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By the Commission:

# introduction

1. The Commission has before it an Application for Review filed on September 4, 2018, by Chehalis Valley Educational Foundation (CVEF), licensee of reserved-band non-commercial educational (NCE) Station KACS(FM), Chehalis, Washington.[[1]](#footnote-3) CVEF seeks review of the Media Bureau’s (Bureau) decision denying CVEF’s Petition for Reconsideration of a prior *Letter Decision.*[[2]](#footnote-4) In the *Letter Decision*, the Bureau dismissed the above captioned application (Application) proposing to change the community of license for KACS(FM) from Channel 213A at Chehalis, Washington, to Channel 213C3 at Rainier, Washington, pursuant to section 73.3573(g) of the Commission’s rules (Rules).[[3]](#footnote-5) For the reasons set forth below, we deny the Application for Review.

# Background.

1. In the *Letter Decision*, the Bureau dismissed CVEF’s Application after determining that it did not represent a preferential arrangement of allotments.[[4]](#footnote-6) Specifically, the Bureau concluded that the proposed principal community signal (60 dBµ contour) would cover 53.6 percent of the Olympia-Lacey, Washington, and 13 percent of the Seattle, Washington, urbanized areas. Therefore, in applying the urbanized area service presumption (UASP),[[5]](#footnote-7) the proposed facility was presumed to be an additional service to the Olympia-Lacey, Washington, urbanized area under Priority (4), as opposed to a first local service at Rainier, Washington, under Priority (3). On reconsideration, the Bureau examined the narrow issue of whether the 70 dBµ contour or the 60 dBµ contour should be used as the principal community contour for a reserved-band NCE FM station proposing to change its community of license pursuant to section 73.3573(g) of the Rules, and to qualify as a first local service under Priority (3).[[6]](#footnote-8) The Bureau determined, consistent with prior Commission decisions, that a reserved-band NCE FM station’s service to its community of license should be measured using 60 dBµ signal strength rather than the 70 dBµ principal community contour that is applicable to commercial FM stations.[[7]](#footnote-9) On this basis, the Bureau rejected CVEF’s argument that its Application is entitled to a Priority (3) preference using the 70 dBµ contour as the principal community contour, and denied its Petition for Reconsideration.[[8]](#footnote-10)
2. The Commission will consider an application for review when the petitioner can show that the action taken pursuant to delegated authority: (1) is in conflict with statute, regulation, case precedent, or established Commission policy; (2) involves a question of law and policy which has not been resolved by the Commission; (3) involves application of a precedent of policy which should be overturned or revised; (4) involves an erroneous finding as to an important or material question of fact; or (5) involves a prejudicial procedural error.[[9]](#footnote-11)
3. In its Application for Review, CVEF repeats its argument that the 70 dBµ contour, as opposed to the 60 dBµ contour, should be the principal community contour for a reserved-band NCE FM station when applying the UASP.[[10]](#footnote-12) CVEF asserts that application of the 60 dBµ contour as the UASP trigger has the perverse and unintended effect of restricting a move by a non-commercial station that a commercial station could easily effectuate under our *Rural Radio* decision.[[11]](#footnote-13) CVEF maintains that the Bureau has not explained what public interest purpose there could be in treating commercial and non-commercial stations differently in this context.[[12]](#footnote-14) CVEF further maintains that neither *Rural Radio* nor the Rules define the non-commercial 60 dBµ contour as the “principal community contour” and that, absent any guidance as to the specific signal strength values to be used to trigger the UASP, the Bureau must employ the values established by rule and precedent.[[13]](#footnote-15) According to CVEF, it is well established that the principal community contour for non-commercial FM stations is the 70 dBµ contour.[[14]](#footnote-16) CVEF claims that when evaluating CVEF’s Application using the 70 dBµ contour, it does not trigger the UASP and the proposal to relocate KACS to Rainier is therefore entitled to the Priority (3) preference that CVEF originally claimed.[[15]](#footnote-17)

# Discussion.

1. After careful consideration of the underlying record, we deny the Application for Review. The issue presented here is whether the principal community contour or signal[[16]](#footnote-18) of a reserved-band NCE FM station is the station’s 60 dBµ contour or 70 dBµ contour. We acknowledge that *Rural Radio* does not explicitly define what the “principal community signal” should be for these stations. However, notwithstanding CVEF’s claim that it is well-established that the principal community contour for reserved-band NCE FM stations is the 70 dBµ contour, when the Commission used this phrase in *Rural Radio*, it was referring to the station’s 60 dBµ contour. In the *Second Report and Order*, released in November 2000, the Commission established “an NCE FM principal community coverage standard” as part of its wide-ranging radio technical streamlining initiative.[[17]](#footnote-19) This standard requires an NCE FM station operating on reserved channels to provide a predicted 60 dBµ signal to at least 50 percent of its community of license or reach 50 percent of the population within the community.[[18]](#footnote-20) The Commission chose to measure a reserved-band NCE FM station’s service to its community of license on the basis of 60 dBµ signal strength because (1) that signal strength would ensure a substantial portion of the population within the community would receive a listenable signal; (2) at least half of the community would receive protected service on a permanent basis; (3) the Commission’s technical rules protect all reserved-band NCE FM stations to their 60 dBµ contour; (4) reserved-band NCE FM stations are generally dependent on listener support, and may not have the financial resources to construct facilities that serve the entire community of license; and (5) many reserved-band NCE FM stations operate at lower power levels and may not be able to comply with the 70 dBµ commercial FM station principal community coverage requirement.[[19]](#footnote-21) The Commission and Bureau staff have consistently applied this standard to reserved-band NCE FM stations since it was adopted in 2000.[[20]](#footnote-22) We therefore reject CVEF’s argument that the principal community contour for reserved-band NCE FM stations is the 70 dBµ contour.[[21]](#footnote-23)
2. We also are not persuaded by CVEF’s argument that the former “main studio” rule indicated the Commission intended to designate the 70 dBµ contour as the principal community contour for reserved-band NCE FM stations.[[22]](#footnote-24) The former main studio rule simply reflected the main studio obligation at that time, which was for every broadcast station to maintain its main studio in its community of license.[[23]](#footnote-25) In 1987, the Commission relaxed the rule to permit a station to locate its main studio outside of its principal community at any point within the station’s principal community contour.[[24]](#footnote-26) Because the Commission did not have a rule defining a reserved-band NCE FM station’s principal community contour at that time, it applied the contour applicable to commercial FM stations.[[25]](#footnote-27) The Commission explained that this reference was specifically “for the purpose of th[e] [main studio] rule”[[26]](#footnote-28) and “was made solely to indicate the applicable main studio location standard for the stations.”[[27]](#footnote-29) Thus, the main studio rule merely reflected the requirement that a main studio be located within the station’s 70 dBµ contour; it does not support the argument that a reserved-band NCE FM station’s principal community contour isthe 70 dBµ contour.
3. We now turn to CVEF’s argument that the Bureau has not explained how the public interest is served by treating commercial and reserved-band NCE FM stations differently when applying the UASP.[[28]](#footnote-30) Since 2000, when the Commission adopted a separate principal community contour requirement for reserved-band NCE FM stations, the trigger for the required showing in connection with community of license changes has been different for commercial and reserved-band NCE FM stations.[[29]](#footnote-31) CVEF’s interpretation of when the UASP is triggered for NCE FM stations would require a change in Commission policy, and there is no basis in Commission precedent for adopting its interpretation at this time. Any suggested revisions to the principal community contour for NCE stations are more appropriately considered in the context of a notice and comment rulemaking proceeding rather than in a fact-specific adjudicatory proceeding such as this.[[30]](#footnote-32) We therefore find no reason to disturb the Bureau’s dismissal of the Application.

# ordering clause

1. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that, pursuant to section 5(c)(5) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended,[[31]](#footnote-33) and section 1.115(g) of the Commission’s Rules,[[32]](#footnote-34) the Application for Review filed by Chehalis Valley Educational Foundation **IS DENIED**.
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