**Before the**

Federal Communications Commission

Washington, D.C. 20554

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| In the Matter ofRural Health Care Support Mechanism | **)****)****)****)** | WC Docket No. 02-60 |

ORDER

**Adopted: May 14, 2019 Released: May 20, 2019**

By the Commission: Commissioner Starks issuing a separate statement.

# Introduction

1. With this Order, we take necessary steps to provide full funding for all eligible services requested from the Rural Health Care universal service support mechanism (RHC Program) for the 2018 funding year (FY). In FY 2018, multi-year and upfront payment funding requests filed during the window sought support exceeding the $150 million funding cap for those payments. Our rules would require the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to prorate requested support received by eligible health care providers. To avoid significant pro-rata reductions in support for multi-year and upfront payment requests and to ensure that all eligible funding requests seeking support for services to be delivered in FY 2018 are fully funded, we suspend on our own motion the Commission’s rule allowing for multi-year commitments in the Healthcare Connect Fund (HCF Program) for FY 2018 and direct USAC to process these requests as single-year funding requests.[[1]](#footnote-3) This action will ensure that rural health care providers can continue to obtain critical communications-based technologies for the delivery of health care services to their communities.

# Background

1. The RHC Program has two component programs: (1) the Telecommunications Program (Telecom Program), which permits eligible health care providers to apply for discounts to defray the high cost of eligible telecommunications services in rural areas;[[2]](#footnote-4) and (2) the HCF Program,which supports the delivery of broadband services,[[3]](#footnote-5) and encourages the development of state and regional health care networks by allowing health care consortia to request support for the upfront costs of deploying broadband infrastructure and seek funding for multi-year contracts.[[4]](#footnote-6) The latter program, in turn, allows rural health care providers to access specialists located in urban areas, exchange electronic health records, and take advantage of cost savings that result from bulk buying and aggregating administrative functions.[[5]](#footnote-7)
2. Funding for the RHC Program for FY 2018 is capped at $581 million.[[6]](#footnote-8) Within that cap, a maximum of $150 million may be committed for HCF Program funding for requests seeking support for non-recurring upfront payments and multi-year commitments.[[7]](#footnote-9) Support for multi-year commitments is further limited to a period covering eligible expenses for three funding years.[[8]](#footnote-10) The $150 million funding cap safeguards against large annual fluctuations in RHC Program demand by ensuring that upfront and multi-year payments do not inhibit the availability of single-year payments to rural health care providers.[[9]](#footnote-11)
3. If, after a filing window period closes, demand exceeds either the overall RHC Program funding cap or the $150 million upfront/multi-year cap in a particular funding year, the Commission requires the program administrator, USAC, to prorate funding requests to ensure that commitments do not exceed the relevant caps.[[10]](#footnote-12) The pro-rata factor is determined by dividing the total amount of available funding by the total amount of support requested during a filing window.[[11]](#footnote-13) The administrator then multiplies “the pro-rata factor by the total amount of support requested by each applicant . . . and commit[s] funds to each eligible applicant that has filed during the specific window period consistent with this calculation.”[[12]](#footnote-14) The net result is each eligible applicant in the filing window period will receive less support than requested by the same pro-rata factor to bring the overall support amount committed within the applicable cap limit, i.e., the $581 million aggregate limit for FY 2018 or the $150 million limit for HCF Program requests seeking support for upfront payments and multi-year commitments.
4. The Commission adopted an order in June 2018 increasing the RHC Program cap to its current limit while deferring action on modifying the $150 million upfront/multi-year cap.[[13]](#footnote-15) The Commission separately established a process to carry-forward unused RHC Program funds on an annual basis for use in future funding years. This unused funding is available to commit to funding requests for eligible services, equipment, and facilities in excess of the annual funding cap.[[14]](#footnote-16)
5. *FY 2018 Program Demand.* According to USAC, gross demand for all RHC Program applications filed during the single filing window period for FY 2018 is approximately $648 million.[[15]](#footnote-17) About $237 million of that total represents funding requests seeking support for upfront payments and multi-year commitments in the HCF Program.[[16]](#footnote-18) If requests for multi-year commitments and upfront payments did not exceed the $150 million upfront/multi-year HCF funding cap, then the overall demand for the RHC Program would be less than the $581 million funding cap for FY 2018, as the remaining HCF Program requests and Telecom Program requests total about $411 million.[[17]](#footnote-19) Of the $237 million subject to the upfront/multi-year HCF funding cap, only about $149 million is for upfront expenses and FY 2018 recurring charges, which is within the HCF upfront/multi-year funding cap.[[18]](#footnote-20) The remaining $88 million is sought for FY 2019 and FY 2020 recurring charges.[[19]](#footnote-21)
6. In November 2018, USAC announced there would be no proration for FY 2018 Telecom Program funding requests or for single-year FY 2018 funding requests seeking support for recurring services under the HCF Program.[[20]](#footnote-22) That announcement reflected the fact that the total demand for such funding requests plus $150 million authorized for upfront payments and multi-year commitments does not exceed the $581 million cap for FY 2018. Pursuant to that announcement, USAC began issuing funding decisions for single-year funding requests seeking support for recurring services in November 2018.[[21]](#footnote-23)
7. Absent action by the Commission, USAC would need to significantly prorate the funding support for upfront payments and multi-year commitments to bring demand within the $150 million cap before issuing funding decisions for this category of requests. If it did so based on the total $237 million demanded for such requests, applicants would lose about 37% of the expected program support for these upfront and multi-year requests over the next three years.[[22]](#footnote-24)

# Discussion

1. We suspend on our own motion our rule allowing for multi-year commitments in the HCF Program for FY 2018 to ensure all eligible services requested for FY 2018 are fully funded and to avoid making pro-rata reductions of support for health care providers.[[23]](#footnote-25) We further direct USAC to designate the underlying contracts as “evergreen” to the extent those contracts meet the requirements of Section 54.642(h)(4)(ii) of our rules[[24]](#footnote-26) to allow the health care providers seeking multi-year commitments to receive the full eligible amount requested for subsequent funding years by submitting funding requests to USAC without rebidding the services. These actions will enable the funding of all RHC Program support requests to receive their full eligible amounts for services delivered in FY 2018 and create a simpler administrative path for health care providers to obtain eligible funding for services to be delivered in FY 2019 and FY 2020. This, in turn, will help connect health care providers to essential advanced telecommunications and information services for the delivery of modern healthcare to rural Americans without further burdening contributors to the Universal Service Fund.
2. RHC Program applicants are generally required to file requests for support annually and must satisfy a competitive bidding process before selecting vendors and service providers.[[25]](#footnote-27) A multi-year funding commitment obtained pursuant to section 54.644(a) of the Commission’s rules allows a health care provider to file and obtain approval for just one request for a multi-year period not to exceed three funding years.[[26]](#footnote-28) Separately, long-term contracts designated by the administrator as “evergreen” are not required to conduct competitive rebidding during the life of the contract.[[27]](#footnote-29)
3. For the first time in the HCF Program’s history, demand for upfront payments and multi-year commitments has exceeded the $150 million cap.[[28]](#footnote-30) The Commission stated in the *HCF Report and Order* that under a first-come, first-served scenario where applications are processed on a rolling basis that “[i]f an applicant signs a multi-year contract but funds are no longer available . . .[,] the applicant may choose to simply seek a one-year funding commitment, have the contract designated as ‘evergreen,’ and apply for a multi-year funding commitment in the next funding year.”[[29]](#footnote-31) Under such circumstances, failure to opt for one year of funding would result in a denial of the multi-year commitment request by the administrator due to the lack of available funds. In the context of a filing window, however, all submitted applications are treated as being filed simultaneously and the rule of proration applies when demand exceeds available funds.[[30]](#footnote-32) As a consequence, giving the entire pool of applicants an option would lead to further processing delays at this late date in the funding process for not just an individual applicant, but for *all* HCF Program applicants that have filed upfront payment and multi-year funding requests; health care providers and consortia would need time to consider and make their choices, and USAC would then need to recalculate the demand once all selections were made before issuing any funding commitments. Further, if not enough applicants opted for single-year funding, the process could still lead to significant pro-rata support reductions. In that event, the parties to the underlying contracts would bear the burden of the shortfall: Either health care providers would have to shoulder a substantially larger portion of the cost of the supported services, or service providers would seek to offer price reductions to avoid curtailing service, or some combination thereof. [[31]](#footnote-33)
4. Accordingly, we find the public interest better served by suspending the multi-year commitment rule for FY 2018 and directing USAC to process all multi-year requests as requests for a single year of funding. We recognize that parties to multi-year contracts may have hoped to receive a commitment for up to three years of service, but significant pro-rata reductions in support for a multi-year period would likely have a more severe impact on applicants.[[32]](#footnote-34) Moreover, to mitigate concerns about the inability to lock in funding for future years, we instruct USAC to designate the underlying contracts associated with the multi-year funding requests as evergreen, provided the contracts satisfy the criteria set forth in section 54.642(h)(4)(ii) of the Commission’s rules.[[33]](#footnote-35) The evergreen designation will exempt applicants from having to complete the competitive bidding process for the contracts when subsequently filing requests for support pursuant to these contracts.[[34]](#footnote-36) In addition, applicants can still request multi-year commitments pursuant to these contracts in the next funding year.
5. Finally, we direct USAC to extend the FY 2019 application filing window deadline from May 31, 2019 to June 30, 2019 for health care providers that: (1) filed FY 2018 multi-year and upfront payment funding requests either individually or as part of a consortium; and (2) have not received a funding decision on their FY 2018 funding request from USAC by the release date of this order.[[35]](#footnote-37) We recognize that these health care providers may have delayed competitive bidding processes and application preparations for FY 2019 due to uncertainty about their FY 2018 requests, and little time may remain in the filing window after receiving their funding decisions from USAC pursuant to this order. We also recognize health care providers that filed FY 2018 multi-year requests will now need to prepare FY 2019 submissions because of the suspension of the multi-year commitment rule. Accordingly, we provide these affected health care providers with additional time to complete their FY 2019 filings. If additional time is needed beyond June 30, 2019 due to unique circumstances, then they may file a request for waiver[[36]](#footnote-38) of the Commission’s filing commitment request deadline for FY 2019.[[37]](#footnote-39)

# Ordering Clauses

1. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and section 1.3 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR. § 54.644(a) IS SUSPENDED.
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that pursuant to section 1.103 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 1.103, this Order SHALL BE EFFECTIVE upon release.

 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

 Marlene H. Dortch

 Secretary

**STATEMENT OF**

**COMMISSIONER GEOFFREY STARKS**

Re: *Rural Health Care Support Mechanism*, WC Docket No. 02-60.

The Rural Health Care program is essential to realizing the potential for telehealth. It supports the links that enable telehealth – which has great promise to transform the way that people in rural areas, and all over the country, access care and interact with doctors and medical professionals. I support the actions this order takes that will allow health care provider consortiums to receive support for Funding Year 2018.

Last year the Commission expanded funding to the Rural Health Care program because the fund had recently become oversubscribed for the first time. Here, we similarly see that funding year 2018 was the first time that applications for consortium funding exceeded the applicable $150 million cap. We need to evaluate and better understand the growth in demand for the Healthcare Connect Fund, so we can stay ahead of changes and enable the program to meet the needs of those it serves.

I’m mindful that preparing orders requires a great deal of work, and I’d like to thank the dedicated staff of WCB for preparing this one.
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