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By the Commission:

# INTRODUCTION

1. By this memorandum opinion and order, we deny two applications for review by Thomas O. Caldwell (Caldwell)[[1]](#footnote-2) of decisions by the Enforcement Bureau (EB)[[2]](#footnote-3) ruling on Caldwell’s two related Freedom of Information Act Requests.[[3]](#footnote-4) We find no error in EB’s decisions.

# BACKGROUND

1. Caldwell’s FOIA requests sought information concerning the termination of amateur radio license KG5IDD, issued to John D. Watkins III (Watkins). Commission records indicate that the license was terminated by the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau on June 29, 2015.[[4]](#footnote-5) WTB issued Watkins’ license four days earlier, on June 24, 2015. It set aside the license grant at the request of EB for enforcement review.[[5]](#footnote-6) Under 47 C.F.R. § 1.113(a), Commission staff may, on its own motion, modify or set aside an action it has taken under delegated authority within 30 days. The time period for Watkins to seek reconsideration or review of this action has long passed.
2. **April 20 Request.** Caldwell’s April 20 Request stated: “I am trying to find the reason that Laura Smith terminated John David Watkins[’] (kg5idd) license based on a complaint from bad information without contacting John Watkins.”[[6]](#footnote-7) The request went on to recite further circumstances regarding Watkins. Laura Smith (Smith), mentioned in the April 20 request, is an EB official who worked on matters concerning Watkins.
3. EB responded that it had produced 39 documents concerning the termination of Watkins’ license in response to two earlier FOIA requests by Caldwell. EB further indicated that “[i]n response to this request, we have searched Commission records and have not located any additional responsive information related to your request.”[[7]](#footnote-8)
4. In his May 25 AFR of EB’s response to the April 20 Request, Caldwell set out a lengthy account of circumstances he apparently believes are relevant to the termination of Watkins’ license, including Smith’s alleged participation in the termination. He concludes “I am asking you to provide me with any information justifying Mrs. Smith[’]s action terminating Mr. Watkins[’] license.”[[8]](#footnote-9)
5. **June 10 Request.** In a similar vein, Caldwell’s June 10 Request requested a copy of “any statute, rule, authorization or law that Laura Smith used to terminate John Watkins[’] (kg5idd) without notice or hearing.”[[9]](#footnote-10)
6. EB responded by reciting that WTB had terminated the license pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.113(a), as discussed above at Paragraph 2. EB noted that “[a] copy of the Commission’s rules can be found on-line at [www.fcc.gov](http://www.fcc.gov/).”[[10]](#footnote-11)
7. In his August 2 AFR of EB’s response to the June 10 Request, Caldwell again engages in a lengthy discussion of the circumstances surrounding the termination of Watkins’ license. He states: “The FCC refuses and continues to refuse to provide information on the source of Ms Smith[’s] misinformation regarding the alleged agreemen[t] that was the basis for her request to terminate Mr. Watkins[’] license. Did she make it up? At any rate I am still requesting information on where the misinformation originated.”[[11]](#footnote-12)

# DISCUSSION

1. Caldwell’s AFRs points to no error in EB’s Decisions. With respect to the May 25 Decision, we credit EB’s assertion that it searched its records and found no relevant documents in addition to the 39 documents already disclosed. Caldwell does not appear to be arguing that EB’s response to his FOIA request is in any way defective but rather appears to be arguing that Watkins’ license was improperly terminated. That is, he apparently seeks justification for the termination, not documents. A FOIA request is not, however, the proper vehicle for raising such questions, if, indeed, Caldwell has standing to raise them at all. In responding to a FOIA request, an agency “has no duty either to answer questions unrelated to document requests or to create documents.”[[12]](#footnote-13)
2. With respect to the July 13 Decision, EB fully responded to Caldwell’s request by indicating that the text of 47 C.F.R. § 1.113(a) is publicly available. Moreover, EB had already explained, in responding to Caldwell’s earlier FOIA requests, that it had disclosed all records that it had located relevant to the termination of Watkins’ license. We credit these representations.

# ORDERING CLAUSE

1. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, That the applications for review filed by Thomas O. Caldwell ARE DENIED. Caldwell may seek judicial review of this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B).[[13]](#footnote-14)
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