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By the Commission:

1. In this Order, we dismiss for lack of standing NTCH, Inc.’s Application for Review[[1]](#footnote-3) of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau *Memorandum Opinion and Order* granting DISH Network Corporation a waiver of certain rules to allow DISH the flexibility to use 20 megahertz of Advanced Wireless Services-4 (AWS-4) spectrum at 2000-2020 MHz for either uplink or downlink operations and extending DISH’s final AWS-4 build-out deadline from seven to eight years.[[2]](#footnote-4)

# BACKGROUND

1. DISH Network Corporation (DISH) is the sole holder of the 2 GHz Mobile Satellite Service (MSS) and corresponding AWS-4 terrestrial licenses.[[3]](#footnote-5) DISH acquired the 2 GHz MSS licenses in March 2012.[[4]](#footnote-6) In December 2012, the Commissionauthorized full terrestrial use of the 2000-2020 MHz and 2180-2200 MHz bands,[[5]](#footnote-7) thereby expanding the possibilities for terrestrial use previously authorized under the Ancillary Terrestrial Component (ATC) of 2 GHz MSS licenses.[[6]](#footnote-8) Specifically, the Commissiondetermined that the public interest would be served by granting AWS-4 terrestrial operating authority to the existing MSS licensees in the band[[7]](#footnote-9) and replaced the ATC rules with Part 27 flexible use rules for terrestrial operations.[[8]](#footnote-10) The AWS-4 rules designated the Lower AWS-4 band (2000-2020 MHz) for mobile and low power fixed (i.e., uplink) operations and the 2180-2200 MHz band (Upper AWS-4 band) for fixed and base station (i.e., downlink) operations.[[9]](#footnote-11) Pursuant to this decision and Section 316 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Act), the Commission proposed to modify DISH’s MSS licenses to include the AWS-4 terrestrial authorizations.[[10]](#footnote-12) On January 22, 2013, DISH accepted the proposed license modifications,[[11]](#footnote-13) and on February 15, 2013, the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (WTB or Bureau) and the International Bureau granted these license modifications.[[12]](#footnote-14) WTB issued the modified licenses to DISH on March 7, 2013.[[13]](#footnote-15)
2. On September 9, 2013, DISH filed a waiver petition requesting the option to use the Lower AWS-4 band for either downlink or uplink operations (DISH Petition).[[14]](#footnote-16) DISH also requested a one-year extension or waiver of the final build-out milestone for DISH’s use of the AWS-4 band.[[15]](#footnote-17) DISH stated that, should the Commission grant these requests, DISH would commit to: (1) file an election with the Commission “as soon as commercially practicable, but no later than 30 months after the grant of [its] petition,” specifying “whether it will deploy the [Lower AWS-4] 2000-2020 MHz band for downlink or uplink use;”[[16]](#footnote-18) and (2) “bid[] at least a net clearing price equal to any aggregate nationwide reserve price established by the Commission in the upcoming H Block auction (not to exceed the equivalent of $0.50 per MHz/POP).”[[17]](#footnote-19) At 1995-2000 MHz, the Upper H Block (prescribed for downlink under the Commission’s rules) is immediately adjacent to the Lower AWS-4 Band (prescribed for uplink under those rules) authorized for use by DISH.[[18]](#footnote-20)
3. On September 13, 2013, the DISH Petition was placed on public notice.[[19]](#footnote-21) AT&T Services, Inc., Sprint Corporation, and NTCH, Inc. (NTCH) filed comments, and Sprint and DISH filed reply comments.[[20]](#footnote-22) Only NTCH opposed the requests.[[21]](#footnote-23)
4. Short-form applications to participate in the H Block auction were due on November 15, 2013, with upfront payments due on December 18, 2013.[[22]](#footnote-24) Based on its review of the short-form applications submitted, the Commission found 23 applicants to be qualified to bid in the auction.[[23]](#footnote-25) These did not include NTCH, which did not file a short-form application.[[24]](#footnote-26)
5. On December 20, 2013, the Bureau granted the DISH Petition, subject to certain conditions. The Waiver Order first provided DISH with the flexibility to elect whether to use the Lower AWS-4 band for uplink or downlink operations. The Order then extended DISH’s final build-out milestone for the AWS-4 licenses from seven to eight years.[[25]](#footnote-27) The grant of this relief was conditioned upon DISH’s performing two actions.[[26]](#footnote-28) First, DISH would be required to bid in the H Block auction at least a net clearing price equal to the aggregate reserve price set for the auction ($1.564 billion).[[27]](#footnote-29) Second, DISH would be required to file its uplink or downlink election, which would apply to all AWS-4 licenses, “as soon as commercially practicable but no later than 30 months [after] the release date of [the Bureau’s *DISH Waiver Order*].”[[28]](#footnote-30) Should DISH elect to use its Lower AWS-4 band spectrum for downlink, the Bureau also specified the technical parameters that any such downlink operations would be required to meet in order to prevent DISH from causing harmful interference to licensees in nearby spectrum bands.[[29]](#footnote-31) The Bureau rejected NTCH’s arguments in opposition to the *DISH Petition*.[[30]](#footnote-32)
6. On January 22, 2014, NTCH filed an Application for Review of the *DISH Waiver Order*.[[31]](#footnote-33) On February 6, 2014, DISH filed an opposition to that Application for Review in which DISH not only opposed NTCH’s substantive arguments, but also argued that NTCH lacked standing to challenge the *DISH Waiver Order* because it was not a licensee with affected spectrum, and it did not represent that it planned to participate in the H Block auction.[[32]](#footnote-34) NTCH filed a reply to the DISH opposition on February 19, 2014.[[33]](#footnote-35)
7. The H Block auction (Auction 96) began on January 22, 2014, and closed on February 27, 2014, with DISH winning all of the licenses available in the auction.[[34]](#footnote-36)

# DISCUSSION

1. The Application for Review is governed by Section 5(c)(4) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Act),[[35]](#footnote-37) and Section 1.115 of the Commission’s rules.[[36]](#footnote-38) We dismiss NTCH’s Application for Review for a lack of standing.
2. DISH argues that NTCH lacks standing under Section 1.115(a) of the Commission’s rules because it has not been aggrieved by the Bureau’s grant of the *DISH Petition*.[[37]](#footnote-39) As an initial matter, NTCH argues that every member of the public has standing to challenge the waiver grant based on a generalized “right and . . . interest in seeing that rules adopted by the FCC are in the public interest,” though it fails to cite any legal authority for this proposition.[[38]](#footnote-40) We reject NTCH’s argument because it fails to recognize the distinction between (1) standing to file comments in response to a waiver request; and (2) standing to file an application for review. For a waiver proceeding, the Commission may, but does not have to, allow the public or affected parties to submit comments.[[39]](#footnote-41) By contrast, both the Commission’s rules and the Act provide only for a person “aggrieved” by an action taken on delegated authority to file an application for review by the Commission.[[40]](#footnote-42) Thus, contrary to NTCH’s contention, standing does not extend to every member of the public.
3. Section 5(c)(4) of the Act provides that “[a]ny person aggrieved by any . . . order, decision, report or action [made or taken pursuant to delegated authority] may file an application for review by the Commission.”[[41]](#footnote-43) In interpreting the term “aggrieved,” the Commission has required the applicant to allege facts sufficient to: (1) show an injury; (2) demonstrate a direct causal link between the challenged action and its alleged injury; and (3) show that the injury would be prevented or redressed by the requested relief.[[42]](#footnote-44)
4. NTCH asserts on reply that it has standing based on its “thwarted plan to participate in the H Block auction.”[[43]](#footnote-45) Specifically, NTCH claims that it “had every intention of seeking to acquire PCS licenses in that auction until the Commission stacked the deck in DISH’s favor by” two separate actions: (1) “allowing DISH . . . the flexibility” to elect between uplink/downlink status for the adjacent AWS-4 spectrum, and (2) in an earlier Bureau order from which NTCH is separately seeking Commission review,[[44]](#footnote-46) setting the reserve price for the H Block auction so high as to “effectively price[] most carriers, including NTCH, out of the market.”[[45]](#footnote-47) NTCH argues that “[t]he setting of the reserve price for the H Block auction was tied directly to the grant of the waivers sought here,” and thus that it “cannot challenge the . . . H Block proceedings without also challenging the grant of the waiver.”[[46]](#footnote-48) Finally, NTCH asserts that grant of the DISH waiver “may adversely affect its rights as AWS-4 licensee down the road when those licenses are ultimately opened to competitive bidding.”[[47]](#footnote-49)
5. NTCH lacks standing because it has failed to demonstrate any direct causal link between the Bureau’s decision to grant DISH’s petition for waiver and any actual or concrete injury to NTCH. As DISH points out, NTCH does not claim to be a licensee operating on adjacent spectrum that might be adversely affected by DISH’s potential use of the Lower AWS-4 band for downlink rather than uplink transmission.[[48]](#footnote-50) Nor does NTCH assert any injury from the waiver extending DISH’s construction period for its AWS-4 licenses. To the extent NTCH argues that it has standing because grant of the DISH waiver “affects the value of the adjacent H Block,”[[49]](#footnote-51) we find such a claim to be insufficient to confer standing. NTCH has not demonstrated the required direct causal link between grant of the DISH waiver petition and any alleged injury to it, or that such injury will be prevented or redressed by denying DISH such relief. As noted above, the deadline for the filing of short-form applications required to participate in the H Block auction was November 15, 2013. The Bureau did not act on DISH’s waiver petition until December 20, 2013. NTCH made a voluntary, business decision not to participate in the H Block auction by not filing a short-form application, which was due *prior* to the adoption of the Bureau’s order for which it is seeking review here. Thus, because NTCH “itself chose not to seek to qualify to bid for the licenses” in the H Block auction, it lacks standing as a party aggrieved by the subsequent grant of the DISH waiver.[[50]](#footnote-52)
6. Moreover, to the extent NTCH argues that the Bureau’s public notice, setting what it asserts was an “unprecedentedly high” reserve price in the H Block auction proceeding, “effectively priced most carriers, including NTCH, out of the market,”[[51]](#footnote-53) that argument is the subject of NTCH’s application for review filed in a separate proceeding.[[52]](#footnote-54) In any event, NTCH has failed to demonstrate how DISH’s commitment to bid a specific amount equal to the *aggregate* reserve price for all H Block licenses (much less the Bureau’s establishment of that aggregate reserve price in a wholly separate order) would have caused NTCH to be “priced . . . out of the market” for any *specific* H Block licenses.[[53]](#footnote-55) Because NTCH fails to allege any injury fairly traceable to the Bureau’s decision to permit DISH the flexibility to use the Lower AWS-4 block for uplink operations, the relief requested, i.e., reversal of the order granting the DISH petition, was properly denied.
7. NTCH also incorrectly suggests that it has standing because it remains interested in acquiring AWS-4 spectrum when it is ultimately made available for other applicants and that this decision to grant the DISH Waiver could affect its rights as an AWS-4 licensee.[[54]](#footnote-56) NTCH is not an AWS-4 licensee. NTCH’s claim turns on the wholly speculative assumptions that the Commission might eliminate DISH’s terrestrial authority, that it might thereafter make this spectrum available for non-AWS-4 licensees, and that it might determine after further proceedings to do so by auctioning licenses for terrestrial operations in this band, notwithstanding the Commission’s prior determination that separate MSS and terrestrial broadband licensees cannot co-exist in that band.[[55]](#footnote-57) Such unfounded speculation does not establish any actual or imminent injury.[[56]](#footnote-58) Therefore, this claim also provides no basis for establishing that NTCH has standing to challenge the Bureau’s decision to grant the DISH Waiver in particular, which is premised on the existing rules under which DISH, not NTCH, is the Commission’s sole AWS-4 licensee.

# CONCLUSION

1. For the reasons set forth above, we conclude that NTCH has failed to establish standing for seeking Commission review of the Bureau’s *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, and accordingly dismiss the Application for Review. As a result, we need not address the merits of NTCH’s challenge to the Bureau’s order.

# ORDERING CLAUSES

1. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Sections 4(i), 5(c), and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 155(c), 303(r), and Section 1.115 of the Commission’s Rules, 47 CFR § 1.115, that the Application for Review filed by NTCH, Inc. on January 22, 2014, IS DISMISSED.
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