

**STATEMENT OF
COMMISSIONER MICHAEL J. COPPS**

RE: Implementation of the Commercial Spectrum Enhancement Act and Modernization of the Commission's Competitive Bidding Rules and Procedures, WT Docket No. 05-211, Second Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making.

In this age when telecommunications companies seem only to grow larger and larger, it is important to have programs that encourage competition from smaller entrepreneurs. This is exactly what the Designated Entity (DE) program is all about and it is why we must do everything we can to make this program perform as intended. Small companies must have a fighting chance to compete with industry giants to obtain valuable spectrum. In an era of consolidation, the program is especially important to rural areas that might otherwise remain underserved. Quite frankly, rural America seems too often to have been pushed off the big companies' radar scopes. This is a central reason why I remain strongly committed to small carriers' participation in spectrum auctions. It is good policy; it also happens to be the law.

But let's be candid. Whenever government attempts to provide incentive programs for small business, there are those who try to twist the rules in order to gain unwarranted entry into these programs. We have seen this in many business sectors and we have unfortunately experienced such chicanery and cheating in telecom too. We must not allow the bad apple to spoil the bushel, however. Instead we need good rules to curb the chicanery. Recent experience teaches us that we must move quickly to curb abuses of the DE program. News reports indicate that, in prior auctions, entities with deep pockets helped themselves to discounts they were never meant to enjoy. This unacceptable behavior threatens the integrity of our auctions and, worse, it cheats consumers. It costs taxpayers millions of dollars in foregone revenue. It also means that spectrum goes to those most willing and able to manipulate the rules of the game, rather than to the entities Congress actually intended to benefit. And it denies consumers the benefits of new and all-too-rare competition. So, our job is to deny wealthy companies or individuals any opportunity to misuse the DE discount to outbid small carriers – the very carriers the DE program is meant to protect.

Today we take meaningful steps in the right direction. We do so in time to apply new rules to the large and important Advanced Wireless Services (AWS) auction scheduled for this summer. I am grateful to the Chairman for his role in moving this item along in time to have these rules apply to the AWS auction. And I am grateful to him and to my other colleagues for their support of strong measures to prevent fraud and unjust enrichment by those who would seek to abuse this valuable program. In particular, I am pleased that by strengthening our unjust enrichment rules we take away the incentive for speculators to try to masquerade as legitimate DEs. Under our new rules, bidders who benefit from the 25 percent discount must forfeit that discount if they then turn around and sell some or all of their license rights to someone else. By eliminating the payoff for this "flipping" of licenses, we discourage sham buyers from participating in the first place. And most importantly, we reserve the DE program for companies that actually intend to use their spectrum to serve customers.

I am also pleased that we commit to thoroughly review the application and all relevant documents for each and every winning bidder claiming DE status. Additionally, we pledge to audit every DE at least once during the initial license term. These are two important safeguards against sham bidders, and I am glad the Commission agreed to implement them as well.

There is more to do to ensure the ongoing integrity and credibility of the DE program. For instance, I have real questions about whether a company should be able to qualify for the DE discount if it

is owned in large part by a multi-billion-dollar wireless company – or any multi-billion-dollar communications company, for that matter. I believe the unjust enrichment reforms we announce today will go a long way towards eliminating the worst abuses of this kind. But we still need to consider whether additional partnership restrictions are warranted.

At the same time, we must also be cautious about overshooting the mark and harming the very small carriers and entrepreneurs that Congress meant to protect. Legitimate DEs must have access to capital to compete meaningfully against the large carriers. I would not support any measures that improperly compromised their ability to do so.

The limited time available to us for consideration of this item did not allow us to resolve these questions. I would have preferred launching this proceeding last summer so as to facilitate a more thorough review in time for comprehensive action today. But given the importance of both the upcoming AWS auction and the DE program, I think that the item we announce today is the most prudent course to protect the core values of the DE program. Certainly, we must be careful not to rush into further changes without full consideration of all their consequences, unintended as well as intended. I hope we will keep working on this program because another huge auction in the 700 MHz spectrum is not far off and we should have the program working as flawlessly as possible by then. In the meantime, I applaud the changes we make today to curb fraud and unjust enrichment and I thank my colleagues for their cooperative work to achieve these results.