Notice is hereby given of a revised edition of a pamphlet entitled, "The Public and Broadcasting—A Procedure Manual." In the manual, an effort is made to outline the respective roles of the broadcast station, the Commission, and the concerned citizen in the establishment and preservation of quality broadcasting services, to outline procedures available to the citizen, and to provide practical advice concerning their use. We are hopeful that the manual will encourage participation by members of the community and that it will direct such participation along lines which are most effective and helpful to the Commission.
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INTRODUCTION

1. Establishing and maintaining quality broadcasting services in a community is the responsibility of broadcast station licensees and the Federal Communications Commission. It is also, however, a matter in which members of the community have a vital concern and in which they can and should play a prominent role.

2. Licensees of radio and television stations are required to make a diligent, positive, and continuing effort to discover and fulfill the problems, needs, and interests of the communities they serve. The Commission encourages a continuing dialog between stations and community members as a means of ascertaining the community’s problems, needs, and interests and of devising ways to meet and fulfill them. Members of the community can help a station to provide better broadcast service and more responsive programing by making their needs, interests, and problems known to the station and by commenting, whether favorably or unfavorably, on the programing and practices of the station. Complaints concerning a station’s operation should be communicated promptly to the station, and every effort should be made, by both the complainant and the licensee, to resolve any differences through discussion at the local level.

3. The Commission is responsible for seeing that stations do in fact meet their obligations to the community. It considers complaints by members of the community against a station and before issuing or renewing a broadcast station license, must find that its action will serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity. However, to effectively invoke the Commission’s processes, the citizen must not only concern himself with the quality of broadcasting but must know when, how, and to whom, to express his concern. On the one hand, the Commission is in large measure dependent on community members to bring up matters which warrant its attention. On the other, if resolute efforts are not first made to clear up problems at the local level, the Commission’s processes become clogged by the sheer bulk of the matters brought before it.

4. If direct contact with a station does not produce satisfactory results, there are a number of formal and informal ways for members of the community to convey their grievances to the Commission and to participate in proceedings in which the performance of a station is judged and legitimate grievances are redressed. The purpose of this manual is to outline the procedures available to the concerned citizen and to provide information and practical advice concerning their use. It is not a substitute for the rules of practice and procedure (47 CFR Part 1). We are hopeful, however, that it will help community members to participate effectively and in a manner which is helpful to the Commission.

1 The Federal Communications Commission is an independent Government agency responsible for regulating interstate and foreign communication by radio and wire. One of its responsibilities is to determine who is to operate the limited number of broadcast stations, to regulate the manner in which they are operated, and to generally supervise their operation, to the end that such operation may serve the interests of the public. This booklet deals only with this one aspect of the Commission’s responsibilities.

The FCC is composed of seven members, who are appointed by the President subject to confirmation by the Senate. Normally, one Commissioner is appointed or reappointed each year for a term of 7 years. One of the Commissioners is designated by the President to serve as Chairman, or chief executive officer, of the Commission.

The Commissioners, functioning as a unit, supervise all activities of the Commission. They are assisted by a staff of approximately 1,500 persons. Note that the term “Commission” refers both to the seven Commissioners as a unit and to the entire agency, including the staff. For a general description of the Commission and its organization, see 47 CFR 0.1-0.3. For a full description of the Commission’s functions and of authority delegated by the Commission to its staff, see 47 CFR Part 0. (The Commission’s rules are printed in Volume 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). See paras. 60 and 61 below.)

2 The manual reflects procedures and policies in effect on August 1, 1974. Persons using this manual are cautioned that these procedures and policies are subject to change and that any changes made after August 1, 1974 are not reflected in this manual.
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5. **Complaints generally.** A complaint against a broadcast station can be filed with the Commission by any person at any time. You can go about it any way you wish; there are no particular procedural requirements, except as noted below. You should, however, bear the following facts in mind:

(a) During fiscal year 1973, the Commission received 84,525 complaints, comments, and inquiries concerning broadcast stations. Of this total, 61,322 were complaints.

(b) Almost all of these communications are initially considered and dealt with by approximately five Commission employees who are specially assigned this function. Additional personnel are assigned to a matter only if, on the initial examination, the complaint appears to raise novel or difficult legal questions or appears to warrant extensive inquiry, investigation, or formal proceedings.

In light of this situation, there are a number of practical steps you can take which will be helpful to the Commission and will increase your effectiveness in making a complaint. These are set out below:

1. Limit your complaint to matters on which the Commission can act. With minor exceptions (the provision of "equal time" for candidates for public office, for example), we cannot direct that a particular program be put on or taken off the air. Nor are we arbiters of taste. Our concern, moreover, is with matters which affect the community generally (the public interest) rather than with the personal preferences or grievances of individuals. Another publication, "The FCC and Broadcasting," contains more detailed information (in areas in which numerous complaints are received) regarding what the Commission can and cannot do. Copies will be furnished by the Complaints and Compliance Division of the Commission's Broadcast Bureau upon request.

2. Submit your complaint first to the station involved. The station may well recognize the merit of your complaint and take corrective action, or may explain the matter to your satisfaction. If you are not satisfied with the station's response, it will aid and expedite action on your complaint to the Commission to enclose a copy of your complaint to the station and all subsequent correspondence between you and the station. (Though this way of proceeding is generally far preferable to complaining initially to the Commission, this is not always the case. If, for example, the complainant has reason for not disclosing his identity to the station, he may complain directly to the Commission, requesting that his identity not be disclosed.)

3. Submit your complaint promptly after the event to which it relates.

4. Include at least the following information in your letter of complaint:
   a. The full name and address of the complainant.
   b. The call letters and location of the station.
   c. The name of any program to which the complaint relates and the date and time of its broadcast.
   d. A statement of what the station has done or failed to do which causes you to file a complaint. Be as specific as possible: Furnish names, dates, places and other details.
   e. A statement setting forth what you want the station and/or the Commission to do.
   f. A copy of any previous correspondence between you and the station concerning the subject of the complaint.

5. Try to appreciate that the person reviewing your complaint must make rapid judgments regarding the gravity of the matters related and the action to be taken. There are a number of simple things you can do to make his job easier and to aid your own cause: State the facts fully and at the beginning. Subject to fully stating the facts, be as brief as possible. If the facts are self-explanatory, avoid argument; let the facts speak for themselves. Avoid repetition or exaggeration. If you think a specific law or regulation has been violated, tell us what it is. If possible, use a typewriter, but if you do write by hand, take special pains to write legibly.

---

2 Complaints relating to some of the operations of networks and other organizations associated with broadcasting can also be filed.
6. A complaint received by the Commission is dealt with as follows:

(1) If the complaint does not allege a substantial violation of statute or of Commission rule or policy, if inadequate information is submitted, or if the factual statement is not sufficiently specific, a letter (which is often a form letter) explaining these matters is directed to the complainant.

(2) If the complaint does allege specific facts sufficient to indicate a substantial violation, it is investigated, either by correspondence with the station (which may produce a satisfactory explanation or remedial action) or, in rare instances, by field inquiry. (Since the Commission's investigatory staff is small, the number of complaints which can be investigated by field inquiry is limited.) If further information from the complainant is needed, he is asked to furnish it. If the staff concludes that there has been a violation, it may recommend to the Commission that sanctions be imposed on the station; it may direct remedial action (such as equal opportunities for a candidate for public office) or, where extenuating circumstances are present (as where the violation follows from an honest mistake or misjudgment or where the station otherwise has a good record), it may note the violation but not recommend a sanction. Possible Commission actions range from the imposition of monetary forfeitures not exceeding $10,000 and short-term renewal of license to revocation of license or denial of an application for renewal of license. The imposition of sanctions involves formal proceedings (which may include a hearing) and, in connection with such proceedings, the complainant may be asked to submit a sworn statement or to appear and give testimony at a hearing before an administrative law judge. In some circumstances, the complainant is entitled, and may choose, to participate as a party to the proceeding. A hearing is ordered in a renewal or revocation proceeding only if substantial questions have been raised concerning the licensee's qualifications.

7. Four types of complaint require compliance with specific procedures and submission of specific information. These complaints involve compliance with the requirement for political candidates, the fairness doctrine, the personal attack rule, and the rule governing political editorials. Generally, these matters should be taken up with the station before a complaint is filed with the Commission. However, where time is an important factor, you may find it advisable to complain simultaneously to the station and the Commission. In such circumstances, complaints are often submitted and answered by telegraph and, where the matter is most urgent, by telephone.

8. Political broadcasting. Section 315 of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. 315, provides that if any Commission licensee shall permit any person "who is a legally qualified candidate for any public office" to use a broadcast station, he shall afford to all other candidates for that office equal opportunities to use the station's facilities. Appearances by candidates on the following types of programs are exempt from the equal opportunities requirement:

1. Bona fide newscast;
2. Bona fide news interview;
3. Bona fide news documentary (if the appearance of the candidate is incidental to the presentation of the subject or subjects covered by the news documentary); or
4. On-the-spot coverage of bona fide news events (including but not limited to political conventions and activities incidental thereto).

However, where candidates appear on programs exempt from the equal opportunities requirement, broadcasters must nevertheless meet the obligation imposed upon them under the Communications Act (to operate in the public interest) and the fairness doctrine (to afford reasonable opportunity for the discussion of conflicting views on controversial issues of public importance). See paragraph 12 below. The equal opportunities and fairness doctrine requirements are applied to networks as well as to stations.

9. A request for equal opportunities must be made directly to the station or network and must be submitted within 1 week after the first broadcast giving rise to your right of equal opportunities. This is most important, as your right is lost by failure to make a timely request. To make it as clear as possible, we offer the following example:

\[\text{The Communications Act is printed in title 47 of the United States Code (U.S.C.). See paragraph 59 below.}\]
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A, B, and C are legally qualified candidates for the same public office. A makes an appearance on April 5 on a program not exempted by the statute. On April 12, B asks for an equal opportunity to appear on the station and does, in fact, appear on April 15. On April 16, C asks for an equal opportunity to appear. However, he is not entitled to do so, as he has failed to make his request within 1 week after A’s appearance.

There is an exception to this requirement where the person requesting equal opportunities was not a candidate at the time of the first broadcast giving rise to the right of equal opportunities. See 47 CFR 73.120(e).

10. If you are a candidate or his designated agent and think that the candidate has been denied equal opportunities you may complain to the Commission. A copy of this complaint should be sent to the station. Your letter of complaint should state (1) the name of the station or network involved; (2) the name of the candidate for the same office and the date of his appearance on the station’s facilities; (3) whether the candidate who appeared was a legally qualified candidate for the office at the time of his appearance (this is determined by reference to the law of the State in which the election is being held); (4) whether the candidate seeking equal time is a legally qualified candidate for the same office; and (5) whether you or your candidate made a request for equal opportunities to the licensee within 1 week of the day on which the first broadcast giving rise to the right to equal opportunities occurred.

11. A political broadcasting primer ("Use of Broadcast Facilities by Candidates for Public Office"), containing a summary of rulings interpreting the equal opportunities requirement, has been published in the Federal Register and in the FCC Reports (35 F.R. 13825; 24 F.C.C. 2d 322) and is available from the Commission upon request, as is a question and answer pamphlet ("Use of Broadcast and Cablecast Facilities by Candidates for Public Office") (37 F.R. 5796, 34 F.C.C. 2d 510). See also 47 U.S.C. 315 and 47 CFR 73.120.

12. Fairness doctrine. Under the fairness doctrine, if there is a presentation of a point of view on a controversial issue of public importance over a station (or network), it is the duty of the station (or network), in its overall programing, to afford a reasonable opportunity for the presentation of contrasting views as to that issue. This duty applies to all station programing and not merely to editorials stating the station’s position. The station may make offers of time to spokesmen for contrasting views or may present its own programing on the issue. It must present suitable contrasting views without charge if it is unable to secure payment from, or a sponsor for, the spokesman for such views. The broadcaster has considerable discretion as to the format of programs, the different shades of opinion to be presented, the spokesmen for each point of view, and the time allowed. He is not required to provide equal time or equal opportunities; this requirement applies only to broadcasts by candidates for public office. The doctrine is based on the right of the public to be informed and not on the proposition that any particular person or group is entitled to be heard.

13. If you believe that a broadcaster (station or network) is not meeting its obligation to the public under the fairness doctrine, you should complain first to the broadcaster. If you believe that a point of view is not being presented and wish to act as spokesman for that point of view, you should first notify the broadcaster. Barring unusual circumstances, complaints should not be made to the Commission without affording the broadcaster an opportunity to rectify the situation, comply with your request, or explain its position.

14. If you do file a fairness doctrine complaint with the Commission, a copy should be sent to the station. The complaint should contain specific information concerning the following matters: (1) The name of the station or network involved; (2) the controversial issue of public importance on which a view was presented; (3) the date and time of its broadcast; (4) the basis for your claim that the issue is controversial and of public importance; (5) an accurate summary of the view of views broadcast; (6) the basis for your claim that the station or network has afforded, or has expressed the intention to afford, a reasonable opportunity for the presentation of contrasting viewpoints on that issue. The requirement that you state the basis for your claim that the station or network has not broadcast contrasting

---

See paragraph 62 below.
views on the issue or issues in its overall programming does not mean that you
must constantly monitor the station. As the Commission stated in its Fairness
Report:

While the Complainant must state the basis for this claim that the station
has not presented contrasting views, that claim might be based on an
assertion that the complainant is a regular listener or viewer; that is, a
person who consistently or as a matter of routine listens to the news, public
affairs and other non-entertainment carried by the station involved. This
does not require that the complainant listen to or view the station 24 hours
a day, seven days a week . . . Complainants should specify the nature and
should indicate the period of time during which they have been regular
members of the station's audience. Fairness Report, 39 Fed. Reg. 26372,

Further, a basis for your claim that the station has failed to present contrasting
views might be provided by correspondence between you and the station or net­
work involved. Thus if the station's or network's response to your correspondence
states that no other programin­

9 The personal attack rule requires that when, during the
presentation of views on a controversial issue of public importance, an attack is
made upon the honesty, character, integrity, or like personal qualities of an
identified person or group, the broadcaster must, within 1 week after the
attack, transmit to the person or group attacked (1) notification of the date,
time and identification of the broadcast; (2) a script or tape (or an accurate
summary if a script or tape is not available) of the attack; and (3) an offer of
a reasonable opportunity to respond over the station's facilities free of charge.
See 47 CFR 73.123(a). The personal attack rule does not apply to attacks made
in the course of a bona fide newscast, a bona fide news interview, or on-the-spot
coverage of a bona fide news event (including commentary or analysis by news­
men offered as part of such programs). Though the specific requirements of no­
tice and an offer of an opportunity to respond do not apply to such programs,
the other requirements of the fairness doctrine do apply. For other circum­
stances in which the personal attack rule does not apply, see 47 CFR 73.123(b).
See also, the fairness primer, described above in paragraph 15.

17. If you believe that you or your group has been personally attacked during
the presentation of a controversial issue, and if you are not offered an oppor­
tunity to respond, you should complain first to the station or network involved.
If you are not satisfied with the response, you may then complain to the
Commission.

18. If you file a complaint with the Commission, a copy should be sent to
the station. The complaint should contain specific information concerning the
following matters: (1) The name of the station or network involved; (2) the
words or statements broadcast; (3) the date and time the broadcast was
made; (4) the basis for your view that the words broadcast constitute an attack
upon the honesty, character, integrity, or like personal qualities of you or your
group; (5) the basis for your view that the personal attack was broadcast dur­
ing the presentation of views on a controversial issue of public importance;
(6) the basis for your view that the matter discussed was a controversial issue of
public importance, either nationally or in the station's local area, at the time
of the broadcast; and (7) whether the station within 1 week of the alleged at­
tack: (i) Notified you or your group of the broadcast; (ii) transmitted a
script, tape, or accurate summary of the broadcast if a script or tape was not
available; and (iii) offered a reasonable opportunity to respond over the sta­
tion's facilities.

19. Political editorials. When a broadcast station, in an editorial, endorses a
legally qualified candidate for public office, it is required to transmit to other
qualified candidates for the same office (1) notice as to the date and time of
Participation in Application Proceedings

21. General. Public expression regarding the operation of broadcast stations is not limited to letters of complaint. You may also support or object to applications filed with the Commission, such as an application for a new license, a change in existing facilities (for example, an increase in tower height or transmission studio location), or the renewal or transfer of a current license. You may proceed either formally, by filing a "petition to deny," or informally, by filing an "informal objection." (See below.) You may raise any public interest question relating to the application or the applicant.

22. With certain minor exceptions, all broadcast applicants are required to give notice to the community that they have filed an application with the Commission. See 47 CFR 1.580. In the case of most existing licensee-applicants the notice is broadcast over their facilities. Public notice of the filing of the application is also given by the Commission. Applicants and licensees are required to maintain locally for public inspection copies of applications and other documents as specified in the Commission's rules. See 47 CFR 1.526. The notice given locally by the station will state the address at which these documents can be inspected. Additional papers relating to the station, including most of those kept locally, are available for inspection at the Commission's office in the District of Columbia. Except in the case of certain minor applications (see 47 U.S.C. 309), the Commission must give notice of the acceptance of the application for filing at least 30 days before acting on it. See paragraphs 33 and 34 below.

23. Informal objection. If you have information which you believe should be considered by the Commission in determining whether the grant of an application would serve the public interest, convenience and necessity, you may file an informal objection. (See below.)

--
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"informal objection". See 47 CFR 1.136. Such objections may be filed in writing with the Secretary of the Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554, at any time prior to action on the application, and must be signed by the person making them. There are no other requirements.

24. The informal objection procedure is designed for use by persons who cannot qualify as "parties in interest" (see paragraph 30 below) or who (though they qualify as parties in interest) do not choose to assert the rights or to assume the burdens of parties to the proceeding. In addition, pleadings, or communications, submitted by persons who desire to participate as parties to the proceeding but which fail to meet the requirements for "petitions to deny" (see paragraph 29 below) are treated as informal objections.

25. Informal objections are dealt with much in the same manner as complaints and should include at least the minimum information required for an affective complaint (see paragraph 5, above). They are associated with the application to which they relate, however, and are reviewed by elements of the staff responsible for taking or recommending action on that application.

26. If in the judgment of the Commission's staff the objection does not raise a substantial public interest question, it will not be referred to the Commission (that is, the Commissioners) for consideration. In such cases, the staff will give notice of Commission or staff action on the application to persons who have filed an objection, advising them that their objection has been rejected by the staff as a basis for denying the application. An application for review of staff action (47 U.S.C. 402(b) and 405) may be filed. Such an application must be filed prior to seeking judicial review. See 47 U.S.C. 155(d) (7); 47 CFR 1.136.

27. If in the judgment of the staff the objection raises a substantial public interest question, it is made the subject of field inquiry or is forwarded to the applicant for comment. If the applicant is asked to comment, he is required to serve a copy of his comments on the person who filed the objection, and that person is entitled to file a reply. If there is still a substantial question, it is referred to the Commission and is dealt with on its merits in conjunction with action on the application. If the Commission concludes that a substantial and material question of fact has been presented or if it is for any reason unable to find that a grant of the application would serve the public interest, it will order a hearing. Otherwise, it will grant the application. If a hearing is ordered, a person who has filed an informal objection will not ordinarily be named as a party to the proceeding, but may seek to participate as a witness or, within 30 days after publication of the hearing issues in the Federal Register, petition for intervention as a party. See paragraph 29 below.

28. If the objection is considered and disposed of in a Commission opinion granting the application, you may within 30 days petition for reconsiderations or seek judicial review. If you appeal or petition for reconsideration, you should be prepared to show that you are aggrieved or adversely affected by the Commission action (47 U.S.C. 402(b) (6) and 405). If you have not participated in proceedings resulting in a grant of the application (as by filing an informal objection), or if the Commission has not been afforded an opportunity to pass on the questions you intend to raise in court, you must file a petition for reconsideration before seeking judicial review.

29. Petition to deny. A petition to deny, which is a formal objection to grant of an application, is subject to the following statutory requirements (47 U.S.C. 309(d)): (1) The petition must contain specific allegations of fact sufficient to show that the petitioner is a party in interest and which, if true, would demonstrate that a grant of the application would be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. (2) "Such allegations of fact shall, except for those of which official notice may be taken, be supported by affidavit of a person or persons with personal knowledge thereof." (3) The petitioner must serve a copy of the petition upon the applicant. (4) The petition must be filed with the Commission within the time prescribed by the rules.

The requirements are discussed below at paragraphs 30-34. A petition opposing grant of an application which does not meet these requirements is treated as an informal objection. If you intend to appeal a grant of the application or wish to participate in any hearing held to determine whether the application should
be granted or denied, or if you wish to assure Commission (rather than staff) action on your objections to the application, it is advisable to file a petition to deny rather than an informal objection. If you file a petition to deny, subsequent communications, and certain other Commission communications, are limited by the rules governing ex parte communications. 47 CFR 1.1201-1.1205. 3

30. There is no hard and fast rule for determining whether a member of the listening public or a community group qualifies as a party in interest. Generally, under court precedents, members of the listening public who show that they would be aggrieved or adversely affected by a Commission action granting an application of a station in their area have standing to raise public interest questions. It is important to bear in mind this last point—that arguments for denial of the application must be directed to the public interest rather than to your own personal interest. For example, you may show that you are hurt by the activities or failing of the station to which you object, as by showing that you live or work in the area served by the station and, if the charges relate to racial discrimination, that you are a member of a racial minority being discriminated against; but the substance of your arguments must be related to the interests of the community as a whole. Since the purpose of your participation is to argue for the interests of your community as a whole, it is relevant to show your ties to the community and knowledge of its problems and needs. To make a stronger showing, it is also helpful to demonstrate that you are well qualified to represent the interests of the community and to aid the Commission in reaching a decision that best serves the interests of the community. You may, for example, have a background which specifically qualifies you on matters relating to your charges against the station. You may have a background in broadcasting or previous experience in Commission proceedings which would contribute to the public interest in the decision. It may also be helpful in demonstrating your gobierno as a representative of the community. You may have access to information concerning the station’s operations which is not generally available, as would be indicated by previous correspondence (or discussion) with the station concerning the charges set out in your petition. You may be the only member of the community who is prepared to assume the personal and financial burden which participation in Commission proceedings involves. It will help to show that others join with you in your petition to deny, or that you are serving as or that you serve as a member of a representative community group or groups. If other members of the public have separately petitioned to deny the application, you should endeavor to show that the contribution you can make would be superior to or different from that made by others. You should consider the possibility of joining with other members of the public for the purpose of participating in the hearing. If a large number of persons or groups seek to participate on behalf of the public, it is possible that some would be required to consolidate their efforts.

31. In determining whether the grant of an application is consistent or inconsistent with the public interest, the Commission is guided by the Communications Act; other laws pertinent to the facts of the case and the matters at issue; its own rules and regulations and policy statements, and past decisions of both the Commission and the courts. Although the facts set out in the petition to deny and the precise public interest question presented may be novel, it would be rare indeed if none of these guides could be brought to bear upon the question. It is possible, of course, to simply set out allegations of fact in a petition to deny and assert that they show that "a grant of the application would be prima facie inconsistent with the public interest" (47 U.S.C. 309(d)). Obviously, however, it is far more effective for you, and helpful to the Commission, if the facts alleged are

3 It is important that you not communicate privately concerning the merits or outcome of any aspect of the case with persons who may participate in deciding it. Written communications must be served on parties to the proceeding. Oral communication must be preceded by notice to the parties affording them an opportunity to be present. Persons who may participate in the decision are (1) the Commissioners and their personal office staffs, (2) the Chief of the Office of Opinions and Review and his staff, (3) the Review Board and its staff, (4) the Chief Administrative Law Judge, the administrative law judges, and the staff of the Office of Administrative Law Judges, (5) the General Counsel and his staff, and (6) the Chief Engineer and his staff.

4 We do not wish to imply that a showing with respect to all of these matters, or as to any particular matter, is necessarily required to sustain your claim, or that other information may not also be appropriate. To the extent that the suggested information is set forth in your petition, it will enhance your showing. Do not, however, be discouraged by the number of factors listed. Our intent is to give you a general idea as to the type of information which can be submitted in support of a claim—not to indicate that the required showing is necessarily difficult to make.
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related specifically to the policy and precedent guidelines utilized by the Commission in making its determination. An experienced attorney will be familiar with these materials and will know how to use them in effectively presenting your position.

32. Note that the statute requires specific allegations of fact. Hearsay, rumor, opinion, or broad generalizations, do not meet this requirement. Note also that the allegations must “be supported by affidavit of a person or persons with personal knowledge” of the facts. The petitioner need not, himself, have personal knowledge of the facts if he submits affidavits signed by others who do. An affidavit is a written statement of the truth of which is sworn to or affirmed before an officer who has authority to administer an oath, such as a notary public. Service of the petition is accomplished by delivering or mailing a copy to the applicant, or before the day on which the document is filed. See 47 CFR 1.47. If the applicant is represented by an attorney, it is the attorney who should be served. A certificate of service, signed by the person who delivered or mailed the petition and reciting the fact and method of service, must be attached to the petition.

33. With minor exceptions (see 47 U.S.C. 309 (c) and (f)), no broadcast application may be granted earlier than 30 days following the Commission’s issuance of a public notice stating that the application, or any substantial amendment thereof, has been “accepted for filing” (47 U.S.C. 309 (b)). Except in the case of annual (AM radio) applications and renewal applications, the petition to deny must be filed within this 30-day period. In the case of standard broadcast (other than renewal) applications, the Commission issues a second public notice stating that the application is “available and ready for processing” and specifies in “cutoff” date by which processing of the application will commence. Petitions to deny must be filed before the cutoff date. See 47 CFR 1.500 (1).

34. Applications for renewal of licenses of broadcast stations (except experimental and developmental stations) must be filed not later than the first day of the fourth full calendar month prior to the expiration date of the license (47 CFR 1.539). A petition to deny a renewal application must be filed by the end of the first day of the last full calendar month of the expiration license term (47 CFR 1.620 (1) and 1.618 (e)). Thus, for example, the license of a television station located in Pennsylvania would ordinarily expire on August 1, 1975; the renewal application would be filed on or before April 1, 1975 and a petition to deny would have to be filed on or before July 1, 1975. There are two exceptions to the foregoing: First, the Commission may previously have issued a short-term license to the station in question; the license would in that case expire on a date specified by the Commission in its order making the short-term grant rather than on the date specified in footnote 9. Second, if the renewal application is filed late, the deadline for filing a petition to deny is the 90th day after the Commission has given public notice that the late filed application has been accepted for filing.

35. The applicant may file an opposition to your petition to deny within 30 days after the petition is filed. You may file a reply to the opposition within 20 days.

---

9 Licenses for standard broadcast (AM), FM and television broadcast stations ordinarily expire at 3-year intervals from the following dates:
(1) For stations located in Iowa and Missouri, February 1, 1977.
(2) For stations located in Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana, and Colorado, April 1, 1977.
(3) For stations located in Kansas, Oklahoma, and Nebraska, June 1, 1977.
(4) For stations located in Texas, August 1, 1974.
(5) For stations located in Wyoming, Nevada, Arizona, Utah, New Mexico, and Idaho, October 1, 1974.
(6) For stations located in California, December 1, 1974.
(7) For stations located in Washington, Oregon, Alaska, Guam, and Hawaii, February 1, 1975.
(8) For stations located in Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont, April 1, 1975.
(9) For stations located in New Jersey and New York, June 1, 1975.
(10) For stations located in Delaware and Pennsylvania, August 1, 1975.
(11) For stations located in Maryland, District of Columbia, Virginia, and West Virginia, October 1, 1975.
(12) For stations located in North Carolina and South Carolina, December 1, 1975.
(13) For stations located in Florida, Puerto Rico, and Virgin Islands, February 1, 1976.
(14) For stations located in Alabama and Georgia, April 1, 1976.
(15) For stations located in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi, June 1, 1976.
(16) For stations located in Tennessee, Kentucky, and Indiana, August 1, 1976.
(17) For stations located in Ohio and Michigan, October 1, 1976.
(18) For stations located in Illinois and Wisconsin, December 1, 1976.

For the expiration date of licenses of other classes of broadcast stations (for example, television booster and translator stations), see 47 CFR 74.15.
after the opposition is due or within 20 days after the opposition is filed, whichever is longer. Note that the papers must reach the Commission within these periods. Reasonable requests for extensions of time will be granted if both parties consent or upon a showing of good cause. Note that requirements applicable to the petition to deny (service, supporting affidavits, etc.) also apply to the opposition and the reply. The purpose of a reply pleading is to respond to points made in the opposition pleading; it is not intended to give a petitioner an opportunity to present new matters. Also, pursuant to §1.45(c) of the Commission rules (47 CFR 1.45(e)), additional pleadings may not be filed unless specifically requested and authorized by the Commission.

36. Questions raised in a petition to deny are dealt with on their merits in conjunction with action on the application in an opinion issued by the Commission (that is, by the Commissioners and not by the staff under delegated authority). The Commission will either deny your petition and grant the application, deny your petition and set the application for hearing on issues other than those you have raised, or grant your petition and set the application for hearing on some or all of the issues you have raised. If the application is granted, you may petition for reconsideration (see 47 U.S.C. 405; 47 CFR 1.106) or appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (see 47 U.S.C. 402(b) (6)). If a hearing is ordered and you are not named as a party, you may petition for reconsideration or (if you have previously made clear your wish to participate as a party) you may appeal; you may also file a petition to intervene (see 47 U.S.C. 309(e); 47 CFR 1.223) or seek participation as a witness. See paragraph 39 below.

37. If you file a petition to deny but do not intend to participate as a party to a hearing on the application, you should so advise the Commission in your petition. Otherwise, it will be assumed that you are asserting the right to participate and offering to prove the allegations set out in your petition; and if a hearing is ordered and you have established your right to participate, you will be named as a party and may be assigned the burden of proceeding with the introduction of evidence and the burden of proof on the issues raised in your petition. You will be expected to appear at the hearing, present evidence, and proceed in other respects as a party. You are not required to retain an attorney. However, it is most advisable that you do so, as it is unlikely that you will be able to participate effectively without the assistance of counsel. If you do intend to retain counsel, it is advisable to do so at an early date, so as to have his assistance in preparing the petition to deny.

PARTICIPATION IN A HEARING PROCEEDING

38. The rules governing hearing proceedings are set out in Subpart B of Part 1 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations. If you do not retain an attorney, it is important that you familiarize yourself thoroughly with these rules. It is also important that you become familiar with Subpart A, the general rules of practice and procedure, many of which apply in hearing proceedings. Though the following outline of the procedural stages of a hearing proceeding may be helpful, effective participation will require a more detailed knowledge of the rules.

39. When the Commission determines that a hearing should be held, it issues an order (called a designation order) specifying the issues upon which evidence will be received and naming known parties in interest as parties to the proceeding. Shortly thereafter, the Chief Administrative Law Judge issues an order naming a presiding officer, setting a time and place for an initial prehearing conference, and specifying the place of the hearing and the date for its commencement. If you are named as a party, and wish to participate, you should, within 20 days after the designation order is mailed, file a notice of appearance stating that you will appear at the hearing. See 47 CFR 1.221. This notice and (except as otherwise expressly provided) all papers subsequently filed must be served on all other parties to the proceeding. See 47 CFR 1.47 and 1.211; see also, the rules governing ex parte presentations, 47 CFR 1.1201–1.1251. The notice of appearance should list the address at which you wish other parties to serve papers on you. If you are not named as a party, you may petition to intervene. See 47 CFR 1.223. To intervene as of right, you must show that you are a party in interest (see paragraph 30 above) and must file the petition within 30 days after the designation order is published in the Federal Register. It
is not necessary for you to have participated in earlier stages of the proceeding. If the petition is filed late or if it fails to show that petitioner is a party in interest, his intervention as a party lies within the discretion of the presiding officer. You may appeal to the Review Board, as a matter of right, from an order denying your petition to intervene. See 47 CFR 1.301(a) (1). (For a description of the Review Board and its functions, see 47 CFR 0.361 and 0.365.) If the petition is denied, the person objecting may nevertheless request Commission counsel to call him as a witness. He may request other parties to the proceeding to call him as a witness. And, if these measures fail, he may appear at the hearing and ask that the presiding officer allow him to testify. If he shows that his testimony will be relevant, material, and competent, he will be allowed to testify. See 47 CFR 1.225(b). A person who has been permitted to participate as a party may move before the Chief Administrative Law Judge to hold the hearing in the community where the station is located, rather than in the District of Columbia. Action on that request lies within the discretion of the Chief Administrative Law Judge. Subject to budgetary limitations, hearings are held in the local community when it appears that there will be a sizeable number of witnesses who live in that community.

40. If you are permitted to participate as a party, a number of new rights accrue to you. If you are dissatisfied with the issues listed by the Commission, you may petition for the addition or deletion of an issue or for the modification of those which are listed. See 47 CFR 1.229. Such petitions are acted on by the Review Board. You may utilize procedures for the discovery of facts relevant to the proceeding. See 47 CFR 1.311-1.325. You may file pleadings and oppose or support any motion or petition filed by any other party to the proceeding. You may ask the presiding officer to issue subpenas requiring the attendance of witnesses or the production of documents at the hearing. See 47 CFR 1.331-1.340. You may examine witnesses, object to the introduction of evidence, and cross-examine the witnesses of other parties. You are expected to be present at the hearing (either personally or by attorney) and to participate in the proceedings. If you subpoena witnesses, you are responsible for payment of witness fees.

41. About 4 weeks after the proceeding is designated for hearing, the presiding officer holds an initial prehearing conference. See 47 CFR 1.248. Additional conferences may be held. At such conferences, the presiding officer works with counsel for the parties to devise a schedule for the completion of procedures (such as discovery and summary decision procedures) to be followed by counsel and to settle as many matters as possible before the evidentiary hearing. Counsel may, for example, enter into stipulations regarding undisputed facts and reach agreement as to the scope of the issues set for hearing. They may also agree as to the authenticity of exhibits and as to the qualifications of expert witnesses. Such agreement aids counsel in the preparation of his case, allowing him to concentrate on matters which remain in dispute. It also saves the time and expense which would otherwise be involved in establishing the facts agreed upon by testimony at the hearing. By the time you attend the conference, you should have a clear understanding of what you intend to prove, how (by what witnesses and exhibits) you intend to prove it, and of any collateral procedures you intend to follow, so that you can make full use of the prehearing technique.

42. A Commission hearing is much like a trial in a civil case in a court of law. Instead of a judge, there is a presiding officer, usually one of the Commission's administrative law judges. The administrative law judge is independent of the remainder of the agency and, with minor exceptions, his sole function is to preside over and initially decide Commission hearing proceedings. The Commission's Broadcast Bureau usually participates as a party to the proceeding, on behalf of the public, and is represented at the hearing by an attorney from its Hearing Division. At the hearing proper, witnesses testify under oath, are examined and cross-examined, and a transcript is made of their testimony; exhibits are offered in evidence; the rules of evidence are applied; and various motions are made, argued, and acted on. The transcript of testimony and exhibits, together with all papers and requests filed in the proceeding, constitute the exclusive record for decision.

43. When the testimony of all witnesses has been heard, the presiding officer closes the record (47 CFR 1.258) and certifies the transcript and exhibits as to identity (47 CFR 1.260). Parties are afforded an opportunity to move for
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correction of the transcript (47 CFR 1.261) and to file proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, which may be supported by a brief (47 CFR 1.263, 1.264). The presiding officer then prepares and issues an initial decision, which becomes effective 50 days after its issuance unless it is appealed by a party or reviewed by the Commission on its own motion (47 CFR 1.267 and 1.277(d)).

44. If you are dissatisfied with the initial decision you may, within 30 days, file exceptions to the decision, which may be accompanied by a brief. See 47 CFR 1.271-1.279. You may also, within this period, file a statement supporting the initial decision. Reply briefs may be filed within 10 days. In cases involving the revocation or renewal of a broadcast station license, the decision is reviewed by the Commission. In other broadcast cases, unless the Commission specifies otherwise, the decision is reviewed by the Review Board (47 CFR 0.365(a)). After exceptions have been filed, the parties may request an opportunity for oral argument before the Commission or the Review Board, as the case may be (47 CFR 1.277). Such requests are ordinarily granted. Thereafter the Commission (or the Board) issues a final decision (47 CFR 1.282). Within 30 days after release of a final Commission decision, you may petition for reconsideration (47 U.S.C. 405; 47 CFR 1.106) or file a notice of appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (47 U.S.C. 402(b)). In the case of a Review Board decision, you may, within 30 days, file either a petition for reconsideration by the Board or an application for review of the decision by the Commission. See 47 U.S.C. 315(d); 47 CFR 1.101, 1.102, 1.104, 1.106, 1.113, 1.115, and 1.117. You must seek Commission review of a Board decision before seeking judicial review.

RULE MAKING

45. A rule is similar to a law. It is a statement of policy to be applied generally in the future. A rule making proceeding is the process, required by law, through which the Commission seeks information and ideas from interested persons, concerning a particular rule or rule amendment, which will aid it in making a sound policy judgment. There are other ways, when a rule is not under consideration, in which the Commission seeks information needed to meet its regulatory responsibilities. It may issue a Notice of Inquiry, in which interested persons are asked to furnish information on a given matter and their views as to whether and how the Commission should deal with it. If needed information cannot be obtained in proceedings on a Notice of Inquiry, the Commission can order an investigatory hearing, in which witnesses and records can be subpoenaed. If the information obtained indicates that rules should be adopted, the Commission then initiates a rule making proceeding.

PETITION FOR RULE MAKING

46. The principal rules relating to broadcast matters are set out in the rules and regulations of the Commission as Subpart D of Part 1, Part 73 and Part 74. Other provisions relating to broadcasting will be found in Parts 0 and 1. If you think that any of these rules should be changed or that new rules relating to broadcasting should be adopted by the Commission, you are entitled to file a petition for rule making. 5 U.S.C. 558(e); 47 CFR 1.401-1.407. No specific form is required for such a petition, but it should be captioned “Petition for Rule Making” to make it clear that you regard your proposal as more than a casual suggestion. An original and 14 copies of the petition and all other pleadings in rule making matters should be filed.

47. The petition “shall set forth the text or substance of the proposed rule * * * together with views, arguments and data deemed to support the action requested * * *.” 47 CFR 1.401(c). This is important, for unless statements supporting or opposing your proposal are filed, you are afforded no further opportunity, prior to Commission action on the petition, to explain or justify your proposal.

48. When a petition for rule making is received, it is given a file number (such as RM-1000), and public notice of its filing is given. The public notice briefly describes the proposal and invites interested persons to file statements supporting or opposing it. Statements must be filed within 30 days after the notice is issued and must be served on the petitioner, who may reply to such a statement within 15 days after it is filed. The reply must be served on the person who filed the statement to which the reply is directed.
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49. If a petition for rule making is repetitive or moot or for other reasons plainly does not warrant consideration by the Commission, it can be dismissed or denied by the Chief of the Broadcast Bureau. See 47 CFR 0.280(bb). In that event, petitioner may file an application for review of the Bureau Chief's action by the Commission. See 47 CFR 1.115. In most cases, however, the petition for rule making is acted on by the Commission. Action is ordinarily deferred pending passage of the time for filing statements and replies. Where the changes proposed obviously have (or lack) merit, however, action may be taken without waiting for the submission of statements or replies. In acting on a petition for rule making, the Commission will issue (1) an order amending the rules, as proposed or modified, or (2) a notice of rule making proposing amendment of the rules, as proposed or modified, or (3) an order denying the petition. In the event of adverse action by the Commission, you may petition for reconsideration (47 CFR 1.106).

RULE MAKING WITHOUT PRIOR NOTICE AND PUBLIC PROCEDURE

50. Rule making proceedings are conducted under section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553. See also, 47 CFR 1.411-1.427, section 4 provides that an agency may make rules without prior notice and public procedure in any of the following circumstances:

(a) Where the subject matter involves a military or foreign affairs function of the United States.
(b) Where the subject matter relates to agency management or personnel or to public property, loans, grants, benefits, or contracts.
(c) Where the rules made are interpretative rules, general statements of policy, or rules of agency organization, procedure or practice.
(d) Where the agency for good cause finds (and incorporates the finding and a brief statement of reasons therefore in the rules issued) that notice and public procedure are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary, to the public interest.

The rules of organization practice and procedure (47 CFR Parts 0 and 1) are rather frequently amended, often without prior notice and public procedure. However, prior public comment is requested if the matters involved are particularly significant or there is doubt or controversy concerning the wisdom, precise effect, or details of the rule. Where notice is omitted pursuant to (d) above, it is in circumstances where the effect of the rule could be undermined by actions taken during the period allowed for comment, where the rule merely repeats the provisions of a statute, where the provisions of the rule are beneficial to all and there is no reason to expect unfavorable public comment, or in other similar circumstances constituting good cause under the statute. The other exceptions to the requirement of prior notice are of lesser importance.

51. If you are dissatisfied with a rule made by the Commission without prior notice, you may file a petition for reconsideration. You may also request that the effect of the rule be stayed pending action on your petition. All orders changing the Commission's rules are published in the Federal Register, and the 30-day period for filing the petition for reconsideration runs from the date of publication.

RULE MAKING WITH PRIOR NOTICE AND PUBLIC PROCEDURE

52. Except in circumstances listed in paragraph 50 above, the Commission is required to give prior notice and to afford an opportunity for public comment before making or changing a rule. If you have something to say concerning the proposed rule, you are entitled to file comments. Notice is given by issuance of a notice of proposed rule making, and by publishing that notice in the Federal Register. The text of the proposed rule is usually set out in the notice. On occasion, however, the notice will instead indicate the subject involved and the result intended, leaving the precise method for obtaining that result to a later stage of the proceeding following consideration of public comment. Whether or not the text is set out, the notice contains an explanation of the proposed rule and a statement both as to the Commission's reasons for proposing the rule and its authority to adopt it. The notice also lists the dates by which comments and reply comments should be submitted and states whether there are limitations on Commission consideration of nonrecord communications concerning
the proceeding. 22 Requests for extension of the time allowed for filing comments and reply comments may be filed.

23. Rule making proceedings are relatively informal. When a notice of proposed rule making is issued, the proceeding is given a docket number (such as Docket No. 16000). Papers relating to the proceeding are placed in a docket file bearing this number. This file is available for inspection in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. Because comments and reply comments are sometimes filed by hundreds of persons, the Commission does not require that copies be served on others. To find out what others have said in their comments, you may inspect the docket file or arrange with a private firm (for a fee) to furnish copies of comments filed in the proceeding. See 47 CFR 0.465. Often, those who have filed comments will furnish copies as a courtesy upon request. All papers placed in the docket file are considered by the Commission before taking final action in the proceeding. To assure that your views are placed in the docket file and considered by the Commission, all comments, pleadings, and correspondence relating to the proceeding should (in the caption or otherwise) show the docket number.

54. The rules require that an original and 14 copies of comments be filed, that they be typed, doubled-spaced, timely filed, and so forth. See, e.g., 47 CFR 1.419. As a practical matter, it is important for you to meet these requirements. The 14 copies are needed for distribution to Commissioners and members of the staff involved in making a decision. If you submit only an original, it will be placed in or associated with the docket file and considered by the staff member assigned to write a decision but probably will not be seen by other Commission officials. Applications are also placed in the docket file and considered. You should appreciate, however, that you are more likely to get your point of view across to the persons making the decision if your presentation is typewritten. In making a rule, the Commission is interested in getting as much information and the best thinking possible from the public before making a decision and does not reject comments on narrow technical grounds. However, failure to comply with the filing requirements adversely affects your right to have the comments considered and to complain if they do not receive what you consider to be full consideration.

55. The comments should explain who you are and what your interest is. They should recite the facts and authority which support your position. They should not ignore facts and authorities which tend to support a different position, but should deal with them and demonstrate that the public interest requires that the matter be resolved as you proposed. They should be carefully worded and well organized and free of exaggeration or vituperative comment. They should be explicit. If the details of the proposed rule or one of several provisions only are objectionable, this should be made clear. Counterproposals may be submitted. If the rule would be acceptable only with certain safeguards, these should be spelled out, with the reasons why they are needed.

56. In rule making proceedings, the Commission's responsibility is to make a policy judgment and, in making that judgment, to obtain and consider comments filed in the proceeding. It may tap other sources of information. Unless otherwise expressed in the notice, 23 staff members working on the proceeding are generally prepared to meet with and discuss the proposed rule with anyone who is sufficiently interested. They may initiate correspondence or organize meetings to further develop pertinent information and ideas. They will utilize information available in the Commission's files and draw upon the knowledge and experience of other Commission personnel or of other Government agencies. Generally, the Commission hears oral argument only in rule making proceedings involving policy decisions of the greatest importance. However, you may request the Commission to hear argument in any proceeding, and that request will be considered and ruled upon. When argument is heard, interested persons appear before the Commissioners, orally present their views, and are questioned by the Commissioners. Other devices, such as panel discussions, have, 22 In rule making proceedings which involve "conflicting private claims to a valuable privilege," comment precludes nonrecord communication between Commission personnel involved in making a decision and interested persons concerning the merits of the proceeding. Sangamon Valley Television Corp. v. F.C.C., 269 F. 2d 221, 224. In such proceedings, limitations on communication with the Commission are stated in the notice of proposed rule making.

23 See footnote 10 above.
on occasion, been used to further develop the information and ideas presented. An evidentiary hearing is not usual in rule making proceedings. Nevertheless, if you think the circumstances require an evidentiary hearing, you are entitled to ask that one be held.

67. After comments and reply comments and the record of oral argument (if any) have been reviewed, a policy judgment is made and a document announcing and explaining it is issued. There are a number of possibilities. The proposed rules may be adopted, with or without changes. They may be adopted in part and, in that event, further comment may be requested on portions of the proceeding which remain. The Commission may decide that no rules should be adopted or that inadequate information has been obtained and, thus, either terminate the proceeding or issue a further notice of proposed rule making requesting additional comment on particular matters. If final action as to all or any part of the proceeding is taken, the final action taken is subject to reconsideration (47 U.S.C. 405).

**PETITION FOR WAIVER OF A RULE**

58. Except as they implement mandatory statutory provisions, all of the Commission's rules are subject to waiver. 47 CFR 1.3. If there is something the rules prohibit which you wish to do, or if there is something the rules require which you do not wish to do, you may petition for waiver of the rules in question. The petition must contain a showing sufficient to convince the Commission that waiver is justified on public interest grounds (that is, the public interest would be served by not applying the rule in a particular situation) or, in some instances, on grounds of hardship or undue burden.

**PUBLIC INSPECTION OF STATION DOCUMENTS**

59. Local public inspection file. All radio and television stations maintain a local public inspection file which contains materials specified in 47 CFR 1.526. The file, which is available for public inspection at any time during regular business hours, is usually maintained at the main studio of the station, but the rules permit it to be located at any other publicly accessible place, such as a public registry for documents or an attorney's office. A prior appointment to examine the file is not required, but may prove of mutual benefit to the station and the inspecting party.

60. The local public inspection files of all radio and television stations include recent renewal applications (FCC-Form 303), ownership reports (FCC-Form 323), various reports regarding broadcasts by candidates for public office, annual employment reports (FCC-Form 395), letters received from members of the public concerning operation of the station (see 47 CFR 73.1202(f)), and a copy of this Manual. In addition, the local public inspection files of commercial television stations also include annual programming reports (FCC-Form 303-A) and annual listings of what the licensee believes to have been some of the significant problems and needs of the area served by the station during the preceding twelve months. All television licensees are required to make the materials in their local public inspection files available for machine reproduction, providing the requesting party pays any reasonable costs incurred in producing machine copies.

61. Public inspection of television station program logs. In response to formal requests from various citizen groups, the Commission's rules were amended in March 1974 to require television stations to make their program logs available for public inspection under certain circumstances. The contents of these logs are specified. See 47 CFR 73.112. It should be emphasized that because the logs are intended primarily to serve Commission needs, the information they contain is limited and is essentially statistical in nature. Although, for example, the logs include the title and type (that is, the program category such as news, entertainment, etc.) of the various programs carried by the station, and the times these programs were broadcast, the logging rules do not require descriptions of the actual content of individual programs nor a listing of program participants or issues discussed. Despite their limitations, however, the logs do contain relevant information concerning station programming, including commercial practices.
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62. Television station program logs are available upon request for public inspection and reproduction at a location convenient and accessible for the residents of the community to which the station is licensed. All such requests for inspection are subject to the following procedural requirements set forth in 47 CFR 73.674:

1. Parties wishing to inspect the logs shall make a prior appointment with the licensee and, at that time, identify themselves by name and address; identify the organization they represent, if any; and state the general purpose of the examination.

2. Inspection of the logs shall take place at the station or at such other convenient and accessible location as may be specified by the licensee. The licensee, at its option, may make an exact copy available in lieu of the original program logs.

3. Machine copies of the logs shall be made available upon request, provided the party making the request shall pay the reasonable costs of machine reproduction.

4. An inspecting party shall have a reasonable time to examine the program logs. If examination is requested beyond a reasonable time, the licensee may condition such further inspection upon the inspecting party’s willingness either to assume the expense of machine duplication of the logs or to reimburse the licensee for any reasonable expense incurred if supervision of continued examination of the original logs is deemed necessary.

5. No log need be made available for public inspection until 45 days have elapsed from the day covered by the log in question.

63. 47 CFR 73.674 provides that the licensee may refuse to permit public inspection of the program logs where good cause exists. When it included this provision in its 1974 amendments to 47 CFR 73.674, the Commission indicated that lacking experience with the operation of public inspection of program logs, it was in no position to describe all situations in which there would be good cause for refusing to permit access. Two illustrations which it did offer, however, were:

1. a request from a financial competitor of the station or of the station’s advertisers which was based solely on competitive considerations and

2. a situation in which the request represented an attempt at harassment.

Harassment would exist if the primary goal of requesting examination of the logs was the disruption of station operation or the creation of an annoyance. If, for example, an inspecting party or parties situated themselves in the inspection location hour after hour, day after day, refusing to indicate which, if any, logs it wished to have duplicated, and refusing to engage in dialogue with the licensee regarding further inspection, it would be inappropriate to characterize that inspection as an attempt at harassment.

64. While the probability of misuse and abuse of requests to inspect program logs and the danger of harassment was not sufficient to cause the Commission to refrain from making the logs generally available, the provision regarding refusal of access for good cause was inserted in amended 47 CFR 73.674 as a recognition of legitimate concerns of broadcasters. In the rare case where an unresolved dispute arises between members of the public and a station regarding whether good cause exists for not making the logs available, the dispute can, of course, be brought to the Commission for resolution.

**REFERENCE MATERIALS**

65. Laws relating to communications have been compiled in title 47 of the United States Code, which is available in most libraries. The basic law under which the Commission operates is the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 151-609. A pamphlet containing the Communications Act, the Administrative Procedure Act, and other statutory materials pertaining to communications may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Ask for the “The Communications Act of 1934” which includes all changes in the Act through January 1969.

66. The Commission’s rules and regulations have been compiled in Chapter I of title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations, which is available in many libraries. Chapter I is divided into four subchapters, which are printed in separate volumes, which may be purchased separately from the Superintendent of Doc-
Those wishing to participate in broadcast matters will need two of these volumes:

Subchapter A—General
Subchapter C—Broadcast Radio Services

These volumes are revised annually.

67. The Commission's rules may also be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents in looseleaf form on a subscription basis. The rules are divided into 10 volumes, each containing several related parts. Each volume may be purchased separately. The purchase price includes a subscription to replacement pages reflecting changes in the rules until such time as the volume is revised. Those wishing to participate in broadcast matters will need two of these volumes:

Volume I—containing Parts 0, 1, 13, 17, and 19
Volume III—containing Parts 73, 74, 76, and 78

68. All documents adopted by the Commission which have precedential or historical significance are published in the FCC reports, which are available in some libraries. The reports are usually published weekly in pamphlet form. The pamphlets are available from the Superintendent of Documents on a subscription basis and are subsequently compiled and published in bound volumes.

69. A list of the Commission's printed publications (with prices) will be furnished by the Commission on request.
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