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# Introduction

1. As the Commission continues taking steps to sustain and spur growth of the white space ecosystem, we adopt two orders resolving pending issues associated with white space devices and the white spaces databases. The actions being taken today will provide additional certainty to white space device users, manufacturers and database administrators to enable unlicensed white space devices to operate efficiently and protect other spectrum users, in particular wireless microphone users. In the Second Order on Reconsideration, we address petitions for reconsideration of the requirement established in the Commission’s *White Spaces Report and Order* that white space databases “push” channel availability changes to white space devices when a licensed wireless microphone operator registers in the white space database to use a TV channel.[[1]](#footnote-3) We remove the push notification requirement and replace it with a simpler rule that requires fixed and personal/portable white space devices operating on broadcast TV channels to re-check the database more frequently, with the exception of narrowband white space devices. In the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, we seek comment on the appropriate database re-check interval for narrowband and mobile white space devices; the Commission authorized these devices and did not address the issue of re-check intervals in its *2020 White Spaces Report and Order*.[[2]](#footnote-4) Finally, in the Order, we deny a petition for reconsideration of the Office of Engineering and Technology’s (OET’s) designation of Nominet UK (now RED Technologies) as a white space database administrator.[[3]](#footnote-5)

# Background

1. In 2008, the Commission first authorized unlicensed white space device operations, both fixed and personal/portable, in portions of the VHF and UHF broadcast TV bands at locations where frequencies were not being used by TV broadcasters and associated services.[[4]](#footnote-6) In 2010, 2012, and 2015, the Commission took steps to promote additional opportunities for unlicensed white space devices to use spectrum in the TV bands and to reflect changes in the TV bands resulting from the Incentive Auction.[[5]](#footnote-7) Significantly, the Commission’s rules following the incentive auction, which repurposed a significant portion of the TV bands for 600 MHz wireless services, continued to authorize white space device operation on the unused channels of broadcast television spectrum (TV bands) and on channel 37, as well as permitting operation on the upper six-megahertz portion of the 600 MHz duplex gap (657-663 MHz), and on the 600 MHz service bands (617-652 MHz and 663-698 MHz) at locations where a Part 27 600 MHz wireless service licensee has not commenced operations.[[6]](#footnote-8) The Commission’s current rules provide for three classes of white space devices: fixed, mobile, and personal/portable.[[7]](#footnote-9) These rules provide white space devices’ flexibility to provide a variety of wireless services, including broadband data. Fixed white space devices are also being deployed to provide backhaul services, often in rural and underserved communities, for Internet connectivity offered by Wireless Internet Service Providers (WISPs), schools and libraries.
2. To prevent harmful interference to broadcast television stations and other protected users[[8]](#footnote-10) of the bands where they operate, white space devices obtain a list of available channels, including the maximum permissible power level on each channel, from databases administered by private entities designated by the Commission.[[9]](#footnote-11) Fixed and mobile white space devices must incorporate a geo-location capability (to provide a single point for fixed devices or its location within a geo-fenced area for mobile devices) and a means to access a white space database.[[10]](#footnote-12) Personal/portable white space devices can either acquire a list of available channels via another device (Mode I), or include geo-location and database access capabilities (Mode II) to obtain a list of available channels that may be used at their location.[[11]](#footnote-13)
3. Under existing rules, fixed, mobile and Mode II personal/portable devices must contact a white space database at least once per day and must adjust their channel use in accordance with channel availability schedule information provided by the database for the 48-hour period beginning at the time the device last accessed the database.[[12]](#footnote-14) If a white space device cannot contact a database on a given day, it may continue to operate until 11:59 PM the next day, after which time it must cease operation if it is still unable to contact a database.[[13]](#footnote-15)
4. In its 2015 *White Spaces Report and Order*, the Commission modified the technical rules for white space device operations in the spectrum that continues to be TV band spectrum following the incentive auction. To provide greater flexibility for white space devices, it established the technical rules for white space devices that operate in a 6-megahertz portion of the newly created 600 MHz duplex gap and on channel 37, and it permitted white space devices to operate under modified technical rules in the 600 MHz service band at locations where a wireless licensee has not yet commenced operations.[[14]](#footnote-16) In addition, the Commission adopted rules that allow wireless microphones to operate in the 600 MHz guard band and the 600 MHz duplex gap (where a 4-megahertz portion is reserved for licensed wireless microphone operations and the other 6-megahertz portion is available for unlicensed operations), and codified Part 15 rules to authorize unlicensed wireless microphone operations in those bands and the TV bands. The Commission also adopted rules that protect licensed wireless microphone operations registered to operate on available TV channels at particular times and locations by requiring white space databases to “push” changes in channel availability to white space devices when a licensed wireless microphone is registered on such TV channel(s) (“push notifications”).[[15]](#footnote-17) The Commission provided white space database administrators 12 months after the effective date of the rules, until December 23, 2016, to comply with this new requirement.[[16]](#footnote-18)
5. *Petitions for reconsideration of the push notification requirement.* While most of the issues raised in the petitions for reconsideration of the *White Spaces Report and Order* have previously been addressed,[[17]](#footnote-19) two pending petitions sought reconsideration of the push notification rules.[[18]](#footnote-20) Google expresses concern that the push notification requirement was overly burdensome on the database administrator and white space devices to the extent that they rely on battery power,[[19]](#footnote-21) while NAB expresses concern that there is no assurance that white space devices will actually receive the notification.[[20]](#footnote-22) In response, Microsoft contends that the push notification requirement is technically infeasible,[[21]](#footnote-23) while Sennheiser and Shure agree with NAB that additional rules would be needed to ensure that white space devices actually receive the notifications.[[22]](#footnote-24)
6. *Petition for reconsideration of designation of Nominet as a database administrator.* On September 19, 2018, OET approved Nominet UK to operate a white space database system.[[23]](#footnote-25) NAB filed a petition for reconsideration of this action, arguing that Nominet’s database contains numerous errors and that Nominet should be de-certified as a database administrator until it corrects the errors.[[24]](#footnote-26) Nominet states that the errors were a result of difficulties in importing data from the Commission’s new Licensing and Management System (LMS) and that it immediately corrected the import procedure.[[25]](#footnote-27)
7. *Subsequent Commission actions on white space devices*. The Commission in the *2019 White Spaces Order* took steps to improve the accuracy and reliability of fixed white space device data in the white space databases and assure that the potential for these devices to cause interference to protected services is minimized.[[26]](#footnote-28) It also increased the maximum permissible height above ground level for fixed device antennas in rural areas to allow improved broadband coverage.[[27]](#footnote-29) The Commission made additional rule changes in the 2020 *White Spaces Report and Order* that benefit users in rural areas by increasing the maximum allowable power and antenna height above average terrain for fixed devices, which can further improve broadband coverage in these areas.[[28]](#footnote-30) Additionally, it established a new class of higher power mobile devices that can operate in rural areas as well as a new class of narrowband devices (both fixed and portable) intended to promote Internet of Things (IoT) applications that can operate in any area.[[29]](#footnote-31)

# secOND order on reconsideration

1. In this Second Order on Reconsideration, we address the pending petitions for reconsideration of the push notification rules. Based on the record before us, we eliminate the requirement for white space databases to push changes in channel availability to fixed and personal portable white space devices established in the *White Spaces Order* and instead require that fixed and personal/portable devices that operate on TV channels must re-check the database on a more frequent basis than under the current rules, except for narrowband devices. The Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Further Notice) seeks comment on the appropriate database re-check interval for narrowband and mobile white space devices that were authorized by the Commission in its *2020 White Spaces Report and Order*.

## Background

1. The existing rules prescribe communications between white space devices and a white space database to provide interference protection to other spectrum users – both to authorized services and protected users generally and to licensed wireless microphone operations that are registered at particular times and locations in the database. To provide general protection to authorized services (e.g., primary and secondary broadcast television users in the TV bands, wireless service providers in the 600 MHz service band) and protected users (e.g., TV translator receive sites and Multiple Video Program Distributor (MVPD) receive sites), white space devices must re-check the database at least once per day to obtain the list of available channels at the location where the device operates.[[30]](#footnote-32) The Commission established these timeframes because most protected services listed in its databases do not change on a frequent basis, and because the Commission provides updated data to the white space database administrators only once every weekday.[[31]](#footnote-33) To protect licensed wireless microphones operating in the TV bands that are registered in the white spaces database, the Commission requires more frequent communications in the event of microphone usage registrations that need more timely protection. In the 2015 *White Spaces Report and Order*, the Commission adopted the push notification requirement, which it believed to be an efficient way of achieving this objective.[[32]](#footnote-34) Furthermore, because licensed microphone operations can be registered in any whitespace database, the Commission required that a white space database administrator share registration information with the other databases in a timely fashion.[[33]](#footnote-35)
2. The Commission’s decision to adopt the push notification requirement was intended to provide protection to licensed wireless microphone operations that may, upon registering to operate on specified TV channels, need quick protection from potential interference from white space device operations. Prior to adopting the *Incentive Auction R&O* in 2014, which required repurposing and auctioning some TV band spectrum for new 600 MHz wireless service, the Commission had reserved two TV channels where white space devices were not permitted to operate to ensure that there would be spectrum available for wireless microphones used in applications such as electronic news gathering for which it is not possible to register the operating location in the database at least 24 hours in advance.[[34]](#footnote-36) In the *Incentive Auction R&O*, the Commission decided to no longer designate two unused television channels for wireless microphones and instead took steps to improve the operation of the white space database to provide more immediate protection to wireless microphones.[[35]](#footnote-37) To ensure that registered wireless microphone users continue to receive protection in a timely manner, the Commission then proposed in its 2014 *White Spaces NPRM* to: (1) require fixed and Mode II personal/portable white space devices to re-check the database at time intervals not to exceed 20 minutes, (2) eliminate the rule that allows a white space device to continue operating until 11:59 PM on the following day if it cannot establish contact with the database, and (3) require database administrators to share wireless microphone registration information between databases within ten minutes.[[36]](#footnote-38)
3. In the *White Spaces Report and Order* adopted in August of 2015 the Commission sought to balance the concerns of white space device and wireless microphone proponents when it adopted the push notification requirement in place of the proposed 20-minute re-check requirement to meet its objectives.[[37]](#footnote-39) The Commission was concerned that requiring all white space devices to re-check a database (regardless of their location) for a list of available channels every twenty minutes could unnecessarily burden the database administrators, adversely affect operation of white space devices relying on batteries for operation, and increase costs for white space device users.[[38]](#footnote-40)  To ensure that channels would continue to be available for wireless microphones used for events that cannot be anticipated, such as late-breaking news events, the Commission concluded at that time, as suggested by parties in the record, that a reasonable and workable approach to accomplishing its goals was to require that database administrators “push” information to white space devices only in areas where licensed wireless microphones will be used, rather than requiring all white space devices to re-check a database every twenty minutes.[[39]](#footnote-41) Under this approach, when a database administrator receives a registration request for immediate access to particular channels for licensed wireless microphone use, the database administrators would, within ten minutes, share the licensed wireless microphone’s channel registration information among themselves and within 20 minutes of receiving that information, would “push” information about changes in channel availability to fixed and Mode II personal/portable white space devices.[[40]](#footnote-42) The Commission provided white space database administrators 12 months after the effective date of the rules, until December 23, 2016, to comply with this new requirement.[[41]](#footnote-43)
4. *Petitions for reconsideration*.Google and NAB each filed a petition requesting that the Commission reconsider the push notification requirement, although each express different concerns with the requirement. Google contends that the Commission failed to recognize that, as a technical matter, requiring databases to “push” information to devices is at least as burdensome as requiring devices to “pull” information from databases.[[42]](#footnote-44) Google states that in order for a database to send information to a white space device, the device must either request information very frequently to simulate a push, which dramatically increases server utilization and reduces battery life in devices relying on such a power source, or the device must maintain a persistent connection with the database, which uses bandwidth and reduces battery life by preventing the device from entering a sleep mode.[[43]](#footnote-45) It argues that the push rule would limit the use of battery powered, low-bandwidth, or remote white space devices designed to operate for very long periods on a single battery charge.[[44]](#footnote-46) It also argues that unless an unlicensed device makes frequent “pulls” from the database or maintains a persistent, open connection, security features implemented on the device or network may block database messages from reaching the device.[[45]](#footnote-47) Google further argues that limiting the geographic area for database pushes does not reduce the burden on unlicensed devices or databases because there is no way for a database to communicate information to a particular device unless all devices in all locations continually check for updates.[[46]](#footnote-48)
5. NAB argues that the push notification requirement is insufficient for providing technical assurance that white space devices will actually receive messages and cease operation on channels registered for use by licensed wireless microphones, and is concerned that white space devices may be used in internal private networks protected by firewalls that prevent external messaging.[[47]](#footnote-49) NAB states that if the Commission maintains the push notification approach, it must modify the rules to require that white space devices be capable of receiving notifications, including when they are not in operation or connected to the internet, and further that devices send the database a confirmation when they have received and complied with a push notification.[[48]](#footnote-50)
6. Other commenters agree that the Commission should reconsider the push notification requirement, though for different reasons. White space device proponent Microsoft argues that the push notification rule is technologically infeasible and contrary to the Commission’s stated goal of not imposing too great a burden on database administrators and white space device users.[[49]](#footnote-51) Wireless microphone manufacturer Sennheiser states that petitions filed both by NAB and Google raise valid concerns that some unlicensed devices will not receive a pushed message because of the use of firewalls.[[50]](#footnote-52)
7. Petitioners and commenting parties disagree, however, on what they view as the appropriate approach going forward. Google requests that the Commission require white space devices to contact the database more frequently on two designated “fast polling” channels, an approach similar to the one that Google had made in response to the *White Spaces NPRM*[[51]](#footnote-53) that the Commission had previously rejected in the *White Spaces Report and Order*.[[52]](#footnote-54) Google again suggests that the Commission can protect licensed wireless microphones by identifying two channels on which unlicensed devices would be required to query the database every 20 minutes, while allowing white space devices operating on other channels to check the database only once daily.[[53]](#footnote-55) It argues that designating two “fast-polling” channels would minimize the burden of constant rechecking on unlicensed devices and database operators, while providing adequate protection for wireless microphones used during breaking news events.[[54]](#footnote-56) Microsoft agrees with Google’s suggestion.[[55]](#footnote-57) Google and Microsoft point out that under the previous rules only two channels were available on short notice for exclusive wireless microphone use and argue that because licensed wireless microphone users have access to a dedicated four-megahertz channel in the 600 MHz duplex gap and the ability to reserve channels in advance of predictable events like games and concerts, designating two fast-polling channels would leave wireless microphone operators no worse off than before.[[56]](#footnote-58)
8. NAB requests that, in place of a push notification, the Commission require white space devices operating on any channel to contact the database every 20 minutes for an updated list of available channels, consistent with the Commission’s proposal in the *White Spaces NPRM*.[[57]](#footnote-59) NAB argues that requiring white space devices to contact the database to check on channel availability more frequently, coupled with a requirement that devices cease operation if they cannot contact the database, is simpler, more efficient, more cost-effective, and will provide greater protection for licensed wireless microphones without requiring manufacturers to redesign devices.[[58]](#footnote-60) Shure agrees with NAB’s suggestion.[[59]](#footnote-61)
9. Regarding Google’s proposed solution of creating two fast-polling channels, NAB contends that Google misinterprets the intent of the Commission’s push requirement and fails to reflect the policy balance the Commission attempted to strike.[[60]](#footnote-62) NAB states that limiting polling to two channels does not provide licensed operations with the same capability and protection as under the Commission’s previous rules or the same capability and protection as the Commission sought to provide with the push requirement.[[61]](#footnote-63) NAB further argues that Google’s claim that polling on all channels would drive up database costs and adversely decrease device battery life is specious because the entire TV white space database is less than a couple of hundred kilobytes of data.[[62]](#footnote-64) Google counters that the issue is the frequency of database requests, not the size of the database or the amount of information transmitted in each request, and that increasing the number of requests 72-fold per day per device will be a burden on the database as white space devices become more widely deployed.[[63]](#footnote-65)
10. Only Key Bridge, a database administrator, disagrees that implementing a push capability for white space devices and databases is impractical.[[64]](#footnote-66) It argues that the Commission’s requirement to implement push notifications is sound and should be upheld, and that the Commission should reject Google’s and NAB’s objections that managing white space devices is too difficult to implement.[[65]](#footnote-67)
11. *Push Notification Waiver* *Order*. By late 2016, while the petitions on push notification remained pending, no manufacturers had yet obtained certification for equipment that was capable of meeting the push notification requirement.[[66]](#footnote-68) Absent Commission action, all approved white space devices would have been required to cease operation no later than December 23, 2016.[[67]](#footnote-69) Accordingly, on December 22, 2016, the Commission adopted the *Push Notification Waiver* *Order* temporarily waiving the push notification requirements.[[68]](#footnote-70) OET has periodically extended this waiver several times since then, most recently on September 30, 2021 when it extended this waiver through March 31, 2022, or until the Commission takes final action on the petitions for reconsideration of the push notification rules, whichever comes earlier.[[69]](#footnote-71) As a result of these successive waiver orders, the push notification requirement has never come into effect. We note that since issuance of these waiver orders, no party has submitted additional suggestions for Commission consideration relating to the pending petitions.

## Discussion

1. The record before us shows that the push notification requirement is viewed as problematic by advocates for licensed wireless microphone and white space device operations alike. The Commission’s goal all along has been to adopt rules that would serve to protect licensed wireless microphones quickly following registration while also minimizing the burden on white space device operations.[[70]](#footnote-72) Although we believe that a push notification approach is technically achievable, and note that the Commission has required access systems with rapid response times that, like the push notification, are more complicated than a periodic database re-check in other bands (such as in the Citizens Broadband Radio Service[[71]](#footnote-73)), we agree with most commenters and conclude that there is no reason to require a push notification approach with respect to white space devices and the white space database system. As discussed below, replacing the push notification requirement with a more frequent re-check requirement will meet the requisite need for protecting a limited number of registered wireless microphones, and does so in a sufficiently expeditious fashion while not increasing the cost and complexity of white space devices and the database system by avoiding the need to redesign existing white space devices and the database system.
2. Therefore, on reconsideration, we replace the push notification requirement for fixed and Mode II personal/portable devices operating in the TV bands, except for narrowband devices (which are addressed in the Further Notice), with a simpler and more easily implementable approach, namely requiring that these fixed and personal/portable white space devices re-check the white space database at least once every hour, i.e., no longer than 60 minutes between re-checks.[[72]](#footnote-74) This frequent re-check requirement will protect licensed wireless microphone operations shortly following their database registration and will effectively protect registered licensed wireless microphone operations.[[73]](#footnote-75) We adopt the requirement for white space devices to check the database every hour rather than every 20 minutes as the Commission previously proposed because we believe that this time-frame will be sufficient to accommodate licensed wireless microphones for unplanned events while reducing threefold the number of database rechecks each day. Reducing the number of database rechecks is important to ensure efficient white space device operation, reduce overhead on the networks, and maximize battery life for white space devices that are not connected to a reliable power source. To further reduce the impact on network traffic and white space devices, we will not require devices that are in a sleep mode to re-check the database until they emerge from that state. Informed by the record before us, both by objections to the push notification requirement and by subsequent developments with regard to white space device operations, we again seek to reach the right balance between licensed wireless microphone users and white space device users that share use of unused spectrum in the TV bands. The Further Notice seeks comment on the database re-check interval that should apply to the narrowband IoT white space devices and the mobile white space devices that the Commission authorized in the recently adopted *2020 White Spaces Report and Order*.[[74]](#footnote-76)
3. Although NAB and wireless microphone interests have requested requiring that white space devices re-check the database every 20 minutes, we believe that requiring a re-check every 60 minutes will be sufficient and, by relying on a re-check approach instead of the more complex push notification approach, our decision will serve to ensure the kind of reliable and effective protection those parties seek.[[75]](#footnote-77) We also retain the requirement for database administrators to share Part 74 wireless microphone registration information with all other white space databases within ten minutes of a registration submission from a wireless microphone licensee. We find that these requirements will ensure that licensed wireless microphones used for electronic newsgathering and other unplanned uses can receive reliable and reasonably immediate protection from white space devices. In our considerations, we take into account that, following completion of the Incentive Auction in 2017, licensed wireless microphone users have immediate and exclusive access to a 4-megahertz portion of the 600 MHz duplex gap and can also use a 2-megahertz portion of the 600 MHz guard band where white space devices are not permitted to operate,[[76]](#footnote-78) and that these wireless microphone operators potentially could make use of the 6-megahertz of the 600 MHz duplex gap available for unlicensed operations if white space devices are not operating at that location. Also, in many parts of the country we would expect that there are likely to be one or more unused vacant TV channels available for wireless microphones that are not being used by white space devices.
4. The balanced approach that we are adopting also does not impose an unreasonable burden on white space devices or database systems. Importantly, this approach is easily implementable. All currently approved white space devices already have the capability to re-check the white space databases at least once per day for a list of all available channels in their area, and updating software or firmware, or redesigning devices to increase the frequency of database checking is a fairly simple matter.[[77]](#footnote-79) We also conclude that requiring fixed and personal portable white space devices, except for narrowband IoT devices to re-check on an hourly basis, rather than every 20 minutes as previously proposed, sufficiently balances concerns of the white space device proponents concerned about potential battery issues while meeting our goal of quickly ensuring licensed wireless microphone access to TV channels for late-breaking events. We also recognize the concerns of Google and Microsoft that frequently waking a device from a sleep mode or preventing a device from entering a sleep mode to perform more frequent database checks or receive push notifications, could needlessly reduce the operational time of a battery powered device.[[78]](#footnote-80) Accordingly. we will not require white space devices in sleep mode to contact the database. We also believe that the increase in database traffic by changing to an hourly re-check interval will not be problematic for the white space database as computing power readily available today should be more than sufficient to manage twenty-four queries per day per white space device.[[79]](#footnote-81)
5. We note, however, as the number of white space devices that contact the database increases, more frequent re-checks from a significantly larger number of devices could have an impact on the databases*.* We continue to believe that a push notification system could in some implementations potentially be more efficient if the number of white space devices that must contact the database is large. Accordingly, while we are not requiring implementation of a push notification system, we are retaining an option for white space device manufacturers and database administrators in the future to develop and implement such a system, as had been permitted by the rules in effect prior to the *White Spaces Report and Order*.[[80]](#footnote-82) However, we are not specifying detailed technical requirements for a push notification system. We encourage the industry, if it determines that the need develops, to collaborate on a standard for push notifications to white space devices and we will revisit this issue as necessary to facilitate the development and deployment of a system developed by industry that provides at least the same degree of protection to protected services as the rules we are adopting herein.
6. We reject the suggestion by Google and Microsoft that we should limit more frequent database re-checking to white space devices operating on only two designated channels, a reprise of the approach that the Commission previously rejected in the *White Spaces Report and Order* in 2015.[[81]](#footnote-83) We do so for the same reasons. Because only a few channels would be designated for “fast polling,” this approach is less flexible in meeting the needs of wireless microphone users for immediate access to spectrum because broadcasters covering breaking news events may have wireless microphones that operate on channels other than those designated for “fast polling.”[[82]](#footnote-84)
7. *Conforming edits.* Because we are adopting a 60-minute re-check requirement for most fixed and personal/portable white space devices operating in the TV bands, we also are modifying certain other rules to conform our rules to this change. In particular, our changes involve modifying existing rule provisions related to the requirement for white space devices to access the database on a daily basis.
8. Under current rules, a white space device is required to re-check the database at least once per day to obtain a list of available channels for operation.[[83]](#footnote-85) The rules also provide that if a white space device subsequently is unable to make contact with a database, operation on the following day is permitted to continue to operate until 11:59 PM on that following day, and if by then, it cannot contact the database, it must cease operation until such time as it re-establishes contact.[[84]](#footnote-86) The Commission proposed eliminating these provisions when it proposed in its 2014 *White Spaces NPRM* to adopt a 20-minute re-check requirement for addressing registered licensed wireless microphone operations so that the rules would be consistent and require that white space devices check for channel availability every 20 minutes.[[85]](#footnote-87) When, however, the Commission adopted the push notification requirement in the *White Spaces Report and Order* instead of a 20-minute re-check requirement, it concluded that it should not eliminate the then-existing daily re-check rule and instead would leave in place the requirement that white space devices re-check the database at least once per day to obtain the list of available TV channels at the location where the device operates.[[86]](#footnote-88)
9. Because we now adopt a 60-minute re-check requirement for fixed and personal/portable white space devices operating in the TV bands, other than narrowband IoT devices (discussed in the Further Notice), we modify the rules that require white space devices to only re-check the database once a day to obtain a list of available channels, and that permit these devices to continue operating using a channel on that list until 11:59 PM the following day when it cannot contact a database on a given day.[[87]](#footnote-89) Maintaining these rules would be inappropriate since this would allow white space devices that cannot contact a database to operate for a significantly longer time period than the 60-minute re-check interval we are requiring for protecting licensed wireless microphones operating in the TV bands. We note that in response to the Commission’s proposal in the *White Spaces NPRM* to require that white space devices re-check the database every 20 minutes, several commenters agreed that the daily re-check provision in the rules, and permitting white space device to continue operating until 11:59 PM the following day when it is unable to contact the database, should be eliminated.[[88]](#footnote-90) Some commenters cautioned, though, that the Commission should permit a white space device to retry contacting the database one or more times before requiring that it discontinue operating because a white space device may occasionally be unable to make contact with the database within the designated polling interval.[[89]](#footnote-91) We agree. Accordingly, to ensure that white space devices may continue to operate during short network outages, we will require fixed and personal/portable white space devices operating in the TV bands to cease operation after two failed scheduled checks, i.e., 120 minutes. This requirement will ensure that a white space device cannot continue to operate for an extended period of time on a channel that may be registered for use by a licensed wireless microphone in the event the white space device cannot contact a database to verify the list of available channels. This approach also is analogous to the current requirement that a white space device must cease operation after a time period no greater than two failed scheduled checks (a maximum of 48 hours for a re-check interval of 24 hours).[[90]](#footnote-92) We retain the current re-check requirements for white space devices that operate outside of the TV bands as well as for narrowband and mobile devices, but seek comment in the Further Notice on whether we should change the re-check requirements for those devices.
10. Because we are reducing the length of time that white space devices may continue to operate when they cannot contact the database, we correspondingly reduce the time interval over which white space devices must adjust their channel usage in accordance with licensed wireless microphone scheduling information provided by the database.[[91]](#footnote-93) We therefore require that the white space database provide registered licensed wireless microphones scheduling information for the two hour time period after the white space device contacts the database. The white space device must adjust its use of channels in accordance with this scheduling information, i.e., it must cease using the channel during the times when a licensed wireless microphone is scheduled to use it. We select a time period of two hours because that is the maximum time that a white space device may operate if it is unable to contact the database. We do not require white space devices operating outside the TV bands, i.e., in the 600 MHz service bands, the upper 6-megahertz portion of the 600 MHz duplex gap and on channel 37, to adjust their use of channels in accordance with scheduling information provided by the white space database because wireless microphones do not operate on those frequencies on a licensed basis and thus there will be no scheduling information for the database to provide.[[92]](#footnote-94)
11. We modify Section 15.711 to implement the changes to the database re-check interval discussed above, and to streamline the applicable rules. Specifically, we revise paragraph (i) to remove the push notification requirement and replace it with an option for manufacturers to develop a push notification system as the pre-2015 rules allowed. We move the requirement for white space databases to share licensed wireless microphone registrations with other white space databases within ten minutes from Section 15.711(i)(1) to Section 15.715(l).[[93]](#footnote-95) We revise Section 15.711(h) to place the database re-check requirements for fixed and Mode II personal/portable devices in a single paragraph, rather than in separate paragraphs as under the current rules.[[94]](#footnote-96)
12. *Transition.* We also adopt provisions establishing the transition requirements for white space device compliance with the newly established re-check requirements as set forth herein. We note that increasing the frequency of database checks can generally be done by reprogramming a white space device’s software or firmware, thus enabling the new requirement to be met relatively quickly.[[95]](#footnote-97) Accordingly, we require that devices for which a certification application is approved by a Telecommunication Certification Body (TCB) beginning six months after the effective date of the rules must comply with the hourly database re-check requirement that replaces the daily re-check requirement. We also require that within six months after the effective date of the rules, all white space devices imported into or marketed within the United States comply with these requirements, regardless of when they were certified. Because white space devices already deployed generally should be able to download a software upgrade, we also require that previously approved fixed white space devices that can be re-programmed comply with the faster re-check requirement six months after the effective date of the rules. Finally, we modify Section 15.37(j) to specify these transition dates for the faster database re-check interval in place of the transition dates for the push notification requirement that we eliminate.[[96]](#footnote-98)
13. *Limited extension of the push notification waiver.* The Commission’s December 23, 2016 waiver of the push notification requirements, as extended on September 30, 2021, expires no later than March 31, 2022, which may occur prior to the effective date of the rule changes we are adopting that eliminate the push notification requirement for white space devices and databases.[[97]](#footnote-99) Accordingly, we extend the push notification waiver to coincide with the effective date of the new rules, i.e., 30 days after publication in the Federal Register.

# FURTHER NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING

1. In this Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, we seek comment on the database re-check interval that should apply to narrowband fixed and Mode II personal/portable white space devices and to mobile white space devices, which were first authorized by the Commission in 2020. In particular, we seek comment on whether these types of devices, which operate in the TV bands, should be subject to the hourly re-check interval we require for fixed and Mode II personal portable devices in the TV bands, the daily re-check interval to which these devices are currently subject, or some other re-check interval.

## Background

1. When the Commission adopted the push notification rule in 2015, there were two classes of white space devices that had to contact a white space database to obtain a list of available channels - fixed and Mode II personal/portable devices.[[98]](#footnote-100) The Commission did not address narrowband or mobile white space devices.
2. The Commission established the rules for narrowband and mobile white space devices in 2020.[[99]](#footnote-101) Mobile devices, which operate within a bounded area at power levels comparable to fixed devices, are a new class of white space device.[[100]](#footnote-102) Narrowband devices are a subset of fixed or personal/portable devices, and are subject to technical rules which permit narrower channel bandwidths than other fixed and personal/portable devices.[[101]](#footnote-103) The Commission, consistent with the existing rules, required narrowband and mobile devices to comply with a once daily database check.[[102]](#footnote-104) Narrowband devices also would have had to comply with the push notification requirement if it had not been waived.[[103]](#footnote-105) The Commission did not address whether mobile devices are subject to the push notification rule.[[104]](#footnote-106) Thus, after careful consideration, and out of an abundance of caution, we now seek to build a record on whether we should modify the database re-check requirements for these types of devices.

## Discussion

1. *Narrowband devices.* In its recent *ex parte* submission, Microsoft argues that requiring narrowband fixed white space devices used for IoT applications to comply with an hourly database re-check would negatively impact battery life, limit potential form factors, and increase the costs of those devices.[[105]](#footnote-107) It requests that the Commission maintain its existing requirement that narrowband fixed devices be required to check the white space database once a day to ensure capturing wireless microphone reservations rather than hourly.[[106]](#footnote-108) Microsoft states that this requirement would only apply to master narrowband devices because client devices would obtain available channel information from master devices that obtain information directly from a white space database, similar to the operation of other Mode I white space devices.[[107]](#footnote-109) Microsoft also requests that a narrowband fixed white space device be allowed to continue operating until 11:59 PM the following day if the device is unable to contact the database.[[108]](#footnote-110) Microsoft states that keeping the current requirement in place for these devices will particularly benefit precision agriculture applications such as by enabling farmers to obtain information about the conditions of their fields during a disaster when connectivity to the internet has been lost.[[109]](#footnote-111) However, NAB opposes Microsoft’s requests, arguing that Microsoft has not shown that narrowband white space devices are less likely to cause harmful interference or that the use cases for narrowband devices will largely be confined to rural areas.[[110]](#footnote-112)
2. We seek comment on the database re-check interval that should be required for narrowband white space devices. Should we retain the current requirement for a once daily database check and allow continued operation until 11:59 PM the following day if a device is temporarily unable to contact the database? Should we instead require narrowband devices to comply with the same hourly re-check interval as other fixed and Mode II devices, or would another re-check interval be more appropriate? If we allow a longer database re-check interval for narrowband devices than for other fixed and personal/portable devices, to which specific devices should it apply? Should it apply to both fixed and Mode II personal/portable narrowband devices? Should it apply to battery-powered devices only or to AC powered devices as well? Are there any other related database re-check rules that we should modify for narrowband devices?
3. We seek comment on the impact of the database re-check interval on the protection of licensed wireless microphones. Microsoft argues that the existing technical rules for narrowband devices (low power, narrow bandwidth, limited transmission time, the requirement for three contiguous vacant channels) are sufficiently conservative to limit the likelihood of interference to wireless microphones.[[111]](#footnote-113) It states that because narrowband devices may operate only where there are three contiguous vacant TV channels, there will always be at least two TV channels available for immediate use by wireless microphones.[[112]](#footnote-114) It further states that the three contiguous channel requirement means there is a very low probability that narrowband devices will operate in more congested areas where wireless microphones used for electronic news gathering typically operate and that the key use case for narrowband white space devices is precision agriculture.[[113]](#footnote-115) Microsoft also notes that narrowband white space devices are limited to lower power than wideband fixed white space devices and to a 36 second per hour limit on channel occupancy.[[114]](#footnote-116) We seek comment on Microsoft’s analysis and NAB’s response in this regard. Are the existing rules regarding limited channel availability, low power, and low channel occupancy sufficient to protect licensed wireless microphones if we retain the once daily database re-check as suggested by Microsoft? Are there other safeguards that could be put in place to ensure licensed wireless microphones are protected if we leave the existing rule in place? Would limiting a daily re-check to only certain narrowband devices, e.g., battery powered devices, be a viable compromise to help improve the protection of wireless microphones? If so, how would such a delineation provide more protection than treating all narrowband white space devices in a consistent fashion? What effect would differing requirements for battery powered devices have on narrowband white space device design and cost?
4. *Mobile devices*. Mobile devices can be mounted on vehicles such as buses and farm equipment and may move around within a predetermined geo-fenced area within which the white space database has determined that one or more TV channels are available for the mobile device’s use.[[115]](#footnote-117) The technical requirements for mobile devices are similar to those for fixed devices except narrowband, including maximum transmitter power, antenna gain and height limits and required separation distances from protected services, as well as the requirement to re-check the database at least once per day.[[116]](#footnote-118) Because of the technical similarities between fixed and mobile devices, we believe that it would be appropriate to require mobile devices to comply with the same database re-check interval as fixed devices that operate in the TV bands to more effectively protect licensed wireless microphones. We therefore propose to require mobile devices to comply with the same hourly re-check interval we are requiring for fixed devices in the TV bands. We also propose to require mobile devices to comply with the other requirements we adopt for fixed devices (except narrowband) in the TV bands, specifically, the requirement to cease operation after two failed attempts to contact the white space database, i.e., 120 minutes, and the requirement to adjust their use of TV channels in accordance with wireless microphone scheduling information provided by the white space database for the two hour period beginning when the device last contacted the database. We also propose that any modified rules would become effective six months after publication in the Federal Register.
5. We seek comment on these proposals. Should we require mobile devices to comply with the same hourly re-check interval as fixed devices operating in the TV bands, or would a different interval be more appropriate? If so, what is the appropriate re-check interval? Is a more frequent re-check interval necessary to better protect licensed wireless microphones? Would it be difficult for mobile devices to contact the database at more frequent intervals since they could have their internet access temporarily blocked by trees or hills as they move? Would it be overly burdensome on white space database administrators to recalculate channel availability over a geo-fenced area on an hourly basis? Are any other rule changes necessary if we change the required re-check interval for mobile devices?
6. *Digital Equity and Inclusion*. Finally, the Commission, as part of its continuing effort to advance digital equity for all,[[117]](#footnote-119) including people of color, persons with disabilities, persons who live in rural or Tribal areas, and others who are or have been historically underserved, marginalized, or adversely affected by persistent poverty or inequality, invites comment on any equity-related considerations[[118]](#footnote-120) and benefits (if any) that may be associated with the proposals and issues discussed herein. Specifically, we seek comment on how our proposals may promote or inhibit advances in diversity, equity, inclusion, and accessibility, as well as the scope of the Commission’s relevant legal authority.

# Order

1. In this Order, we deny NAB’s petition for reconsideration of OET’s 2018 action designating Nominet UK as a white space database administrator.[[119]](#footnote-121) OET referred this petition to the Commission for action pursuant to Section 1.106(a) of the rules.[[120]](#footnote-122) Nominet addressed concerns raised by NAB shortly after it filed its petition. We note that in 2020 Nominet’s database was subsequently transferred to RED Technologies,[[121]](#footnote-123) which currently serves as a white spaces database administrator.
2. *Background.*  Pursuant to the white spaces rules, the Commission can designate one or more entities to administer a white space database system that provides lists of available channels to fixed, mobile and Mode II personal/portable white space devices.[[122]](#footnote-124) On November 16, 2017, Nominet filed a proposal with OET seeking to administer a white space database.[[123]](#footnote-125) After seeking comment on Nominet’s proposal,[[124]](#footnote-126) on June 11, 2018, the Commission’s OET designated Nominet as a white space database administrator, subject to certain conditions, including that Nominet’s database would be subject to a 45-day public trial period before it would be made available for actual use by white space devices to allow interested parties an opportunity to check that the database is providing accurate results.[[125]](#footnote-127)
3. Following the 45-day public trial period, on September 19, 2018, OET gave final approval for Nominet to operate its white space database system.[[126]](#footnote-128) OET found that Nominet’s white space database system was compliant with the Commission’s rules and ready for operation, based on its own examination and testing of the Nominet database system and on the results of the public trial, including comments submitted to Nominet during and after the trial and Nominet’s responses to those comments.[[127]](#footnote-129) As OET noted, during the trial period Nominet indicated that it successfully resolved three issues raised by NAB concerning Nominet’s database system, including concerns about its channel availability calculator.[[128]](#footnote-130)
4. On October 19, 2018, NAB filed a petition for reconsideration of OET’s designation of Nominet as a white space database administrator.[[129]](#footnote-131) NAB states that its review of Nominet’s database indicated that it contains incorrect channel information for hundreds of TV stations and that it provides at least one incorrect available channel at more than three-quarters of twenty-six locations analyzed.[[130]](#footnote-132) NAB states that the Nominet database is extracting the wrong information from the Commission database and that its approval should be revoked until Nominet addresses these issues.[[131]](#footnote-133) NAB further argues that OET should rework its internal processes and policies for approval of white space database administrators to ensure that sufficient testing is performed to detect errors, including testing with actual white space devices.[[132]](#footnote-134)
5. Nominet responded to NAB’s petition by agreeing that NAB had identified discrepancies, but asserts that those discrepancies arose due to difficulties experienced when importing TV station data from the Commission’s new Licensing and Management System (LMS), which had replaced the Commission’s Consolidated Database System (CDBS). Nominet explains that it was the first database administrator required to use the LMS, and that all published material by the FCC regarding how to apply the white space rules to TV data pertains to CDBS, which had been used by all previous database administrators.[[133]](#footnote-135) Nominet concludes by stating it promptly addressed NAB’s concerns, and that the changes required to correct the import procedure were applied on October 24, 2018, only days after NAB filed its petition for reconsideration on October 19, 2018.[[134]](#footnote-136) NAB did not respond directly to Nominet’s response or identify specific ongoing errors that needed remedying.[[135]](#footnote-137)
6. We note that, subsequent to the designation of Nominet as a white space database administrator, and in response to a petition submitted by NAB in 2015,[[136]](#footnote-138) the Commission took steps in the *2019 White Spaces Order* to improve the accuracy and reliability of the fixed white space device data in the white space databases and ensure that the potential for these devices to cause harmful interference to protected services is minimized.[[137]](#footnote-139) Specifically, the Commission required all fixed white space devices to incorporate a geo-location capability such as GPS and eliminated the option that permitted the geographic coordinates of a fixed device to be determined by a professional installer.[[138]](#footnote-140) The Commission also adopted rules that allow the use of external geo-location sources by a fixed white space device when the device is used at a location where its internal geo-location capability does not function, such as deep inside a building.[[139]](#footnote-141) In addition, the Commission required fixed white space devices to re-check their geographic coordinates at least once a day and report the coordinates to the white space database.[[140]](#footnote-142)
7. *Discussion.* We deny the NAB petition for reconsideration of OET’s designation of Nominet as a white space database administrator. We find that the database errors discovered by NAB, which were immediately corrected by Nominet, are not grounds to revoke the designation of Nominet as a white space database administrator. As Nominet notes in its response to NAB’s petition, Nominet was the first white space database administrator required to obtain TV station data from the Commission’s new LMS instead of the older, well-understood CDBS.[[141]](#footnote-143) The LMS has a more sophisticated data structure than the CDBS, thus requiring new and more complex algorithms than those used by other white space database administrators to extract the proper TV station facility information (“extraction logic”) for input into the white space database.[[142]](#footnote-144) OET worked closely with Nominet to test the new extraction logic using Nominet’s trial database to ensure that it functioned correctly. It appears that Nominet failed to include all of the updates made to the test database reviewed by OET in the final version that it made available for commercial use. As noted above, Nominet took action to remedy specific concerns raised by NAB. While we are denying NAB’s petition, we underscore that we appreciate NAB bringing these concerns to the attention of the Commission and Nominet so that the errors could be remedied. However, we do not believe that these errors show any fundamental deficiency on the part of the database administrator but appear to be the result of issues related to the Commission’s transition from the CDBS to the LMS combined with an inadvertent failure by Nominet to include all of the latest updates in its final version of the database.[[143]](#footnote-145) Nominet promptly recognized its ongoing responsibility for remedying concerns brought to its attention. As noted above, in 2020, Nominet transferred its database to RED Technology,[[144]](#footnote-146) and NAB did not indicate any concerns about this transfer.
8. The Commission takes seriously the integrity of the white space database since that is the primary means to prevent white space devices from causing harmful interference to TV reception and other protected services. As noted above, the Commission at the suggestion of NAB took steps to increase the integrity of the white space database subsequent to the 2018 designation of Nominet as a white space database administrator. The changes adopted in the *2019 White Spaces Order* will ensure that fixed white space devices provide accurate coordinates to the white space database by requiring the incorporation of a geo-location mechanism in all fixed devices, as well as periodic re-checking of the coordinates by the white space device.[[145]](#footnote-147) The *2019 White Spaces Order* also clarifies the registration requirements for fixed white space devices.[[146]](#footnote-148) These changes reduce the likelihood that fixed devices will report incorrect coordinates to the database, which could result in harmful interference to TV reception and protected services, as well as ensure the database contains accurate registration information that could be used to help track down any devices that cause harmful interference. OET will continue to work with any white space database administrator as well as any other interested party to ensure that the database provides accurate lists of available channels to white space devices.

# Procedural matters

1. *Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*. The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA) requires that an agency prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis for notice and comment rulemakings, unless the agency certifies that “the rule will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.” Accordingly, we have prepared a Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (FRFA) concerning the possible impact of the rule changes contained in this Second Order on Reconsiderationon small entities. The FRFA is set forth in Appendix C.
2. *Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*. As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act,[[147]](#footnote-149) the Commission has prepared an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) of the possible significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities of the proposals addressed in this Notice. The IRFA is found in Appendix D. We request written public comment on the IRFA. Comments must be filed in accordance with the same filing deadlines as comments filed in response to the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and must have a separate and distinct heading designating them as responses to the IRFA. The Commission’s Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, will send a copy of this Notice, including the IRFA, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act.[[148]](#footnote-150)
3. *Paperwork Reduction Act*. This document does not contain new or modified information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Public Law 104-13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any new or modified information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees, pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107-198, see 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4).
4. *Congressional Review Act*. The Commission has determined, and the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, concurs, that this rule is “non-major” under the Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. § 804(2). The Commission will send a copy of this Second Order on Reconsideration, Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, and Order to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 801(a)(1)(A).
5. *Ex Parte Presentations.* This proceeding is a “permit-but-disclose” proceeding in accordance with the Commission’s *ex parte* rules.[[149]](#footnote-151) Persons making *ex parte* presentations must file a copy of any written presentation or a memorandum summarizing any oral presentation within two business days after the presentation (unless a different deadline applicable to the Sunshine period applies). Persons making oral *ex parte* presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentation must (1) list all persons attending or otherwise participating in the meeting at which the *ex parte* presentation was made, and (2) summarize all data presented and arguments made during the presentation. If the presentation consisted in whole or in part of the presentation of data or arguments already reflected in the presenter’s written comments, memoranda, or other filings in the proceeding, the presenter may provide citations to such data or arguments in his or her prior comments, memoranda, or other filings (specifying the relevant page and/or paragraph numbers where such data or arguments can be found) in lieu of summarizing them in the memorandum. Documents shown or given to Commission staff during *ex parte* meetings are deemed to be written *ex parte* presentations and must be filed consistent with rule 1.1206(b). In proceedings governed by rule 1.49(f) or for which the Commission has made available a method of electronic filing, written *ex parte* presentations and memoranda summarizing oral *ex parte* presentations, and all attachments thereto, must be filed through the electronic comment filing system available for that proceeding, and must be filed in their native format (e.g., .doc, .xml, .ppt, searchable .pdf). Participants in this proceeding should familiarize themselves with the Commission’s *ex parte* rules.
6. *Filing requirements*. Pursuant to sections 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 1.415, 1.419, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates indicated on the first page of this document. Comments may be filed using the Commission’s Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS). *See Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings*, 63 FR 24121 (1998).
* Electronic Filers: Comments may be filed electronically using the Internet by accessing the ECFS: http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/.
* Paper Filers: Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each filing.
* Filings can be sent by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail. All filings must be addressed to the Commission’s Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.
* Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9050 Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701.U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail must be addressed to 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554.
* Effective March 19, 2020, and until further notice, the Commission no longer accepts any hand or messenger delivered filings. This is a temporary measure taken to help protect the health and safety of individuals, and to mitigate the transmission of COVID-19. See FCC Announces Closure of FCC Headquarters Open Window and Change in Hand-Delivery Policy, Public Notice, DA 20-304 (March 19, 2020). <https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-closes-headquarters-open-window-and-changes-hand-delivery-policy>.
1. *People with Disabilities*: To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202-418-0530 (voice), 202-418-0432 (TTY).
2. *Contact Persons*. For additional information concerning this Second Order on Reconsideration, Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, and Order, please contact Mr. Hugh L. Van Tuyl at (202) 418-7506, or Hugh.VanTuyl@fcc.gov.

# ORDERING CLAUSES

1. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to the authority contained in Sections 4(i), 302, 303(b), (c), (e), (f), (r), and 307 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and sections 6403 and 6407 of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, Pub. L. No. 112-96, 126 Stat. 156, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 302, 303(b), (c), (e), (f), (r), 307, 1452, 1454, this Second Order on Reconsideration, Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, and Order IS HEREBY ADOPTED.
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the petitions for reconsiderations filed by Google, Inc. and the National Association of Broadcasters on December 23, 2015 in ET Docket No. 14-165 ARE GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART to the extent described herein.
3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Part 15 of the Commission’s rules IS AMENDED as specified in Appendix A, and such rule amendments WILL BECOME EFFECTIVE 30 days after the date of publication in the *Federal Register*.
4. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the waiver of Sections 15.37(j) and 15.711(i) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 15.37(j) and 15.711(i), adopted by the Commission on September 30, 2021, DA 21-349, IS EXTENDED until the effective date of the rules adopted herein.
5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the petition for reconsideration of Nominet UK’s designation as a white space database administrator filed by the National Association of Broadcasters on October 19, 2018 in ET Docket No. 04-186 IS HEREBY DENIED.
6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission’s Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, SHALL SEND a copy of the Second Order on Reconsideration, Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, and Order, including the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis and Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the U.S. Small Business Administration.
7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Office of the Managing Director, Performance Evaluation and Records Management, SHALL SEND a copy of this Second Order on Reconsideration, Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, and Order in a report to be sent to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. § 801(a)(1)(A).

 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

 Marlene H. Dortch

 Secretary

**Appendix A**

**Final Rules**

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission amends part 15 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations to read as follows:

1. The authority citation for part 15 continues to read as follows:

**AUTHORITY:** [TO BE INSERTED PRIOR TO PUBLICATION OF SUMMARY IN FEDERAL

REGISTER].

1. Amend section 15.37 revising paragraph (j) to read as follows:

**§ 15.37 Transition provisions for compliance with the rules.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(j) White space devices which are approved by Telecommunication Certification Bodies beginning **[six months after the effective date of the rules]** shall comply with the database re-check requirements in § 15.711(h) of this part. White space devices that are in operation, imported or marketed beginning **[six months after the effective date of the rules]** shall also comply with these requirements.

\* \* \* \* \*

1. Amend section 15.711 by revising paragraphs (c)(2)(iii), (d)(4), (h) and (i) to read as follows:

**§15.711 Interference avoidance methods.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

(2) \* \* \*

(iii) A fixed white space device shall access the database at least as frequently as specified in paragraph (h) of this section to verify that the operating channel(s) and corresponding power levels continue to remain available. The fixed device's registration information shall be updated if the geographic coordinates reported to the database differ by more than ±50 meters from the previously registered coordinates.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) \* \* \*

(4) A Mode II personal/portable white space device that has been in a powered state shall re-check its location and access the database at least as frequently as specified in paragraph (h) of this section to verify that the operating channel(s) and corresponding power levels continue to be available.

\* \* \* \* \*

(h) *Database re-check requirement.*

(1) Fixed and Mode II personal/portable devices, except for narrowband devices, operating in the television bands.

(i) A device that has been in a powered-on state shall access the white space database at least once every 60 minutes to verify that the operating channel(s) and associated maximum power levels continue to be available at its location. Devices shall adjust their channel usage in accordance with the most recent channel availability schedule information provided by the white space database for the two-hour period beginning at the time of the device last accessed the database for a list of available channels.

(ii) If a device fails to successfully contact the white space database, it may continue to operate until no longer than 120 minutes after the last successful contact, at which time it must cease operations until it reestablishes contact with the white space database and re-verifies its list of available channels and associated maximum power levels.

(2) Narrowband devices operating in the television bands and fixed and Mode II personal/portable devices operating outside of the television bands.

(i) A device that has been in a powered-on state shall access the database at least once a day to verify that the operating channel(s) and associated maximum power levels continue to be available at its location.

(ii) If a device fails to successfully contact the white space database during any given day, it may continue to operate until 11:59 p.m. of the following day at which time it must cease operations until it re-establishes contact with the white space database and re-verifies its list of available channels and corresponding power levels.

\* \* \* \* \*

(i) *Push notifications.* Device manufacturers and database administrators may implement a system that pushes updated channel availability information from the database to white space devices. However, the use of such systems is not mandatory, and the requirements for white space devices to validate the operating channel and to cease operation in accordance with paragraph (h) of this section continue to apply if such a system is used.

\* \* \* \* \*

1. Amend section 15.715 by revising paragraphs (l) to read as follows:

**§15.715 White space database administrator.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(l) If more than one database is developed, the database administrators shall cooperate to develop a standardized process for providing on a daily basis or more often, as appropriate, the data collected for the facilities listed in §15.713(b)(2) to all other white space databases to ensure consistency in the records of protected facilities. In response to a request for immediate access to a channel by a licensed wireless microphone user, white space database administrators are required to share the licensed microphone channel registration information to all other white space database administrators within 10 minutes of receiving each wireless microphone registration.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Appendix B**

**List of Parties Filing Petitions for Reconsideration of the Push Notification Requirement**

Petitions for Reconsideration

1. Google, Inc.
2. National Association of Broadcasters

Oppositions to Petitions for Reconsideration

1. Google, Inc.
2. Microsoft Corp.
3. National Association of Broadcasters
4. Sennheiser Electronic Corp.
5. Shure Incorporated
6. WMTS Coalition

Replies to Oppositions to Petitions for Reconsideration

1. GE Healthcare
2. Google, Inc.
3. Key Bridge LLC
4. Microsoft Corp.
5. National Association of Broadcasters

**Appendix C**

**Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis**

As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (RFA),[[150]](#footnote-152) an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) was incorporated in the Amendment of Part 15 of the Commission’s Rules for Unlicensed Operation in the Television Bands, Repurposed 600 MHz Band, 600 MHz Guard Bands and Duplex Gap, and Channel 37, *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* (NPRM) in ET Docket No. 14-165.[[151]](#footnote-153) The Commission sought written public comment on the proposals in the *NPRM*, including comment on the IRFA. This present Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (FRFA) conforms to the RFA.[[152]](#footnote-154)

**A. Need for, and Objectives of, the Second Order on Reconsideration and Order**

The Second Order on Reconsideration addresses to petitions for reconsideration of the requirement for white space databases to “push” changes in channel availability information to unlicensed white space devices when a party registers a licensed wireless microphone in the database on a previously vacant channel (“push notifications”). It removes the push notification requirement and instead requires certain white space devices operating on television channels 2-36 to re-check the white space database on a more frequent basis to improve protection of licensed wireless microphones that also operate on those channels. Push notifications will be permitted, but not in lieu of the requirements to re-check the white space database more frequently. The Order denies a petition for reconsideration of the Office and Engineering and Technology’s 2018 designation of Nominet UK as a white space database administrator.

**B. Summary of Significant Issues Raised by Public Comments in Response to the IRFA**

 There were no comments filed that specifically addressed the IRFA.

**C. Response to Comments by the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration**

Pursuant to the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, the Commission is required to respond to any comments filed by the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration (SBA), and to provide a detailed statement of any change made to the proposed rules as a result of those comments. The Chief Counsel did not file any comments in response to the proposed rules in this proceeding.

**D. Description and Estimate of the Number of Small Entities to Which the Rules Will Apply**

 The RFA directs agencies to provide a description of and, where feasible, an estimate of the number of small entities that may be affected by the proposed rules, if adopted.[[153]](#footnote-155) The RFA generally defines the term “small entity” as having the same meaning as the terms “small business,” “small organization,” and “small governmental jurisdiction.”[[154]](#footnote-156) In addition, the term “small business” has the same meaning as the term “small business concern” under the Small Business Act.[[155]](#footnote-157) A “small business concern” is one which: (1) is independently owned and operated; (2) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (3) satisfies any additional criteria established by the Small Business Administration (SBA).[[156]](#footnote-158)

 *Small Businesses, Small Organizations, Small Governmental Jurisdictions.* Our actions, over time, may affect small entities that are not easily categorized at present. We therefore describe here, at the outset, three broad groups of small entities that could be directly affected herein.[[157]](#footnote-159) First, while there are industry specific size standards for small businesses that are used in the regulatory flexibility analysis, according to data from the Small Business Administration’s (SBA) Office of Advocacy, in general a small business is an independent business having fewer than 500 employees.[[158]](#footnote-160) These types of small businesses represent 99.9% of all businesses in the United States, which translates to 32.5 million businesses.[[159]](#footnote-161)

 Next, the type of small entity described as a “small organization” is generally “any not-for-profit enterprise which is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field.”[[160]](#footnote-162) The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) uses a revenue benchmark of $50,000 or less to delineate its annual electronic filing requirements for small exempt organizations.[[161]](#footnote-163) Nationwide, for tax year 2018, there were approximately 571,709 small exempt organizations in the U.S. reporting revenues of $50,000 or less according to the registration and tax data for exempt organizations available from the IRS.[[162]](#footnote-164)

Finally, the small entity described as a “small governmental jurisdiction” is defined generally as “governments of cities, counties, towns, townships, villages, school districts, or special districts, with a population of less than fifty thousand.”[[163]](#footnote-165) U.S. Census Bureau data from the 2017 Census of Governments[[164]](#footnote-166) indicate that there were 90,075 local governmental jurisdictions consisting of general purpose governments and special purpose governments in the United States.[[165]](#footnote-167) Of this number there were 36,931 general purpose governments (county[[166]](#footnote-168), municipal and town or township[[167]](#footnote-169)) with populations of less than 50,000 and 12,040 special purpose governments - independent school districts[[168]](#footnote-170) with enrollment populations of less than 50,000.[[169]](#footnote-171) Accordingly, based on the 2017 U.S. Census of Governments data, we estimate that at least 48,971 entities fall into the category of “small governmental jurisdictions.”[[170]](#footnote-172)

 **Radio and Television Broadcasting and Wireless Communications Equipment Manufacturing.** This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in manufacturing radio and television broadcast and wireless communications equipment.[[171]](#footnote-173) Examples of products made by these establishments are: transmitting and receiving antennas, cable television equipment, GPS equipment, pagers, cellular phones, mobile communications equipment, and radio and television studio and broadcasting equipment.[[172]](#footnote-174) The SBA has established a small business size standard for this industry of 1,250 or fewer employees.[[173]](#footnote-175) U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012 show that 841 establishments operated in this industry in that year.[[174]](#footnote-176) Of that number, 828 establishments operated with fewer than 1,000 employees, 7 establishments operated with between 1,000 and 2,499 employees and 6 establishments operated with 2,500 or more employees.[[175]](#footnote-177) Based on this data, we conclude that a majority of manufacturers in this industry are small.

**Television Broadcasting.** This Economic Census category “comprises establishments primarily engaged in broadcasting images together with sound.”[[176]](#footnote-178) These establishments operate television broadcast studios and facilities for the programming and transmission of programs to the public.[[177]](#footnote-179) These establishments also produce or transmit visual programming to affiliated broadcast television stations, which in turn broadcast the programs to the public on a predetermined schedule. Programming may originate in their own studio, from an affiliated network, or from external sources. The SBA has created the following small business size standard for such businesses: those having $41.5 million or less in annual receipts.[[178]](#footnote-180) The 2012 Economic Census reports that 751 firms in this category operated in that year.[[179]](#footnote-181) Of that number, 656 had annual receipts of $25,000,000 or less, and 25 had annual receipts between $25,000,000 and $49,999,999.[[180]](#footnote-182) Based on this data we therefore estimate that the majority of commercial television broadcasters are small entities under the applicable SBA size standard.

The Commission has estimated the number of licensed commercial television stations to be 1,368.[[181]](#footnote-183) According to Commission staff review of the BIA Kelsey Inc. Media Access Pro Television Database (BIA) on November 16, 2017, 1,258 stations (or about 91 percent) had revenues of $38.5 million or less, and therefore these licensees qualified as small entities under the SBA definition. In addition, the Commission has estimated the number of licensed noncommercial educational television stations to be 390.[[182]](#footnote-184) Notwithstanding, the Commission does not compile and otherwise does not have access to information on the revenue of NCE stations that would permit it to determine how many such stations would qualify as small entities. There are also 2,246 low power television stations, including Class A stations (LPTV), and 3,543 TV translator stations.[[183]](#footnote-185) Given the nature of these services, we will presume that all of these entities qualify as small entities under the above SBA small business size standard.

We note, however, that in assessing whether a business concern qualifies as “small” under the above definition, business (control) affiliations[[184]](#footnote-186) must be included. Our estimate, therefore, likely overstates the number of small entities that might be affected by our action, because the revenue figure on which it is based does not include or aggregate revenues from affiliated companies. In addition, another element of the definition of “small business” requires that an entity not be dominant in its field of operation. We are unable at this time to define or quantify the criteria that would establish whether a specific television broadcast station is dominant in its field of operation. Accordingly, the estimate of small businesses to which rules may apply does not exclude any television station from the definition of a small business on this basis and is therefore possibly over-inclusive. Also, as noted above, an additional element of the definition of “small business” is that the entity must be independently owned and operated. The Commission notes that it is difficult at times to assess these criteria in the context of media entities and its estimates of small businesses to which they apply may be over-inclusive to this extent.

**E. Description of Projected Reporting, Recordkeeping, and Other Compliance Requirements for Small Entities**

White space devices are unlicensed devices that operate in the television bands, the upper portion of the 600 MHz duplex gap, the 600 MHz service bands and on channel 37 at locations where frequencies are not in use by licensed services. These devices may be fixed, mobile or portable. To prevent harmful interference to broadcast television stations and other protected users[[185]](#footnote-187) of these bands, fixed, mobile and some portable white space devices must obtain a list of available channels that may be used at their location from databases administered by private entities selected by the Commission. Fixed and mobile white space devices incorporate a geo-location capability and a means to access a database that provides a list of available channels and power levels that may be used at their operating location (a single point for fixed devices or a geo-fenced area for mobile devices). Personal/portable white space devices can either acquire a list of available channels via another device (Mode I) or include geo-location and database access capabilities (Mode II) that provides a list of available channels that may be used at their location. Fixed, mobile and Mode II personal/portable devices must contact a white space database at least once per day to obtain a list of available channels and must adjust their use of channels in accordance with channel availability schedule information provided by the database for the 48-hour period beginning at the time the device last accessed the database. If a white space device cannot contact a database on a given day, it may continue to operate until 11:59 PM the next day, after which time it must cease operation if it is unable to contact a database.

To ensure that channels are available for wireless microphones used for events that cannot be anticipated, such as late-breaking news events, the Commission decided in the *White Spaces Report and Order* to require that database administrators “push” information to white space devices in an area where a licensed wireless microphone will be used. When a database administrator receives a request for immediate access to channels for licensed wireless microphone use, it must share the licensed wireless microphone’s channel registration information with other database administrators within ten minutes, and database administrators must “push” information about changes in channel availability to fixed and Mode II personal/portable white space devices within 20 minutes of receiving it (“push notifications”). Two parties, Google, Inc. and the National Association of Broadcasters (NAB) filed petitions for reconsideration of the push notification requirement. Google argues that the requirement is overly burdensome for white space devices and the database and NAB argues that there is no assurance white space devices will actually receive the notifications.

Most RF transmitting equipment, including white space devices, must be authorized through the certification procedure. Certification is an equipment authorization issued by a designated Telecommunication Certification Body based on an application and test data submitted by the responsible party (e.g.,the manufacturer or importer). The Second Order on Reconsideration and Order does not change the authorization procedure for white space devices, but it modifies certain technical requirements for them. Specifically, it eliminates the push notification requirement for fixed and Mode II personal/portable devices operating in the TV bands, with the exception of narrowband devices, and instead requires these devices to re-check the white space database at 60-minute intervals to protect licensed wireless microphones. Narrowband and mobile devices, which operate in the TV bands, and fixed and Mode II personal/portable devices operating outside the TV bands remain subject to a once daily database re-check requirement. The Commission is seeking comment in a separate Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on whether any changes should be made to the database re-check requirements for narrowband and mobile devices.

**F. Steps Taken to Minimize the Significant Economic Impact on Small Entities, and Significant Alternatives Considered**

The RFA requires an agency to describe any significant, specifically small business, alternatives that it has considered in reaching its proposed approach, which may include the following four alternatives (among others): “(1) the establishment of differing compliance or reporting requirements or timetables that take into account the resources available to small entities; (2) the clarification, consolidation, or simplification of compliance and reporting requirements under the rule for such small entities; (3) the use of performance rather than design standards; and (4) an exemption from coverage of the rule, or any part thereof, for such small entities.”[[186]](#footnote-188)

The Second Order on Reconsideration eliminates the requirement for white space databases to “push” changes in channel availability to white space devices when a licensed wireless microphone registers to use a previously vacant channel. Instead, fixed and Mode II personal/portable white space devices (except for narrowband devices) operating on TV channels, where licensed wireless microphones may also operate, must re-check channel availability with the white space database on a more frequent basis – once every 60 minutes rather than once per day. The Commission believes that a requirement for more frequent database checks is easier to implement than a push notification system and will more reliably protect licensed wireless microphones. A more frequent database re-check is not required for white space devices operating in the 600 MHz service bands, the upper portion of the 600 MHz duplex gap, or on channel 37 because licensed wireless microphones do not operate in those bands.

The Second Order on Reconsideration provides a transition period of six months for white space devices being certified, imported, marketed or operated to comply with the more frequent database re-check interval. The re-check interval for a white space device is programmed by software and can generally be changed easily, so a longer transition period is not necessary.

**Report to Congress:** The Commission will send a copy of the Second Order on Reconsideration and Order, including this FRFA, in a report to Congress pursuant to the Congressional Review Act.[[187]](#footnote-189) In addition, the Commission will send a copy of the Second Order on Reconsideration and Order, including this FRFA, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the SBA. A copy of the Second Order on Reconsideration and Order and FRFA (or summaries thereof) will also be published in the Federal Register.[[188]](#footnote-190)

**Appendix D**

**Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis**

As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (RFA),[[189]](#footnote-191) the Commission has prepared this present Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) of the possible significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities by the policies and rules proposed in Unlicensed Operation in the Television Bands, Repurposed 600 MHz Band, 600 MHz Guard Bands and Duplex Gap, and Channel 37, *Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making* (FNPRM) in ET Docket No. 14-165. Written public comments are requested on this IRFA. Comments must be identified as responses to the IRFA and must be filed by the deadlines for comments on the FNPRM provided in paragraph 56 of the item. The Commission will send a copy of the FNPRM, including this IRFA, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration (SBA).[[190]](#footnote-192) In addition, the FNPRM and IRFA (or summaries thereof) will be published in the Federal Register.[[191]](#footnote-193)

**A. Need for, and Objectives of, the Proposed Rules**

The FNPRM seeks comment on how frequently narrowband and mobile white space devices must contact a database that determines the available operating channels at the devices’ location.

**B. Legal Basis**

 The proposed action is taken pursuant to 4(i), 302, 303(b), (c), (e), (f), (r), and 307 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and sections 6403 and 6407 of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, Pub. L. No. 112-96, 126 Stat. 156, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 302, 303(b), (c), (e), (f), (r), 307, 1452, 1454.

**C. Description and Estimate of the Number of Small Entities to Which the Proposed Rules Will Apply**

 The RFA directs agencies to provide a description of and, where feasible, an estimate of the number of small entities that may be affected by the proposed rules, if adopted.[[192]](#footnote-194) The RFA generally defines the term “small entity” as having the same meaning as the terms “small business,” “small organization,” and “small governmental jurisdiction.”[[193]](#footnote-195) In addition, the term “small business” has the same meaning as the term “small business concern” under the Small Business Act.[[194]](#footnote-196) A “small business concern” is one which: (1) is independently owned and operated; (2) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (3) satisfies any additional criteria established by the Small Business Administration (SBA).[[195]](#footnote-197)

 *Small Businesses, Small Organizations, Small Governmental Jurisdictions.* Our actions, over time, may affect small entities that are not easily categorized at present. We therefore describe here, at the outset, three broad groups of small entities that could be directly affected herein.[[196]](#footnote-198) First, while there are industry specific size standards for small businesses that are used in the regulatory flexibility analysis, according to data from the Small Business Administration’s (SBA) Office of Advocacy, in general a small business is an independent business having fewer than 500 employees.[[197]](#footnote-199) These types of small businesses represent 99.9% of all businesses in the United States, which translates to 32.5 million businesses.[[198]](#footnote-200)

 Next, the type of small entity described as a “small organization” is generally “any not-for-profit enterprise which is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field.”[[199]](#footnote-201) The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) uses a revenue benchmark of $50,000 or less to delineate its annual electronic filing requirements for small exempt organizations.[[200]](#footnote-202) Nationwide, for tax year 2018, there were approximately 571,709 small exempt organizations in the U.S. reporting revenues of $50,000 or less according to the registration and tax data for exempt organizations available from the IRS.[[201]](#footnote-203)

Finally, the small entity described as a “small governmental jurisdiction” is defined generally as “governments of cities, counties, towns, townships, villages, school districts, or special districts, with a population of less than fifty thousand.”[[202]](#footnote-204) U.S. Census Bureau data from the 2017 Census of Governments[[203]](#footnote-205) indicate that there were 90,075 local governmental jurisdictions consisting of general purpose governments and special purpose governments in the United States.[[204]](#footnote-206) Of this number there were 36,931 general purpose governments (county[[205]](#footnote-207), municipal and town or township[[206]](#footnote-208)) with populations of less than 50,000 and 12,040 special purpose governments - independent school districts[[207]](#footnote-209) with enrollment populations of less than 50,000.[[208]](#footnote-210) Accordingly, based on the 2017 U.S. Census of Governments data, we estimate that at least 48,971 entities fall into the category of “small governmental jurisdictions.”[[209]](#footnote-211)

 **Radio and Television Broadcasting and Wireless Communications Equipment Manufacturing.** This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in manufacturing radio and television broadcast and wireless communications equipment.[[210]](#footnote-212) Examples of products made by these establishments are: transmitting and receiving antennas, cable television equipment, GPS equipment, pagers, cellular phones, mobile communications equipment, and radio and television studio and broadcasting equipment.[[211]](#footnote-213) The SBA has established a small business size standard for this industry of 1,250 or fewer employees.[[212]](#footnote-214) U.S. Census Bureau data for 2012 show that 841 establishments operated in this industry in that year.[[213]](#footnote-215) Of that number, 828 establishments operated with fewer than 1,000 employees, 7 establishments operated with between 1,000 and 2,499 employees and 6 establishments operated with 2,500 or more employees.[[214]](#footnote-216) Based on this data, we conclude that a majority of manufacturers in this industry are small.

**Television Broadcasting.** This Economic Census category “comprises establishments primarily engaged in broadcasting images together with sound.”[[215]](#footnote-217) These establishments operate television broadcast studios and facilities for the programming and transmission of programs to the public.[[216]](#footnote-218) These establishments also produce or transmit visual programming to affiliated broadcast television stations, which in turn broadcast the programs to the public on a predetermined schedule. Programming may originate in their own studio, from an affiliated network, or from external sources. The SBA has created the following small business size standard for such businesses: those having $41.5 million or less in annual receipts.[[217]](#footnote-219) The 2012 Economic Census reports that 751 firms in this category operated in that year.[[218]](#footnote-220) Of that number, 656 had annual receipts of $25,000,000 or less, and 25 had annual receipts between $25,000,000 and $49,999,999.[[219]](#footnote-221) Based on this data we therefore estimate that the majority of commercial television broadcasters are small entities under the applicable SBA size standard.

The Commission has estimated the number of licensed commercial television stations to be 1,368.[[220]](#footnote-222) According to Commission staff review of the BIA Kelsey Inc. Media Access Pro Television Database (BIA) on November 16, 2017, 1,258 stations (or about 91 percent) had revenues of $38.5 million or less, and therefore these licensees qualified as small entities under the SBA definition. In addition, the Commission has estimated the number of licensed noncommercial educational television stations to be 390.[[221]](#footnote-223) Notwithstanding, the Commission does not compile and otherwise does not have access to information on the revenue of NCE stations that would permit it to determine how many such stations would qualify as small entities. There are also 2,246 low power television stations, including Class A stations (LPTV), and 3,543 TV translator stations.[[222]](#footnote-224) Given the nature of these services, we will presume that all of these entities qualify as small entities under the above SBA small business size standard.

We note, however, that in assessing whether a business concern qualifies as “small” under the above definition, business (control) affiliations[[223]](#footnote-225) must be included. Our estimate, therefore, likely overstates the number of small entities that might be affected by our action, because the revenue figure on which it is based does not include or aggregate revenues from affiliated companies. In addition, another element of the definition of “small business” requires that an entity not be dominant in its field of operation. We are unable at this time to define or quantify the criteria that would establish whether a specific television broadcast station is dominant in its field of operation. Accordingly, the estimate of small businesses to which rules may apply does not exclude any television station from the definition of a small business on this basis and is therefore possibly over-inclusive. Also, as noted above, an additional element of the definition of “small business” is that the entity must be independently owned and operated. The Commission notes that it is difficult at times to assess these criteria in the context of media entities and its estimates of small businesses to which they apply may be over-inclusive to this extent.

**D. Description of Projected Reporting, Recordkeeping, and Other Compliance Requirements for Small Entities**

White space devices are unlicensed devices that operate in the TV bands at locations where frequencies are not in use by licensed services. These devices may be either fixed or portable. To prevent harmful interference to broadcast television stations and other authorized users of these bands, white space devices must obtain a list of available TV channels that may be used at their location from databases administered by private entities selected by the Commission. The database determines channel availability using protection criteria specified in the rules.

Most RF transmitting equipment, including white space devices, must be authorized through the certification procedure. Certification is an equipment authorization issued by a designated Telecommunication Certification Body (TCB) based on an application and test data submitted by the responsible party (e.g*.,* the manufacturer or importer).[[224]](#footnote-226) The FNPRM does not propose to change the authorization procedure for white space devices, or the requirement for them to obtain a list of available channels from a database. It seeks comment on possible changes to the requirement on how frequently narrowband and mobile white space devices must contact a database that determines channel availability for white space devices. Current rules require these devices to re-check the database at least once daily, while the Commission has decided to require other white space devices to re-check the database once per hour.

**E. Steps Taken to Minimize the Significant Economic Impact on Small Entities, and Significant Alternatives Considered**

 The RFA requires an agency to describe any significant, specifically small business, alternatives that it has considered in reaching its proposed approach, which may include the following four alternatives (among others): “(1) the establishment of differing compliance or reporting requirements or timetables that take into account the resources available to small entities; (2) the clarification, consolidation, or simplification of compliance and reporting requirements under the rule for such small entities; (3) the use of performance rather than design standards; and (4) an exemption from coverage of the rule, or any part thereof, for such small entities.”[[225]](#footnote-227)

 There are currently no approved narrowband or mobile white space devices, so changing the database re-check interval would have no immediate impact on device manufacturers. If manufacturers develop devices that comply with the current daily re-check interval and the Commission subsequently decreases the interval (e.g., to once per hour), manufacturers would have to modify devices to comply. Because a device’s database re-check interval would be programmed in software, such modifications would not be burdensome on manufacturers.

 **F. Federal Rules that May Duplicate, Overlap, or Conflict with the Proposed Rules**

 None.

1. *Amendment of Part of the Commission’s Rules for Unlicensed Operations in the Television Bands, Repurposed 600 MHz Band, 600 MHz Guard Bands and Duplex Gap, and Channel 37; Amendment of Part 74 of the Commission’s Rules for Low Power Auxiliary Stations in the Repurposed 600 MHz Band and the 600 MHz Duplex Gap, Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions*, ET Docket No. 14-165 and GN Docket No. 12-268, 30 FCC Rcd 9551 (2015) (*White Spaces Order*). [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
2. *Unlicensed White Space Device Operations in the Television Bands*, Report and Order, 35 FCC Rcd at 12606 (2020) (*2020 White Spaces Report and Order*). [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
3. Petitions requesting reconsideration of final actions taken pursuant to delegated authority are acted on by the designated authority or may be referred by such authority to the Commission. 47 CFR § 1.106(a)(1). In this case for the sake of efficiency, OET referred the petition to the Commission for action since we are already addressing other white space issues in this Order. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
4. *Unlicensed Operation in the TV Broadcast Bands; Additional Spectrum for Unlicensed Devices Below 900 MHz and in the 3 GHz Band*, ET Docket Nos. 04-186 and 02-380, Second Report and Order and Memorandum Opinion and Order, 23 FCC Rcd 16807 (2008). [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
5. *Unlicensed Operation in the TV Broadcast Bands; Additional Spectrum for Unlicensed Devices Below 900 MHz and in the 3 GHz Band*, ET Docket Nos. 04-186 and 02-380, Second Memorandum Opinion and Order, 25 FCC Rcd 18661 (2010); *Unlicensed Operation in the TV Broadcast Bands; Additional Spectrum for Unlicensed Devices Below 900 MHz and in the 3 GHz Band*, ET Docket Nos. 04-186 and 02-380, Third Memorandum Opinion and Order, 27 FCC Rcd 3692 (2012) (*White Spaces Third MO&O*); *Amendment of Part of the Commission’s Rules for Unlicensed Operations in the Television Bands, Repurposed 600 MHz Band, 600 MHz Guard Bands and Duplex Gap, and Channel 37; Amendment of Part 74 of the Commission’s Rules for Low Power Auxiliary Stations in the Repurposed 600 MHz Band and the 600 MHz Duplex Gap, Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions*, ET Docket No. 14-165 and GN Docket No. 12-268, 30 FCC Rcd 9551 (2015) (*White Spaces Order*). [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
6. *Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions*, Report and Order, 29 FCC Rcd 6567 (2014) (*Incentive Auction R&O*); *Unlicensed Operations in the Television Bands, Repurposed 600 MHz Band, 600 MHz Guard Bands and Duplex Gap, and Channel 37*, Report and Order, 30 FCC Rcd 9551 (2015) (*White Spaces Report and Order*); 47 CFR § 15.707. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
7. 47 CFR § 15.703. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
8. *See* 47 CFR § 15.712(a)-(k). White space devices must protect: (1) digital television stations, and digital Class A TV, low power TV, TV translator and TV booster stations; (2) certain TV translator, low power TV (including Class A) and Multi-channel Video Programming Distributor (MVPD) receive sites; (3) fixed Broadcast Auxiliary Service (BAS) links; (4) Private Land Mobile Radio Service and Commercial Mobile Radio Service (PLMRS/CMRS) operations; (5) Offshore Radiotelephone Service; (6) low power auxiliary services, including wireless microphones; (7) border areas near Canada and Mexico; (8) radio astronomy services; (9) 600 MHz band services; 10) Wireless Medical Telemetry Service (WMTS) on channel 37; and (11) 488-494 MHz band in Hawaii. *Id.*. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
9. 47 CFR §§ 15.711(c)(2), (d)(2) and 15.715. [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
10. 47 C.F.R. § 15.711(c)(1), (k)(2), (5). [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
11. 47 CFR §§ 15.703(i) and 15.711(d-e). A Mode I device is not required to incorporate geo-location and database access capabilities. [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
12. 47 CFR §§ 15.711(c)(2)(iii), (k)(9), (d)(4). Mode II personal/portable devices must also re-check the database if they move more than 100 meters. 47 CFR § 15.711(d)(2). [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
13. 47 CFR § 15.711(h). [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
14. *See generally White Spaces Report and Order*. [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
15. *White Spaces Report and Order*, 30 FCC Rcd at 9662-64, para. 273-78. [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
16. *Id.* at 9662, para. 274; 47 CFR § 15.711(i)(3). [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
17. All but two of the issues raised in the ten petitions for reconsideration have been addressed in earlier decisions. *Promoting Spectrum Access for Wireless Microphone Operations; Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions,* GN Docket Nos. 14-166, 12-268, Order on Reconsideration and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 32 FCC Rcd 6077 (2017) (*Wireless Microphones Order on Reconsideration*); *Amendment of Part 15 of the Commission’s Rules for Unlicensed White Space Devices; Amendment of Part 15 of the Commission’s Rules for Unlicensed Operations in the Television Bands, Repurposed 600 MHz Band, 600 MHz Guard Bands and Duplex Gap, and Channel 37; Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions,* ET Docket Nos. 16-56 and 14-165 and GN Docket No. 12-268, Report and Order and Order on Reconsideration, 34 FCC Rcd 1827 (2019) (*2019 White Spaces Order*). Aside from two pending petitions that seek reconsideration of the push requirement, the only other remaining issue—which is not addressed in this decision document—concerns the rules authorizing white space device use of channel 37. [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
18. Google Petition at 1-11; NAB Push Notification Petition at 4-7. [↑](#footnote-ref-20)
19. Google Petition at 1-11. [↑](#footnote-ref-21)
20. NAB Push Notification Petition at 4-7. [↑](#footnote-ref-22)
21. Microsoft Opposition at 16. [↑](#footnote-ref-23)
22. Sennheiser Opposition at 8; Shure Opposition at 6. A list of parties filing petitions for reconsideration of the push notification rule, as well as parties responding to those petitions, is provided in Appendix C. [↑](#footnote-ref-24)
23. Nominet Approval Letter, 33 FCC Rcd at 8794 (OET 2018). [↑](#footnote-ref-25)
24. Petition for Reconsideration of the National Association of Broadcasters, ET Docket No. 04-186, at 4-6 (filed September 19, 2018) (NAB Designation Petition). [↑](#footnote-ref-26)
25. Reply of Nominet to the Petition for Reconsideration of the National Association of Broadcasters, ET Docket No. 04-186, at 2 (filed November 14, 2018). [↑](#footnote-ref-27)
26. *2019 White Spaces Order*, 34 FCC Rcd at 1828, para. 2. These changes are described in more detail below. [↑](#footnote-ref-28)
27. *Id.* [↑](#footnote-ref-29)
28. *2020 White Spaces Report and Order*, 35 FCC Rcd at 12606, para. 7. [↑](#footnote-ref-30)
29. *Id.* [↑](#footnote-ref-31)
30. *White Spaces Report and Order*, 30 FCC Rcd at 9661, para. 270; 47 CFR § 15.711(c)(2)(iii), (d)(4). If a device is unable to make contact with the database on any given day, it may continue to operate until 11:59 PM on the following day, at which time it must cease operation until it re-establishes contact with the database. 47 CFR § 15.711(h). [↑](#footnote-ref-32)
31. *White Spaces Report and Order*, 30 FCC Rcd at 9661, para. 271. [↑](#footnote-ref-33)
32. *White Spaces Report and Order*, 30 FCC Rcd at 9662, para. 273. [↑](#footnote-ref-34)
33. 47 CFR § 15.715(l). In the *White Spaces Report and Order*, the Commission required that in cases where a licensed wireless microphone user requests immediate access to a channel, the registration information must be shared with other database administrators within ten minutes. *White Spaces Report and Order*, 30 FCC Rcd at 9662, para. 274. [↑](#footnote-ref-35)
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