

¹ See, e.g., *In Re: Delete, Delete, Delete*, GN Docket No. 25-133, Public Notice, 40 FCC Rcd 1601 (OMR 2025); *Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau Seeks Comment on Termination of Certain Proceedings as Dormant*, CG Docket No. 25-165, Public Notice, 40 FCC Rcd 2893 (CGB 2025); see also *In re: Delete, Delete, Delete*, GN Docket No. 25-133, Direct Final Rule, 40 FCC Rcd 5585, 5586, para. 4 (July 28, 2025) (*First Direct Final Rule*) (repealing 11 rules that govern obsolete technology, outdated marketplace conditions, expired deadlines, or repealed legal obligations); *Delete, Delete, Delete*, GN Docket No. 25-133, Direct Final Rule, FCC 25-51, at 2, para. 4 (Aug. 8, 2025) (*Second Direct Final Rule*) (repealing 71 rule provisions, including 98 rules and requirements, that plainly no longer serve the public interest because they regulate obsolete technology, are no longer used in practice by the FCC or licensees, or are otherwise outdated or unnecessary); *Delete, Delete, Delete*, GN Docket No. 25-133, Direct Final Rule, FCC 25-68, at 2, para. 4 (Sept. 30, 2025) (*Third Direct Final Rule*) (repealing 89 rule provisions, including 386 rules and requirements, that plainly no longer serve the public interest because they regulate obsolete technology, are no longer used in practice by the FCC or carriers, or are otherwise outdated or unnecessary); *Delete, Delete, Delete*, GN Docket No. 25-133, Direct Final Rule, FCC 25-77, at 2, para. 4 (Oct. 28, 2025) (*Fourth Direct Final Rule*) (repealing 396 rule provisions and rule parts that plainly no longer serve the public interest); *Delete, Delete, Delete*, GN Docket No. 25-133, Direct Final Rule, FCC 25-80, at 2, para. 4 (Nov. 24, 2025) (*Fifth Direct Final Rule*) (repealing 21 rule public safety and homeland security-related rule provisions that that govern obsolete technology, are no longer used in practice, or are otherwise duplicative, outdated, or unnecessary); *Delete, Delete, Delete*, GN Docket No. 25-133, Direct Final Rule, FCC 25-85, at 2, para. 4 (Dec. 19, 2025) (*Sixth Direct to Final Rule*) (repealing 36 rule provisions in order to modernize our regulatory framework by rescinding facially obsolete provisions of our rules).

for which we elect to provide an opportunity for input on that assessment. Absent any significant adverse comments in response to this *Direct Final Rule*, these rules will be repealed.

II. DISCUSSION

3. *Good Cause to Forgo Notice and Comment.* Under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), when an agency for good cause finds that notice and public comment “are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest,” it need not follow notice and comment procedures before modifying or repealing rules.² Prior notice and comment are “unnecessary” when “the administrative rule is a routine determination, insignificant in nature and impact, and inconsequential to the industry and to the public.”³

4. We have identified 18 rule provisions, covering approximately 6,400 words and covering more than 10 pages in the Code of Federal Regulations, that no longer serve the public interest because they are no longer used in practice by the FCC, industry, or the public,⁴ or are otherwise outdated or unnecessary.⁵ Applying the “good cause” standard discussed above, we conclude that prior notice and comment are unnecessary before repealing the rules identified in the Appendix.⁶

5. *Direct Final Rule Process.* In this *Direct Final Rule*, we follow the processes previously outlined by the Commission regarding direct final rules, which we briefly summarize here.⁷ When the Commission has found that prior notice and comment is unnecessary before modifying or repealing rules, it has adopted the relevant rule change without any additional process.⁸ Although we reserve the right to

² 5 U.S.C. § 553(b)(B).

³ *Util. Solid Waste Activities Grp. v. EPA*, 236 F.3d 749, 755 (D.C. Cir. 2001) (quoting *South Carolina v. Block*, 558 F. Supp. 1004, 1016 (D.S.C. 1983)).

⁴ 47 CFR § 1.790 (directs international carriers to file reports that complied with a Part 43 requirement that was eliminated several years ago and are therefore no longer required); 47 CFR § 1.21001(e) (rule requiring upfront payments or bonds for Universal Service Fund (USF) auctions, which the Commission has not required for any USF auction to date); 47 CFR § 1.2110(g) (rule on designated entity installment payments, which the Commission ceased using in 1997); 47 CFR § 1.2110(n) (rule on designated entity annual reports, which requires information already captured in other rules); 47 CFR § 90.709(e) (this rule is now obsolete because of the deletion of 47 CFR § 90.1017 in the *Fourth Direct Final Rule*, FCC 25-77, para. 4, fn.8 (Oct. 28, 2025) and the deletion of 47 CFR § 1.2111(a) in this direct final rule in footnote 5).

⁵ 47 CFR § 1.2111(a) (rule defining unjust enrichment for installment payments, which have not been used since 1997); 47 CFR § 1.2111(c)(1) (rule regarding unjust enrichment for partitioning and disaggregation installment payments, which have not been in use since 1997); 47 CFR §§ 1.2200-2209 (rules regarding the broadcast television spectrum reverse auction, which concluded in 2017); 47 CFR § 1.2105(c)(6) (rule prohibiting certain types of communications for the broadcast incentive auction, which concluded in 2017). We move the definitions of “channel sharing bid” and “license relinquishment bid” formerly in 47 CFR § 1.2200(d) and 47 CFR § 1.2200(g) respectively into 47 CFR § 73.3700(a)(3).

⁶ We also amend certain other rules to remove cross-references to the rules repealed by this Direct Final Rule. See Appendix.

⁷ *First Direct Final Rule*, 40 FCC Rcd at 5586-88, paras. 5-9; *Second Direct Final Rule* at 2-4, paras. 5-9; *Third Direct Final Rule* at 2-4, paras. 5-9.

⁸ See *First Direct Final Rule*, 40 FCC Rcd at 5586, para. 5 n.9 (citing *Promoting Telehealth in Rural America*, WC Docket No. 17-310, Order on Reconsideration, Second Report and Order, and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 38 FCC Rcd 827, 855-56 (2023); *Modernization of Media Regulation Initiative, et al.*, MB Docket No. 17-105 et al., Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Report and Order, 33 FCC Rcd 10549, 10569-70, para. 41 (2018); *Delete, Delete, Delete et al.*, Order, DA 25-621 (CGB 2025); *Delete, Delete, Delete et al.*, Order, DA 25-613 (WCB 2025); *2014 Quadrennial Regulatory Review—Review of the Commission’s Broadcast Ownership Rules and Other Rules Adopted Pursuant to Section 202 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, et al.*, MB Docket No. 14-50 et al., Order, 36 FCC Rcd 9354, 9355, para. 2 (MB 2021); *Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Commission’s Rules; Implementing Section 2 of the Preventing Illegal Radio Abuse Through*

(continued....)

proceed in this manner, we elect in this decision to proceed using what is known as a “direct final rule” process.⁹ By proceeding through a direct final rule, we choose to provide expanded opportunities for public comment even though the “good cause” standard does not legally require us to do so.¹⁰ Under a direct final rule process, rule changes are adopted without prior notice and comment, but are accompanied by an opportunity for the public to file comments—and if we conclude that significant adverse comments have been filed, the relevant rule changes would not take effect until after a full notice and comment process.¹¹

6. In particular, we will publish this item adopting direct final rules in the Federal Register, and allow for comment from interested parties within 20 days of Federal Register publication.¹² Until 20 days after Federal Register publication, this shall be a “permit-but-disclose” proceeding for purposes of our *ex parte* rules.¹³ Because this comment process is directed toward the discrete objective of the direct final rule process, and to avoid unwarranted delay in that process, we prohibit filings addressing the rule changes contemplated in this *Direct Final Rule* more than 20 days after Federal Register publication, absent further direction from the Commission published in the Federal Register.¹⁴ This both accords with the purpose of the comment process for direct final rules, and is similar (though not identical) to actions the Commission has taken in other contexts to provide a defined end-point for public filings to enable the Commission to focus its attention on the submissions already before it.¹⁵

Enforcement Act (Pirate Act), Order, 35 FCC Rcd 14591, 14591, para. 1 (EB, OMD 2020); *Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment*, WT Docket No. 17-79, Order, 34 FCC Rcd 9366 (WTB 2019); *see also Second Direct Final Rule* at 2, para. 5, n.8 (citing same); *Third Direct Final Rule* at 2, para. 5, n.8.

⁹ *See First Direct Final Rule*, 40 FCC Rcd at 5586, para. 5 n.10 (citing Administrative Conference of the United States, Recommendation 2024–6, Public Engagement in Agency Rulemaking Under the Good Cause Exemption, 89 Fed. Reg. 106406, 106408–09 (Dec. 30, 2024) (*ACUS Public Engagement and Good Cause Recommendation*); *Sierra Club v. EPA*, 99 F.3d 1551, 1554 n.4 (10th Cir. 1996)); *see also Second Direct Final Rule* at 3, para. 5, n.9 (citing same); *Third Direct Final Rule* at 3, para. 5, n.9.

¹⁰ Although the Commission has adopted specific rules codified in the Code of Federal Regulations related to notice and-comment rulemaking procedures, *see* 47 CFR pt. 1, subpt. C, there is no legal requirement that we adopt rules before employing processes permitted by the APA and the Communications Act. *See, e.g.*, 47 U.S.C. § 154(j) (absent previously-specified procedural obligations to the contrary, “[t]he Commission may conduct its proceedings in such manner as will best conduce to the proper dispatch of business and to the ends of justice”).

¹¹ *ACUS Public Engagement and Good Cause Recommendation*, 89 Fed. Reg. at 106409, paras. 2(d)-(e), 6.

¹² *See, e.g.*, 47 CFR § 1.45(b) (“Oppositions to any motion, petition, or request may be filed within 10 days after the original pleading is filed.”); 47 CFR § 1.106(g) (“Oppositions to a petition for reconsideration shall be filed within 10 days after the petition is filed.”); 47 CFR § 1.302(b) (“Any party who desires to preserve the right to appeal [a presiding officer’s ruling terminating a hearing proceeding] shall file a notice of appeal within 10 days after the ruling is released.”).

¹³ 47 CFR § 1.1206.

¹⁴ *See* 47 CFR § 1.1200(a) (“Where the public interest so requires in a particular proceeding, the Commission and its staff retain the discretion to modify the applicable *ex parte* rules by order, letter, or public notice.”). Up until that date, we find it in the public interest to continue to operate under permit-but-disclosure procedures in this regard, consistent with the status of the *In Re: Delete, Delete, Delete* proceeding more generally.

¹⁵ *See, e.g.*, 47 CFR § 1.58 (adopting a quiet period for forbearance proceeding based on “[t]he prohibition in § 1.1203(a) on contacts with decisionmakers concerning matters listed in the Sunshine Agenda”). In the event that a petition for reconsideration of this action is filed, we will subsequently specifically address any comment process associated with such a petition in light of the prohibition on filings addressing the rule changes more than 30 days after Federal Register publication. *See* 47 CFR § 1.4(b)(1) (date of “public notice” for non-notice and comment rulemaking proceedings required to be published in the Federal Register is the date of Federal Register publication); 47 U.S.C. § 405(a) (establishing a deadline of 30 days from public notice for petitions for reconsideration of actions by the Commission).

7. The rule revisions in this *Direct Final Rule* will go into effect 60 days after Federal Register publication. If the Commission receives comments on these rule revisions, it will evaluate whether there are significant adverse comments that warrant further procedures before changing the rules. In our assessment, we plan to be guided by the recommendation of the Administrative Conference of the United States (ACUS) that “[a]n agency should consider any comment received during direct final rulemaking to be a significant adverse comment if the comment explains why: a. The [direct final] rule would be inappropriate, including challenges to the rule’s underlying premise or approach; or b. The [direct final] rule would be ineffective or unacceptable without a change.”¹⁶

8. If we conclude that a significant adverse comment has been filed, the Office of Economics and Analytics or the Office of International Affairs will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register to prevent the rule revisions from going into effect until any appropriate additional procedures have been followed. If a significant adverse comment is filed only with respect to a subset of the rule revisions addressed by this *Direct Final Rule*, the Office of Economics and Analytics or the Office of International Affairs will withdraw the portions of the *Direct Final Rule* that were subject to the significant adverse comment. For example, if a significant adverse comment is filed regarding a single rule within this *Direct Final Rule*, which contains multiple rule revisions, we will publish a withdrawal of only the rule addressed by the significant adverse comment.

9. In the event that no comments are filed in response to this *Direct Final Rule*, we do not anticipate publishing a confirmation of the effective date in the Federal Register but will simply allow the rule changes to take effect as originally specified. If comments are filed but none are deemed significant adverse comments, where warranted by the record, the Office of Economics and Analytics or the Office of International Affairs will issue a Public Notice that briefly explains why the comments filed were not determined to be significant adverse comments.¹⁷

III. PROCEDURAL MATTERS

A. Paperwork Reduction Act

10. This document does not contain new or modified information collections subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), 44 U.S.C. §§ 3501-3521. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any new or modified information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees, pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, 44 U.S.C. § 3506(c)(4).

B. Congressional Review Act

11. The Commission has determined, and the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, concurs, that this rule is “non-major” under the Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. § 804(2). The Commission will send a copy of this *Direct Final Rule* to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 801(a)(1)(A).

¹⁶ *ACUS Public Engagement and Good Cause Recommendation*, 89 Fed. Reg. at 106409. The touchstone for analysis is whether a comment materially calls into question the conclusion that prior notice and comment is unnecessary under the APA, which is the predicate for use of direct final rule procedures. While we expect the formulation provided by ACUS to be a useful guide for conducting that analysis, our statutory determination of “good cause” to forgo notice and comment ultimately represents the critical issue, rather than the particular language used by ACUS.

¹⁷ Although the Public Notice is a document in a non-notice and comment rulemaking proceeding, nothing in that document is required to be published in the Federal Register by the APA given that the Public Notice is not itself adopting new or modified rules. As a result, the Bureau also need not publish the Public Notice in the Federal Register to establish the date of “public notice” for the Public Notice under section 1.4(b)(1) of the rules—which is limited to documents in rulemaking proceedings “required by the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, 553, to be published in the Federal Register,” 47 CFR § 1.4(b)(1)—and instead the date of public notice of the Public Notice will be the release date. See 47 CFR § 1.4(b)(4).

C. Filing Requirements

12. Interested parties may file comments on or before the date indicated on the first page of this document. Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS).

- Electronic Filers: Comments may be filed electronically using the Internet by accessing the ECFS: <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/>.
- Paper Filers: Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each filing.
 - Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial courier, or by the U.S. Postal Service. All filings must be addressed to the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.
 - Hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission's Secretary are accepted between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. by the FCC's mailing contractor at 9050 Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes and boxes must be disposed of before entering the building.
 - Commercial courier deliveries (any deliveries not by the U.S. Postal Service) must be sent to 9050 Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701.
 - Filings sent by U.S. Postal Service First-Class Mail, Priority Mail, and Priority Mail Express must be sent to 45 L Street, NE, Washington, DC 20554
- People with Disabilities. To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530.

D. Additional Information

13. For additional information, please contact Michelle Schaefer, Office of Economics and Analytics, at (202) 418-2683, or at Michelle.Schaefer@fcc.gov.

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

14. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that, pursuant to sections 4(i), 4(j), and 303(r) of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), and 303(r), this Direct Final Rule IS ADOPTED. Except as specified in paragraph 8, this Direct Final Rule shall be effective upon Federal Register publication of the rule changes set forth in the Appendix, which shall also serve as the date of public notice of that action.¹⁸

15. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the amendments of the Commission's rules as set forth in Appendix A shall be effective 60 days after Federal Register publication. In the event that significant adverse comments are filed, the Office of Economics and Analytics or the Office of International Affairs shall publish a timely document in the Federal Register withdrawing the rule so that the rule change does not become effective until any additional procedures have been followed. In the event that significant adverse comments are filed with respect to only a subset of the rule revisions, we direct the Office of Economics and Analytics or the Office of International Affairs to publish a timely document in the Federal Register withdrawing only such rule(s) so that the rule change does not become effective until any additional procedures have been followed.

16. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Office of the Managing Director, Performance Program Management, **SHALL SEND** a copy of this Direct Final Rule in a report to be sent to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. § 801(a)(1)(A).

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary

¹⁸ Pursuant to Executive Order 14215, 90 Fed. Reg. 10447 (Feb. 20, 2025), this regulatory action has been determined to be not significant under Executive Order 12866, 58 Fed. Reg. 68708 (Dec. 28, 1993).

APPENDIX**DIRECT FINAL RULES**

For the reasons set forth above, the Federal Communications Commission amends part 1 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 1 – PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

1. The authority citation for part 1 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. chs. 2, 5, 9, 13; 28 U.S.C. 2461 note; 47 U.S.C. 1754, unless otherwise noted.

Subpart A – General Rules of Practice and Procedure**§ 1.790 [Removed and Reserved]**

2. Remove and reserve § 1.790.
3. Section 1.1901 is amended by revising paragraph (i) to read as follows:

§ 1.1901 Definitions and construction.

(i) The term “delinquent” means a claim or debt which has not been paid by the date specified by the agency unless other satisfactory payment arrangements have been made by that date, or, at any time thereafter, the debtor has failed to satisfy an obligation under a payment agreement or instrument with the agency, or pursuant to a Commission rule. The rules set forth in this subpart in no way affect the Commission's rules, as may be amended, regarding payment for licenses (including installment, down, or final payments) or automatic cancellation of Commission licenses (see 47 CFR § 1.1902(f)).

4. Section 1.1914 is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 1.1914 Collection in installments.

(a) Subject to the Commission's rules pertaining to the installment loan program in subpart Q of this part or other agreements among the parties, the terms of which will control, whenever feasible, the Commission shall collect the total amount of a debt in one lump sum. If a debtor is financially unable to pay a debt in one lump sum, the Commission, in its sole discretion, may accept payment in regular installments. The Commission will obtain financial statements from debtors who represent that they are unable to pay in one lump sum and which are able to verify independently such representations (see 31 CFR 902.2(g)). The Commission will require and obtain a legally enforceable written agreement from the debtor that specifies all of the terms of the arrangement, including, as appropriate, sureties and other indicia of creditworthiness (see Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990, 2 U.S.C. 661, et seq., OMB Circular A-129), and that contains a provision accelerating the debt in the event of default.

§ 1.2105(c)(6) [Removed and Reserved]

5. Remove and reserve § 1.2105(c)(6).

§ 1.2110(g) [Removed and Reserved]

6. Remove and reserve § 1.2110(g).

§ 1.2110(n) [Removed and Reserved]

7. Remove and reserve § 1.2110(n).

§ 1.2111(a) [Removed and Reserved]

8. Remove and reserve § 1.2111(a).

§ 1.2111(c)(1) [Removed and Reserved]

9. Remove and reserve § 1.2111(c)(1).

§ 1.2200-2209 [Removed and Reserved]

10. Remove and reserve § 1.2200-2209.

§ 1.21001(e) [Removed and Reserved]

11. Remove and reserve § 1.21001(e).

PART 73 – RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

12. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 155, 301, 303, 307, 309, 310, 334, 336, 339.

Subpart H – Rules Applicable to All Broadcast Stations

13. Section 73.3700 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(3) to read as follows:

§ 73.3700 Post-incentive auction licensing and operation.

(a)(3) For purposes of this section, *channel sharee station* means a broadcast television station for which a winning channel sharing bid – a bid to relinquish all spectrum usage rights with respect to a particular television channel in order to share a television channel with another broadcast television licensee by an applicant that submits an executed channel sharing agreement with its application – was submitted, or a broadcast television station for which a winning license relinquishment bid – a bid to relinquish all spectrum usage rights with respect to a particular television channel without receiving in return any spectrum usage rights with respect to another television channel – was submitted where the station licensee executes and implements a post-auction channel sharing agreement.

PART 90 – PRIVATE LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICES

14. The authority citation for part 90 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 161, 303(g), 303(r), 332(c)(7), 1401–1473.

Subpart T – Regulations Governing Licensing and Use of Frequencies in the 220-222 MHz Band**§ 90.709(e) [Removed and Reserved]**

15. Remove and reserve § 90.709(e)

PART 95 – PERSONAL RADIO SERVICES

16. The authority citation for part 95 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 307.

Subpart F –218-219 MHz Service

17. Section 1.1923 is amended by revising paragraph (c)(1)(iii) to read as follows:

§ 95.1923 Geographic partitioning and spectrum disaggregation.

(c)(1)(iii) The partitionor or disaggregator shall be permitted to continue to pay its pro rata share of the outstanding balance and, if applicable, shall receive loan documents evidencing the partitioning and disaggregation. The original interest rate shall continue to be applied to the partitionor's or disaggregator's portion of the remaining government obligation.

**STATEMENT OF
CHAIRMAN BRENDAN CARR**

Re: *Delete, Delete, Delete*, Direct Final Rule, GN Docket No. 25-133 (March 26, 2026)

One year ago this month, we launched our *In Re: Delete, Delete, Delete* proceeding to cut the deadwood from the Code of Federal Regulations and eliminate rules that no longer serve the public interest. Today, we vote on our seventh direct final rule and close out phase one of this historic deregulatory effort.

Today's order will eliminate 18 rule provisions, over 6,400 words, and covering over 10 pages in the Part 1 of the CFR. Many of these are outdated procedural rules that serve no purpose except to bloat rulebook.

Across this first phase, the FCC has now cut roughly 1,274 rule provisions, 149,566 words, and more than 338 pages of obsolete regulations. The vast majority of deadwood is gone. But we're not slowing down. On deck for 2026 is licensing and permitting reform, eliminating unnecessary paperwork, and smashing technological silos that have held back innovation for decades.

None of this is possible without the FCC's top tier team. Their hard work to identify and eliminate wasteful rules is yielding great results. And for their work on today's item, my thanks to David Konczal, Scott Bouboulis, Mary Lovejoy, Gary Michaels, Kelly Quinn, Cameron Duncan, Michele Wu-Baily, Hayley Steffen, Michelle Schaefer, Jonathan Williams, and all the staff across the agency who made this possible.

**STATEMENT OF
COMMISSIONER ANNA M. GOMEZ**

Re: *Delete, Delete, Delete*, GN Docket No. 25-133, Direct Final Rule (March 26, 2026).

I want to thank the staff of the Office of Economics and Analytics for their work on this item. However, as I have expressed before, I am sincerely concerned about the direct final rule process the Commission has been using to eliminate rules. Substantive rules adopted by the Commission pursuant to notice and comment, should not be eliminated without the same due process.

Several of the provisions being deleted today, however, are obsolete or expired,¹⁹ and I concur with the order with regard to their deletion.

For these reasons, I concur in part and dissent in part.

¹⁹ 47 CFR § 1.790; 47 CFR §§ 1.2200-2209; 47 CFR § 1.2105(c)(6).