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Memorandum Opinion and order

**Adopted: March 30, 2016 Released: March 30, 2016**

By the Commission:

1. We have before us an Application for Review (“AFR”) filed on July 13, 2009, by Christian Family Network (“CFN”),[[1]](#footnote-2) seeking review of the Media Bureau’s (“Bureau”) dismissal of CFN’s March 4, 2009, Informal Objection (“Objection”) to and grant of the captioned applications, as amended, for minor modification of facilities (“Applications”) for Spring Arbor University (“SAU”) Stations WJKN(AM), Jackson, and KTGG(AM), Okemos, Michigan.[[2]](#footnote-3)
2. In its AFR in this proceeding, CFN argues that the Bureau erred in granting SAU’s Applications because the grants conflict with the expired facilities of former CFN Station DWOLY(AM), Battle Creek, Michigan, and compromise the Commission’s ability to grant the relief requested in its June 26, 2009, Application for Review of the Bureau’s actions in that case, including the Bureau’s denial of CFN’s request for reconsideration of the rejection of CFN’s untimely-filed renewal application for DWOLY(AM) and termination of the station’s authorization.[[3]](#footnote-4) CFN then reiterates the arguments it made in the DWOLY Application for Review.
3. By Memorandum Opinion and Order released on this date, the Commission denied the DWOLY Application for Review.[[4]](#footnote-5) Upon review of the AFR and the entire record, and finding no basis in the AFR to modify the *Bureau Decision*, we conclude that CFN has failed to demonstrate that the Bureau or Commission erred. We uphold the *Bureau Decision* for the reasons stated therein.
4. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 155(c)(5) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended,[[5]](#footnote-6) and Section 1.115(g) of the Commission’s Rules,[[6]](#footnote-7) Christian Family Network’s July 13, 2009, Application for Review IS DENIED.[[7]](#footnote-8)
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1. On July 16, 2009, SAU filed an Opposition, to which CFN replied on July 29, 2009. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. *Lauren A. Colby, Esq.,* Letter, 1800B-SS (MB rel. Jun. 5, 2009) (“*Bureau Decision*”). On June 15, 2009, CFN filed a “Motion to Rescind or Suspend Application Grants,” which SAU opposed on June 23, 2009. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. *See DWOLY(AM), Battle Creek, MI.,* Letter, 24 FCC Rcd 7170 (MB 2009). [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. *See Christian Family Network,* Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 16-36 (rel. Mar. 30, 2016). [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. [47 U.S.C. § 155(c)(5)](http://web2.westlaw.com/find/default.wl?mt=Westlaw&db=1000546&docname=47USCAS155&rp=%2ffind%2fdefault.wl&findtype=L&ordoc=2030816525&tc=-1&vr=2.0&fn=_top&sv=Split&tf=-1&referencepositiontype=T&pbc=65E82273&referenceposition=SP%3ba83c0000180e0&rs=WLW13.07). [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. 47 C.F.R. § 1.115(g). [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
7. We also dismiss CFN’s June 15, 2009, “Motion to Rescind or Suspend Application Grants” as moot. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)