STATEMENT OF CHAIRMAN KEVIN J. MARTIN

Re: High-Cost Universal Service Support; Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, WC Docket No. 05-337; CC Docket No. 96-45, FCC 08-22 (Joint Board Comprehensive High Cost Recommended Decision Notice).


Today, the Commission adopts several proposals to reform the high-cost universal service program. It is essential that we take actions that preserve and advance the benefits of the universal service program.

The United States and the Commission have a long history and tradition of ensuring that rural areas of the country are connected and have similar opportunities for communications as other areas. Our universal service program must continue to promote investment in rural America’s infrastructure and ensure access to telecommunications services that are comparable to those available in urban areas today, as well as provide a platform for delivery of advanced services.

Changes in technology and increases in the number of carriers that receive universal service support, however, have placed significant pressure on the stability of the Fund. A large and rapidly growing portion of the high-cost support program is now devoted to supporting multiple competitors to serve areas in which costs are prohibitively expensive for even one carrier. These additional networks don’t receive support based on their own costs, but rather on the costs of the incumbent provider, even if their costs of providing service are lower. In addition to recommending an interim cap, the Joint Board has recognized the problems of maintaining this identical support rule.

I am supportive of several means of comprehensive reform for the universal service program. I have circulated among my colleagues at the Commission an Order that adopts the recommendation of the Joint Board to place an interim cap on the amount of high-cost support available to competitive ETCs. And today we adopt a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that would require that high-cost support be based on a carrier’s own costs in the same way that rural phone companies’ support is based. I’m supportive of both measures as a means to contain the growth of universal service in order to preserve and advance the benefits of the fund and protect the ability of people in rural areas to continue to be connected.

I continue to believe the long-term answer for reform of high-cost universal service support is to move to a reverse auction methodology. I believe that reverse auctions could provide a technologically and competitively neutral means of controlling the current growth in the fund and ensuring a move to most efficient technologies over time. Accordingly, I am pleased that we adopt today’s Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to use reverse auctions to distribute universal service support.