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# introduction

1. In this Declaratory Ruling, we determine that the Spectrum Act’s reimbursement mandate encompasses “costs reasonably incurred” prior to the close of the auction that otherwise are eligible for reimbursement.[[1]](#footnote-2) We take this action in order to remove uncertainty regarding the eligibility of expenses incurred before and during the auction for reimbursement.[[2]](#footnote-3) Of course, only stations that ultimately are reassigned to a new channel in their pre-auction band in the repacking process will be eligible for reimbursement of such expenses, which will be subject to the same reimbursement process as post-auction expenses. By allowing broadcasters to get a jump start on the relocation process, this Ruling will promote a rapid, non-disruptive transition following the broadcast television spectrum incentive auction.[[3]](#footnote-4)

# Background

1. The Spectrum Act requires the Commission to reimburse broadcast television licensees and multichannel video programming distributors (MVPDs), respectively, for “costs reasonably incurred” in relocating to new channels assigned in the repacking process and in order to continue to carry the signals of stations relocating to new channels.[[4]](#footnote-5) Reimbursements must be made from the $1.75 billion TV Broadcaster Relocation Fund (Fund) within three years of completion of the forward auction.[[5]](#footnote-6)
2. In the *Incentive Auction R&O*, the Commission established a process that requires eligible entities seeking reimbursement to provide an estimate of their eligible costs following the close of the forward auction and the release of the *Channel Reassignment PN*.[[6]](#footnote-7) The Media Bureau (Bureau) will review the estimates based on a Catalog of Eligible Expenses.[[7]](#footnote-8) The Catalog provides guidance regarding the kinds and amounts of expenses that will be reimbursed, but “[a]ll claimed expenses are subject to review by the Media Bureau to ensure that each expense is reasonable.”[[8]](#footnote-9) After reviewing cost estimates, the Bureau will allocate funds to each eligible entity, and reimbursement will be available from the allocated funds as expenses are incurred.[[9]](#footnote-10) Prior to the end of the three-year reimbursement period, eligible entities will be required to provide information regarding their actual and remaining estimated costs and will be issued a final allocation, if appropriate, to cover the remainder of their eligible costs.[[10]](#footnote-11)
3. We did not address in the *Incentive Auction R&O* whether pre-auction expenses are eligible for reimbursement. We did state that “[w]e interpret the Spectrum Act’s mandate to reimburse ‘costs reasonably incurred’ to require that we reimburse costs that are reasonable to provide facilities comparable to those that a broadcaster or MVPD had prior to the auction that are reasonably replaced or modified following the auction, as a result of the repacking process, in order to allow the broadcaster to operate on a new channel or to allow the MVPD to carry the signal of a broadcaster on a new channel.”[[11]](#footnote-12)
4. Interested parties have asked for clarification whether expenses incurred before the auction closes and the repacking results are announced are eligible for reimbursement, explaining that uncertainty regarding this issue discourages advance work that could be performed to expedite the post-auction transition for stations that are reassigned to new channels. On November 9, 2015, for example, the American Tower Corporation asked for “a Commission statement that if an expense would be eligible for reimbursement if it were incurred during the 39-month repack period, that same expense also would be eligible for reimbursement if the work was started or completed prior to the commencement of the 39-month repack period.”[[12]](#footnote-13) Resolution of this issue, it states, would enable it to “perform pre-repack work such as tower mapping and structural analysis starting now.”[[13]](#footnote-14) Other parties have made similar requests for clarification.[[14]](#footnote-15)

# Discussion

1. We interpret the statutory reimbursement mandate to include “costs reasonably incurred” before and during the auction that otherwise are eligible for reimbursement. Section 1452(b)(4)(A) defines which entities are eligible for reimbursement (*e.g.*,“a broadcast television licensee that was reassigned” in the repacking process) and what expenses are eligible (*e.g.*, “costs reasonably incurred … in order for the licensee to relocate its television service from one channel to the other”).[[15]](#footnote-16)  Although the statute imposes a deadline on when the Commission makes reimbursements,[[16]](#footnote-17) it contains no temporal restriction on when expenses are incurred or when facilities are modified or replaced. Accordingly, we interpret the statute to cover pre-auction expenses that otherwise are eligible for reimbursement.[[17]](#footnote-18)
2. In addition to being consistent with the statutory language, treating pre-auction expenses as eligible for reimbursement is consistent with the statutory purpose of repurposing UHF spectrum for new licensed uses, which will be facilitated by a rapid, non-disruptive transition. As interested parties have explained, making pre-auction expenses eligible for reimbursement will encourage performance of potentially reimbursable work before completion of the auction, providing a head start on what we have recognized will be a “complex and challenging” 39-month transition process for broadcasters.[[18]](#footnote-19)
3. Only stations that ultimately are reassigned to a new channel in their pre-auction band in the repacking process will be eligible for reimbursement of expenses incurred before and during the auction, which will be subject to the same reimbursement process as post-auction expenses. As indicated above, we are not expanding the types or amounts of expenses eligible for reimbursement.[[19]](#footnote-20) Eligibility for reimbursement will be based on the guidance set forth in the Catalog of Eligible Expenses and the Bureau’s case-by-case determinations of whether particular expenses qualify for reimbursement.[[20]](#footnote-21) There is no guarantee of reimbursement of pre-auction expenses.[[21]](#footnote-22) As ATC explains, “[i]f an expense is incurred prior to the 39-month repack period, but it turns out that the expense is not eligible for reimbursement (for example, if a TV station incurs a repacking planning expense but its bid to go off air is accepted or if a TV station is not repacked), that expense would be incurred at the station’s own risk and would not be reimbursed.”[[22]](#footnote-23) Likewise, if an expense is incurred prior to completion of the auction, but the Bureau determines that it was not “reasonably incurred … in order for the licensee to relocate its television service from one channel to the other,”[[23]](#footnote-24) then, like any other expense subject to such a determination, it would not be reimbursed.[[24]](#footnote-25)

# ordering clauses

1. **IT IS ORDERED**, pursuant to the authority found in Section 6403(b) of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, Pub. L. No. 112-96, 126 Stat. 156, 47 U.S.C. § 1452(b), and section 1.2 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.2, the *Declaratory Ruling* **IS ADOPTED**.
2. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Declaratory Ruling adopted herein shall be effective upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Marlene H. Dortch

Secretary
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