administrator) pursuant to section 54 of our rules, or petitions for reconsideration or applications for review arising from such pleadings (hereinafter collectively referred to as “requests for review”).³ We take this action to ensure that these parties are not prejudiced by continuing disruptions in the mail service.

2. On December 24, 2001, we released the *Interim Filing Procedures Order* in response to disruptions in mail service arising from the events of September 11, 2001.⁴ In the *Interim Filing Procedures Order*, we established that, in addition to the then-available methods of filing requests for review with the Commission, including mail or electronic submission through the Commission’s Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS), parties could also file by

¹ See Attachment A for a listing of each Request for Review.

² See Attachment B for a listing of each Request for Review.

³ The Commission has denied certain requests for review that were filed in the relevant time period. However, based on the postmark date, we were able to determine that these requests for review were mailed 60 days after the relevant Administrator’s decision, and thus, clearly did not comply with program rules.

⁴ *Implementation of Interim Filing Procedures for Filings of Requests for Review, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, FCC 01-376 (rel. December 26, 2001; errata rel. December 28, 2001 and January 4, 2002) (*Interim Filing Procedures Order*).

facsimile or electronic mail.⁵ We instructed that, if requests for review were filed by electronic mail, pleadings should be filed at the following e-mail address: CCBSecretary@fcc.gov and could be submitted in Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF), Word, WordPerfect, or any other widely used word processing format. We further instructed that, if filed by facsimile, pleadings should be faxed to 202-418-0187, and that the fax transmission should include a cover sheet listing contact name, phone number, and, if available, an e-mail address. We made these methods optional. Thus, parties could, if they wished, continue to file requests for review by mail, manual delivery, or overnight delivery.

3. We further provided that, where a party had mailed a request for review to the Commission on or after September 12, 2001, and that request for review was timely as of the date of mailing, the party could resubmit its request for review electronically within 30 days of the effective date of the *Interim Filing Procedures Order*, and have the request for review treated as having been filed with the Commission on the date that it was originally mailed. We provided this refiling option so that parties who had submitted their requests for review prior to the issuance of the *Interim Filing Procedures Order* might take advantage of the new electronic filing methods to ensure that their requests for review were timely received despite delays with the mail.

4. Since the *Interim Filing Procedures Order* was published in the Federal Register on January 24, 2002, we have received many requests for review that were submitted via United States mail.⁶ These parties did not take advantage of the new electronic filing options or the existing option to file via ECFS.

5. Under Commission rules, documents are considered to be filed with the Commission only upon receipt.⁷ Upon receipt, the Office of the Secretary date-stamps the appeals as received. Based on our review of the FCC date-stamp of the appeals, many mailed requests for review arrived at the Commission more than 60 days from the issuance of the decision being appealed. Thus, even under our *Interim Filing Procedures Order*, these appeals would be considered untimely. When comparing the FCC date-stamp to the postmark date or the date of the pleading, however, it is evident that some of these mailed requests for review were substantially delayed in transit due to continuing disruptions in mail service to federal agencies.⁸

6. In our *Interim Filing Procedures Order*, we granted certain relief based on our belief that the mail system would return to normal operation.⁹ We have reviewed appeals filed by mail that were received after the release of the *Interim Filing Procedures Order*. From that

⁵ For details on how to use the ECFS to file documents with the FCC including requests for review, see FCC website, <<http://www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html>>.

⁶ *Interim Filing Procedures Order*.

⁷ 47 C.F.R. § 1.7.

⁸ Since the time that anthrax was discovered in the United States mail, the mail to the FCC has been processed at an off-site location. The mail is chemically treated at this site before it is brought to the FCC.

⁹ *Interim Filing Procedures Order*.

review, we determined that there continued to be significant delays for appeals filed by mail. Only recently have we found that we are receiving requests for review within a reasonable time of the applicants' postmark.¹⁰ As such, we believe it is appropriate to extend the relief we originally ordered. Therefore, because of the unprecedented mail delays caused by the September 11, 2001 attacks and the subsequent appearance of anthrax in the United States mail system, we now conclude that it is appropriate to grant relief to certain parties that continued to rely on the United States mail to file pleadings with the FCC. The filings made by these petitioners fall into two categories. The first category of filings include petitions that are actually dated and/or have a postmarked date on the envelope that indicates that the petitioners took reasonable steps to ensure that its application would be timely filed. The second category of filings include requests that are not dated and arrived at the FCC secretary's office without proof of postmark.¹¹

7. Petitioners in the first category are set forth in Attachment C. Based on the dates of the requests for review and/or the postmarked dates on the envelopes when compared with the FCC-date stamp, we find that these petitioners reasonably complied with the terms of the *Interim Filing Procedures Order*. We find that these petitioners mailed their requests for review in a timely fashion. But for the disruptions in the mail service, their pleadings would have arrived at the Commission within the 60-day appeal period.¹² Accordingly, we deem these requests for review as timely filed pursuant to this Order. Therefore, we direct that these requests for review shall be reviewed on their merits.

8. The Commission has also received several requests for review that either were not dated or did not have a postmark date. During the disruptions in the mail service and the implementation of the ensuing security measures, these pleadings were separated from their envelopes before they arrived in the FCC's Office of the Secretary. Thus, we do not have proof of postmark for these pleadings. We shall afford these petitioners an opportunity to resubmit their requests for review with proof that their original submissions were timely filed. These requests for review may be resubmitted electronically or by facsimile within 60 days of the release date of this Order. All requests for review re-submitted pursuant to this paragraph shall be accompanied by a signed affidavit or a declaration pursuant to Commission rule section 1.16 stating the date on which the pleading was originally sent for delivery to the Commission and by what means (*i.e.*, by U.S. mail, express courier, or hand delivery). For this purpose only, the new pleading will be considered filed as of the date on which the original pleading was sent for delivery. The provisions of this paragraph are applicable to the petitioners listed in Attachment D. To the extent that it is determined that other filings not listed herein merit relief, we delegate to the Bureau the authority to grant such relief in keeping with this Order.

¹⁰ Based on a review of our records, as of May 2002, we have not received any Requests for Review that were significantly delayed by the disruptions in the mail delivery system.

¹¹ These pleadings arrived at the Commission without their postmarked envelopes. We assume that the envelopes were separated from their contents during the off-site transport and chemical treatment of the United States mail.

¹² For example, Albany Public Library's request for review was dated October 23, 2001 and postmarked on October 25, 2001. However, the request for review was not date-stamped at the Commission until January 3, 2002. *See* Attachment C.

9. In addition, although we will continue to allow parties to submit requests for review by mail, express courier, or hand delivery, we note that mail in-take and processing procedures may continue to result in delivery disruption and affect the timeliness of their filings with the Commission. The Commission's filing procedures are designed to receive documents through the ECFS system.¹³ We strongly encourage parties to make use of the ECFS filing option to ensure that their requests for review arrive at the Commission in a timely fashion. Our ECFS filing option ensures accurate and more efficient processing. Parties will still be able to file by facsimile at 202-418-0187.¹⁴

10. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.722(a), that the requests for review listed in Attachment A are DEEMED timely filed with the Commission.

11. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.722(a), that the requests for review listed in Attachment B ARE GRANTED a 60-day opportunity to resubmit their pleadings electronically with the Commission.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary

¹³ See <<http://www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html>>.

¹⁴ The e-mail option set out in the *Interim Filing Procedures Order* is no longer available for petitioners' use. Thus parties may no longer file pleadings via e-mail at CCBSecretary@fcc.gov.

ATTACHMENT A

We deem the following requests for review as timely filed with the Commission:

Letter from Patrice M. Hoolman, Albany Public Library, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 3, 2002;

Letters from John R. Godfrey, Albuquerque/Bernalillo County Library System, to Federal Communications Commission, filed December 20, 2001;

Letter from Robert B. Lipton, Berks County Intermediate Unit (Alsace School), to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 25, 2002;

Letter from Jennifer McMurray, Calhoun School, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 11, 2002;

Letter from Susan A. Trott, Chelsea School, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 11, 2002;

Letter from Ed Maroney, City of Newport News, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 23, 2002;

Letter from Mary Jane Atkins, De Leon Independent School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 13, 2002;

Letter from Gwen M. Boltz, Eastern Lebanon County School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed February 20, 2002;

Letter from Michael E. McGregor, Foyil Public Schools, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 28, 2002;

Letter from Steve L. Winward, Fredonia-Moccasin Unified School District No. 6, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 11, 2002;

Letter from Carol Binkholder, Gasconade County R-1 School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 16, 2002;

Letter from Jon A. Tuck, Glover Elementary School, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 18, 2002;

Letter from Paul V. Fiacco, Gloversville Enlarged School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed February 15, 2002;

Letter from Eddie Payne, Payne Services on behalf of Gold Oak Union Elementary School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 11, 2002;

Letter from Brian Mann, Graham Independent School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 11, 2002;

Letter from Alan L. Welch, Grain Valley R-5 School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 11, 2002;

Letter from Timothy Dunn, Granger School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed February 27, 2002;

Letters from Dawna Uselton, Gunter Independent School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 1, 2002 and March 28, 2002;

Letter from Denise Dawson, Harrisonburg City Public Schools, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 16, 2002;

Letter from Ted Stilwill, Iowa Department of Education, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 11, 2002;

Letter from Kevin R. Jacks, Johnson City Independent School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed February 19, 2002;

Letter from William P. Maloney III, La Salle Institute, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 15, 2002;

Letter from Salvatore Marino, Locust Valley Library, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 11, 2002;

Letter from Zalman T. Myer-Smith, Lubavitch Yeshiva of Minnesota, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 7, 2002;

Letter from Gerard Fallon, Lynn Public Schools, to Federal Communications Commission, filed February 22, 2002;

Letter from Kvein Chase, Mabton Public Schools, Mabton, Washington, filed March 1, 2002;

Letter from Gershon M. Kranczer, Mesorah School, Brooklyn New York, filed without an FCC date stamp;

Letter from Carol J. Veitch, Mifflin County Library, to Federal Communications Commission, filed February 20, 2002;

Letters from Mirrer Yeshiva K'Tana, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 7 and May 8, 2002;

Letter from Willie Blackmon, Mississippi Department of Human Services, to Federal Communications Commission, filed February 27, 2002;

Letters from Melissa J. Wong, Nederland Independent School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 1 and 8, 2002;

Letter from Michael Doro, Northland Community Schools Independent School District #118, to Federal Communications Commission, filed December 31, 2001;

Letter from Jeanette B. Colburn, Our Lady of Lourdes School, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 15, 2002;

Letter from Laurie Crowe, Paso Robles Public Schools, to Federal Communications Commission, filed February 20, 2002;

Letter from Lois Klatt, Pierce City R-V1 Schools, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 26, 2002;

Letters from Craig Wojay, Queen of Apostles School, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 5, 2002;

Letter from B. Keith Rowland, Radford City Schools, to Federal Communications Commission, filed without an FCC date stamp;

Letter from Nancy J. Terrill, Ridgemont Public Library, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 29, 2002;

Letter from Linda D. Garner, Roanoke Rapids Graded School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 2, 2002;

Letter from Michael Jansen, Shepherd Independent School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 29, 2002;

Letter from Ernie L. Boyd, Jr., South Carolina Governor's School for Science and Mathematics, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 8, 2002;

Letter from Hagar E. Malone, St. Anne School, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 8, 2002;

Letter from Brian Farren, St. John's Preparatory School, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 21, 2002;

Letter from Wilfred Sauer, St. John's School, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 5, 2002; Letter from Anne Balzanto, St. Norbert School, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 21, 2002;

Letter from Rod Powell, Syracuse-Dunbar-Avoca School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 26, 2002;

Letter from Chris Webber, CRW Consulting, LLC, on behalf of Taloga Independent School District 10, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 21, 2002;

Letter from George Roller, Tallulah Falls School, to Federal Communications Commission, filed February 28, 2002;

Letter from Gloria House, Timbuktu Academy of Science and Technology, to Federal Communications Commission, filed without an FCC date stamp;

Letter from Evelyn Smagliniski, Ubyly Community Schools, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 4, 2002;

Letter from Michelle Burton, Union Township School, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 16, 2002;

Letter from John A. Serpiglia, Jr., Bergen County Technical Schools on behalf of Waldwick School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 28, 2002;

Letter from Janine C. Satterfield, Washington County Educational Service Center, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 4, 2002;

Letter from Rick Mancini, Washington School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 29, 2002;

Letter from Paul G. Williamsen, Wells Central School, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 15, 2002;

Letter from Craig Artist, West Branch Community Schools, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 4, 2002;

Letter from Chris Brubaker, WestCel, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 4, 2002;

Letter from Rick Hatfield, Wilson School Independent School District 7, to Federal Communications Commission, filed April 9, 2002;

Letter from Fred Williams, Winnebago Public School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed March 19, 2002;

Letter from Zalman Horowitz, Yeshiva Beth Yitzchok D'Spinka, to Federal Communications Commission, filed February 25, 2002.

ATTACHMENT B

We grant a 60-day opportunity for the following applicants to resubmit these requests for review electronically:

Letter from Susan G. Gauch, Bemus Point Central School District, to Federal Communications Commission, filed February 20, 2002;

Letter from Jim Schwartz, Esko Public Schools, to Federal Communications Commission, filed February 27, 2002.

ATTACHMENT C

Applicant Name	SLD Application Number(s)	Date of Appeal Letter	Postmark Date	FCC Date-Stamp Date
Albany Public Library	264946, 264948, 264968, 264975	10/23/01	10/25/01	1/3/02
Albuquerque/Bernalillo County Library	227342, 227366	10/29/01	N/A	12/20/01
Berks County Intermediate Unit (Alsace School)	226686	12/7/01	12/7/01	3/25/02
Calhoun School	258689	11/26/01	N/A	3/11/02
Chelsea School	267423	10/12/01	N/A	3/11/02
City of Newport News	NEC.471.12-16-99.027000001	11/16/01	N/A	1/23/02
De Leon Independent School District	221305	12/12/01	N/A	3/13/02
Eastern Lebanon County School District	220586, 220549	11/7/01	N/A	2/20/02
Foyil Public Schools	267565	12/7/01	N/A	1/28/02
Fredonia-Moccasin Unified School District No. 6	262818	12/5/01	12/6/01	3/11/02
Gasconade County R-1 School District	257203	10/29/01	N/A	1/16/02
Glover Elementary School	254489	10/22/01	N/A	1/18/02
Gloversville Enlarged School District	252672	10/24/01	N/A	2/15/02
Gold Oak Union Elementary School District	272578	11/5/01	N/A	3/11/02
Graham Independent School District	231786	12/14/01	N/A	3/11/02
Grain Valley R-5 School District	237249	12/5/01	N/A	3/11/02
Granger School District No. 204	254932	11/14/01	N/A	2/27/02
Gunter Independent School District	265245, 265266	10/23/01	10/25/01	3/1/02
Gunter Independent School District	265308	10/23/01	N/A	3/28/02
Harrisonburg City Public Schools	217737	10/25/01	N/A	1/16/02
Iowa Department of Education	2595, 29460, 24526, 29440, 29375, 29197, 23258, 22373, 21342, 29742, 29560, 27378, 27828, 27702, 27764, 201489, 29163, 24981, 26104, 26243, 26362, 21631, 29657, 21149, 27668, 22358,	12/6/01	N/A	3/11/02

Applicant Name	SLD Application Number(s)	Date of Appeal Letter	Postmark Date	FCC Date-Stamp Date
	28506, 28066, 23543, 22394, 23839, 23492, 23499, 23373, 23220, 23757, 22311, 21069, 201677, 203668, 203586, 207457, 22672, 203903, 204705, 204816, 204714, 24046, 24647, 24046, 27655, 26362, 206521, 202491, 205690, 38846, 38226, 39433, 39714, 39755, 39101, 34816, 30694, 35185, 34964, 30671, 31240, 30671, 31240, 31108, 30510, 30170, 32908, 35581, 34622, 34718, 31538, 31138, 32233, 33452, 34279, 398, 31247, 30845, 96711, 97406, 92792, 91763, 91914, 98027, 95839, 92205, 96095, 96837, 99380, 82142, 8920, 8591, 80525, 81481, 81342, 81087, 845, 80412, 84585, 8275, 82694, 82820, 82903, 83212, 80412, 80460, 80860, 87593, 8927, 87359, 83384, 83759, 84338, 79776, 72658, 79317, 79059, 79760, 79229, 79168, 79168, 79586, 78803, 78047, 75112, 76895, 76707, 76440, 76031, 76535, 70332, 12962, 73971, 73078, 71792, 72639, 73441, 62562, 60614, 6003, 67073, 61039, 66131, 60025, 62562, 62555, 65308, 64723, 60038, 64227, 64188, 63952, 62607, 61257, 69639, 49909, 61131, 42033, 40360, 48447, 45975, 42896, 40841, 40585, 43505, 44802, 42083, 48832, 43812, 49899, 49902, 41667, 49026, 49789, 49549, 49631, 48586, 48835, 48049, 47774, 47341, 47271, 47254, 47685, 47835, 45835, 45674, 45430, 45098, 44456, 44938, 44601, 44601, 43812, 43617, 43280, 43312, 42599, 41880, 42233, 43950, 48395, 40552, 45976, 204701, 1027775, 102460, 103154, 103159, 103134, 103685, 103661, 108268, 105539, 108306,			

Applicant Name	SLD Application Number(s)	Date of Appeal Letter	Postmark Date	FCC Date-Stamp Date
	108516, 109848, 107300, 108906, 108870, 108671, 17684, 1103, 11069, 10862, 107468, 108268, 108562, 16101, 14754, 12996, 108160, 107398, 107818, 174912, 175326, 06134, 107130, 104855, 21050, 107518, 107501, 91351, 107457, 75337, 107348, 107328, 106934, 106987, 107193, 107040, 173401, 16132, 15027, 14321, 106032, 14243, 13212, 1675, 1760, 172425, 173401, 172623, 175166, 174932, 175436, 17583, 185181, 184595, 185814, 191514, 190717, 194869, 194523, 194237, 194742, 194380, 193956, 193023, 193345, 193200, 196236, 196130, 195564, 194180, 195409, 192371, 181988, 182592, 187498, 186919, 184347, 192177, 191976, 175336, 173571, 172112, 180121, 183397, 183352, 156353, 169035, 174876, 160866, 160483, 160347, 160320, 163643, 163746, 163754, 163716, 163546, 163680, 164657, 165225, 166978, 161310, 161263, 162335, 162519, 164084, 194687, 166319, 167698, 162040, 171175, 171007, 170569, 170367, 170155, 173646, 171508, 171036, 171508, 171523, 171969, 171798, 171792, 171431, 171320, 171460, 171346, 171344, 171456, 171329, 171342, 171219, 171245, 173041, 172804, 172906, 174893, 175758, 175675, 176382, 176472, 172078, 172435, 165450, 172120, 172402, 156353, 157912, 159745, 160000, 172241, 172583, 172210, 175201, 176864,			

Applicant Name	SLD Application Number(s)	Date of Appeal Letter	Postmark Date	FCC Date-Stamp Date
	178175, 177550, 177727, 178031, 178369, 178729, 178677, 178632, 179141, 179469, 179932, 161934, 166568, 166225, 166155, 165757, 165819, 165709, 163245, 207862, 160209, 160120, 159885, 159725, 159386, 159321, 157912, 158722, 158561, 157745, 157200, 156951, 156412, 156566, 155220, 185751, 168142, 184939, 184744, 199601, 177990, 167662, 162553, 160219, 165767, 168142, 168610, 168768, 168731, 168759, 168999, 164204, 180477, 180099, 181225, 181546, 181429, 181484, 181532, 182413, 184511, 184421, 184446, 183325, 183231, 183796, 181760, 180944, 196636, 197330, 197253, 183203, 183829, 184064, 184002, 184364, 184744, 184699, 197884, 198411, 199737, 199524, 102775, 102460, 108478, 104309, 109458, 109444, 107564, 16240, 19853, 12996, 12653, 10581, 12551, 12689, 12467, 11905, 11777, 14321, 15027, 10189, 11622, 16026, 10849, 10889, 110, 10021, 110142, 101092, 110193, 110647, 110626, 110356, 110362, 109290, 110229, 111001, 111210, 111169, 111088, 110098, 111521, 107059, 111946, 111118, 111543, 111523, 111324, 111256, 112041, 112075, 112445, 112397, 112385, 112286, 112287, 112141, 12367, 109324, 12367, 16399, 14449, 106694, 108933, 14252, 110926, 110785, 110765, 108068, 198831, 109304, 109093, 109076, 109941, 153872, 199455, 199225,			

Applicant Name	SLD Application Number(s)	Date of Appeal Letter	Postmark Date	FCC Date-Stamp Date
	53401, 53093, 58614, 53057, 50906, 51354, 51271, 52665, 54419, 54066, 54787, 56606, 57712, 51456, 55287, 5981, 50742, 54419, 53595, 53310, 53456, 52521, 52634			
Johnson City Independent School District	251001	10/24/01	N/A	2/19/02
La Salle Institute	208293	10/18/01	N/A	3/15/02
Locust Valley Library	265734	10/29/01	N/A	1/11/02
Lubavitch Yeshiva of Minnesota	266085	1/23/02	1/26/02	3/7/02
Lynn Public Schools	258835	11/20/01	11/23/01	2/22/02
Mabton Public Schools	Form 470 Application No. 157630000391443, 287830000391456, 297980000391470, 769480000391400	1/9/02	N/A	3/1/02
Mesorah School Brooklyn, New York	262939	3/20/02	N/A	N/A
Mifflin County Library	242056	11/8/01	N/A	2/20/02
Mirrer Yeshiva K'Tana	226530	10/18/01 (2 nd Request) 10/18/01 (3 rd Request)	12/26/01 N/A	3/7/02 5/8/02
Mississippi Department of Human Services	239430	12/13/01	N/A	2/27/02
Nederland Independent School District	274014	11/15/01 1/14/02	N/A 1/14/02	3/8/02 3/1/02
Northland Community Schools Independent School District No. 118	251667	10/22/01	10/22/01	12/31/01
Our Lady of Lourdes School	219991	11/15/01	11/16/01	1/15/02
Paso Robles Public Schools	157477	10/26/01	N/A	2/20/02
Pierce City R-V1 Schools	260567	1/4/02	N/A	3/26/02
Queen of Apostles School	156906, 226515	10/22/01	10/23/01	3/5/02
Radford City Schools	267616	2/13/02	N/A	N/A
Ridgemont Public Library	246731	11/6/01	N/A	1/29/02

Applicant Name	SLD Application Number(s)	Date of Appeal Letter	Postmark Date	FCC Date-Stamp Date
Roanoke Rapids Graded School District	222879	10/19/01	N/A	1/2/02
Shepherd Independent School District	258144	10/29/01	N/A	1/29/02
South Carolina Governor's School for Science and Mathematics	253975	11/15/01	11/19/01	1/8/02
St. Anne School	234142	12/20/01	N/A	3/8/02
St. John's Preparatory School	262413	10/12/01	N/A	3/21/02
St. John's School	246240	10/15/01	10/16/01	3/5/02
St. Norbert School	223104	12/7/01	12/7/01	3/21/02
Syracuse-Dunbar-Avoca School District	243212, 243610	1/29/02	N/A	3/26/02
Taloga Independent School District 10	249752	10/18/01	N/A	3/21/02
Tallulah Falls School	275659	1/22/02	N/A	2/28/02
Timbuktu Academy of Science and Technology	232274	12/12/01	N/A	N/A
Ubly Community Schools	245845	12/5/01	12/5/01	3/4/02
Union Township School	260894, 259888	10/19/01	N/A	1/16/02
Waldwick School District	256981	12/11/01	N/A	1/28/02
Washington County Educational Service Center	232665	10/15/01	N/A	3/4/02
Washington School District	238993	10/31/01	N/A	1/29/02
Wells Central School	261467	10/23/01	10/23/01	3/15/02
West Branch Community Schools	244569	10/24/01	N/A	3/4/02
WestCel	266135	10/19/01	N/A	3/4/02
Wilson School Independent School District 7	263059	3/14/02	N/A	4/9/02
Winnebago Public School District	260240, 260153, 259989, 260081, 259869, 260261	2/21/02	N/A	3/19/02
Yeshiva Beth Yitzchok D'Spinka	262909	1/20/02	N/A	2/25/02

ATTACHMENT D

Applicant Name	SLD Application Number	Date of Appeal Letter	Postmark Date	FCC Date-Stamp Date
Bemus Point Central School District	239526	N/A	N/A	2/20/02
Esko Public Schools	229615, 226754, 230340	N/A	N/A	2/27/02